STIP/TIP Amendment and Administrative Modification Process  
Financial Planning and Analysis - Idaho Transportation Department

Updated August 23, 2018

Purpose
This document establishes the process followed by the Idaho Transportation Department in amending the Idaho Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Included are criteria considered in determining whether a STIP change is handled as an amendment or as an administrative modification. Criteria may include limits or thresholds that help dictate the handling of the change. This document also shows the processing workflow for changes to projects both within and outside of metropolitan planning areas.

Legal Authorities and Guidance

Definitions
- **Administrative modification** - means a minor revision to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that includes minor changes to project/project phase costs, minor changes to funding sources of previously-included projects, and minor changes to project/project phase initiation dates. An administrative modification is a revision that does not require public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination (in nonattainment and maintenance areas).
- **Amendment** - means a revision to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, or STIP that involves a major change to a project included in a metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, or STIP, including the addition or deletion of a project or a major change in project cost, project/project phase initiation dates, or a major change in design concept or design scope (e.g., changing project termini or the number of through traffic lanes or changing the number of stations in the case of fixed guideway transit projects). Changes to projects that are included only for illustrative purposes do not require an amendment. An amendment is a revision that requires public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination (for metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs involving “non-exempt” projects in nonattainment and maintenance areas). In the context of a long-range statewide transportation plan, an amendment is a revision approved by the State in accordance with its public involvement process.
Definitions (cont’d)

*Design scope* - means the aspects that will affect the proposed facility’s impact on the region, usually as they relate to vehicle or person carrying capacity and control (e.g., number of lanes or tracks to be constructed or added, length of project, signalization, safety features, access control including approximate number and location of interchanges, or preferential treatment for high-occupancy vehicles).

*Revision* - means a change to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, or STIP that occurs between scheduled periodic updates. A major revision is an “amendment,” while a minor revision is an “administrative modification.”

**Criteria for STIP Amendments vs Administrative Modifications**

A key feature of a process document regarding changes to the STIP is that it includes clear criteria to guide the decision whether to process a STIP change as an amendment or as an administrative modification.

Coupled with this is an understanding that ITD’s criteria for determining the processing of a STIP change is *independent* of the criteria used by a metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for treating changes to TIP’s for projects in their planning areas. In other words, the process followed by the state DOT – whether amendment or administrative modification – may differ from the handling chosen by the MPO through application of their amendment or administrative modification policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State DOT (ITD) Criteria for STIP Amendments vs Administrative Modifications</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
<th>Administrative Modification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Adding a new non-grouped project into the 4-year STIP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Removing a non-grouped project within first four years of the approved STIP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Adding or removing projects that;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Are not exempt (per Title 40 §93.126)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Have been determined to have air quality implications by the MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Have a NEPA document for the corridor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Are funded by GARVEE bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Make major changes to one or more projects using the following guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Either the percentage change to an individual project’s Total Project Cost is greater than 30% and greater than $500K, or the project’s Total Project Cost changes by at least $2,000,000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Cost changes to one or more grouped projects result in a percentage change to the group control total of at least 30% and greater than $500K, or a dollar cost change to the group control total of at least $2,000,000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Change in funding across modes (i.e. funding source changes from highway to transit or vice versa), unless the project is grouped.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Major changes in project scope (e.g. number of through traffic lanes).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Changes in project location limits greater than a net 0.25 miles and/or which trigger an air quality conformity amendment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Changes to a project that affect air quality conformity demonstration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Project changes other than those described above.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Advances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Delays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Name Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Minor Cost Increases-less than $500K, or less than 30% and $2,000,000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Functional Classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Advanced Construction in year prior to programmed year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Processing of Changes to the STIP**

The processing of changes to the STIP can be categorized based on whether they are for projects inside or outside of a metropolitan planning area, and whether the change to the STIP meets the criteria for an Amendment or an Administrative Modification.

The following exhibit (which is repeated in the appendix at a larger scale) shows the handling for STIP changes keeping in mind the considerations listed above:

**Handling of STIP Project Changes**

Changes to projects in metropolitan planning areas must be communicated to the MPO by the ITD District Engineer, Local Highway Districts, or representatives of the requesting city or town. Changes to projects outside the MPO areas are initiated with Project Scheduling System (PSS) requests directed to Planning Services.

The steps of this process are as follows:

1. The Originator (ITD District Engineers, or representatives from counties, cities, or local highway districts) initiates a Change Request.
2. If the Change Request is for a project in an MPO planning area, handling of this request passes to the corresponding MPO. Otherwise the Change Request is directly forwarded to Planning Services who creates a change request in OTIS and submits to Financial Planning and Analysis (FPA).
**MPO Processing**

3. The MPO evaluates the Project Change Request.

4. Based on their Amendment vs Administrative Modification criteria, the MPO decides whether to process the change as an Administrative Modification or Amendment.
   
   (Note: If an Administrative Modification, the MPO’s Executive Director or delegate follows their handling procedures for this type of change and skips forward to Step 7 of this process.)

5. If an Amendment, the MPO prepares a proposed Change Request item, applies their Public Involvement process, and presents the item to their policy board for approval.

6. The Policy Board for the MPO approves the TIP Amendment.

7. Following process of their TIP Amendment or Administrative Modification, the MPO updates their TIP.

8. The MPO informs ITD’s Financial Planning and Analysis (FPA) and Planning Services groups of the successful change.

**ITD Processing**

9. All Change Requests are created in the OTIS information management system.

10. FPA evaluates the Project Change Request.

11. Based on ITD’s Amendment vs Administrative Modification criteria, FPA determines whether to process the change as an Administrative Modification or Amendment.

   (Note: If the change qualifies as an Administrative Modification, processing skips forward to Step 20 of this process.)

12. If an Amendment is required, and the project is outside of an MPO area, FPA applies ITD’s Public Involvement process (via Board item), and determines whether Idaho Transportation (IT) Board approval is required based on overarching ITD Administrative and Board policies.

13. If IT Board Approval is required, OTI creates an Idaho Transportation Board agenda item. If IT Board Approval is not required, the process skips to Step 16.

14. The Project Change board item is presented to the IT Board by the District Engineer or by Planning Services or Consultant Services staff.

15. The IT Board approves the Board item with the detailed Project Change.

16. FPA creates the STIP Amendment request letter and attachments for transmittal to the FHWA and FTA.

17. The FHWA and FTA approve the STIP Amendment Request and forward their response to ITD.

18. FPA notifies all stakeholders.

19. FPA completes processing of the Project Change by updating the project record in the OTIS information management system. The summary of approved Administrative Modifications and Amendments is reflected on the ITD website.

20. ITD Program Changes through Administrative Modifications are communicated to the FHWA and FTA on a regular and consistent basis.
The following guidance is used in Step 12 to determine whether an Amendment type of change requires Board Approval or approval by the Director or his delegate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Change</th>
<th>IT Board Consent</th>
<th>STIP Amendment Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addition/deletion of individually identified projects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major scope and costs changes (cost, work, location)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major changes to Group Control Totals</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project advance/delay within first 4 years</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project advance/delay outside of first four years</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: as per Idaho Transportation Board Policy 4011, IT Board Consent is not required for changes to projects in the Pavement, Bridge, or Safety and Capacity Programs. Changes to projects in these programs may be approved by the ITD Director or his delegate. Federal considerations still apply regarding the processing of STIP amendments for these changes.

**Correspondence Regarding STIP Changes**

ITD processing of *Administrative Modifications* to the STIP are communicated to the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration on a regular and consistent basis. These communications will occur as warranted by the volume of changes. Communications are accomplished through electronic mail and will be from the Manager, Financial Planning and Analysis or delegate.

Requests to modify the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program through *Amendments* are made in writing to the administrators of the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration or their delegates. These requests from ITD to the FHWA and/or FTA are signed by the Idaho Transportation Department Director or delegate.

IT Board items regarding amendments for changes that originate within the metropolitan planning organizations will reference the relevant MPO Policy Board action or executive director action, whichever pertains.

Amendment Request Letters will reference review and decisions made by MPO Policy Board, executive directors, and/or IT Board as appropriate.

ITD Board Policy 4001 titled "Authority to Sign Contracts, Agreements, and Grants and Requirement to Report Certain Contracts" states:

*Delegated Authority*

The Director or delegate shall approve contracts, agreements, and grants, and is authorized to sign all contracts, agreements, and grants required for the proper functioning of the Idaho Transportation Department. Signing authority may be delegated to Executive Officers, Division Administrators, District Engineers, and Section Managers when acting within their jurisdictional duties. Any authority so delegated shall conform to all applicable laws, rules, and regulations. Such authority shall not be exercised by the delegate in the event of a conflict of interest or if apparent personal gain is evidenced.

For the purposes of the administrative handling of STIP amendment requests, the ITD Director delegates signatory authority to the Section Manager responsible for the development and upkeep of the STIP.
STIP/TIP Amendment and Administrative Modification Process

1. Originator initiates change
2. Is change within MPO Planning Area? yes
3. MPO evaluates Project Change Request
4. Administrative Modification or Amendment

5. MPO Board Presentation
6. MPO Board Approval
7. MPO updates TIP
8. MPO informs FPA and Planning Services
9. Originator/FPA creates Project Change Request in OTIS
10. FPA evaluates Project Change Request
11. Administrative Modification or Amendment

12. Director or Board approval required
13. FPA creates Idaho Transportation Board agenda item
15. Idaho Transportation Board Approval
16. FPA creates STIP Amendment with request letter and list for FHWA
17. FHWA/FTA approves STIP Amendment
18. FPA notifies stakeholders
19. FPA processes Project Change Request
20. FPA informally communicates change to FHWA/FTA

END

1. LHTAC and public transportation authorities are not members of any MPO board; therefore, they are required to get a document from the sponsoring agency authorizing a change.
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