

IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

FOR

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

**FY24 STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT – SLIDE STUDY
US-95, MP 210**

Key No. 22822

June 2, 2023

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Table of Contents

- General Information
- Preparation Instructions
- Evaluation Criteria
- Scope of Work

The following items are not included in this package, but can be located at the following web site:

<http://itd.idaho.gov/business/?target=consultant-agreements>

- ❖ General Information and Requirements
- ❖ Sample Agreement and Consultant Agreement Specifications
- ❖ Federal Per Diem Rates
- ❖ Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters form
- ❖ Consultant DBE Commitment form (ITD-2398)

GENERAL INFORMATION

PROPOSAL

The Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) is seeking qualified and experienced respondents from interested firms to submit a proposal for providing Project Development services for the FY24 STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT – SLIDE STUDY, US-95, MP 210 project.

GENERAL TERMS

This Request for Proposals (RFP) does not commit ITD to enter into an agreement or to pay any costs incurred in the preparation of a proposal or in subsequent negotiations.

REVISIONS TO RFP

All addenda to this solicitation will be posted on the Consultant Services web page. No notice will be given by mail.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS BY ITD

The issuance of this RFP does not constitute an assurance by ITD that any contract will actually be entered into by ITD, and expressly reserves the right to:

- Waive any immaterial defect or informality in any response or response procedure
- Reject any and all proposals
- Reissue the Request for Proposals
- Invite additional respondents to the proposal
- Request additional information and data from any or all respondents
- Extend the date for submission of responses
- Supplement, amend, or otherwise modify the RFP, and cancel this request with or without the substitution of another RFP
- Disqualify any respondent who fails to provide information or data requested herein or who provides inaccurate or misleading information or data
- Disqualify any respondent on the basis of any real or apparent conflict of interest

By responding to this proposal, each respondent agrees that any finding by ITD of any fact in dispute as to this proposal or the responses thereto shall be final and conclusive, except as provided herein.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

By the submission of a proposal, the Consultant agrees to ensure that, at the time of contracting, the Consultant will have no interest, direct or indirect, that would conflict in any manner or degree with the performance of the Consultant's obligations under the Agreement. The Consultant shall further covenant that, in the performance of the contract, the Consultant shall not employ any person, or subcontract with any entity, having any such known interest.

EEO REQUIREMENTS

Respondent, by submission of a proposal, agrees to not discriminate against any worker, employee, application subcontractor or any member of the public because of race, color, gender, age, national origin, or disability, or otherwise commit an unfair employment practice. Respondent further agrees to comply with all Federal, State, and Local equal employment opportunity requirements and the requirements of the ITD EEO Special Provisions, accessible at the following web site: <http://apps.itd.idaho.gov/apps/ocr/index.aspx>.

All firms working for the Department area required to register on the Bidder's List with the Office of Civil Rights. If your firm has not registered, go to the following website for instructions: <https://itd.dbesystem.com/>. Please make sure your subconsultants are also registered. For further information regarding this requirement, call the ITD EEO Office at (208) 334-8884.

DBE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

In an effort to achieve ITD's DBE Annual Participation Goal (APG) of 10% utilization, ITD requires responder to utilize certified subconsultants and suppliers listed on its DBE Directory located at: <https://itd.dbesystem.com/>. For this project, the Consultant must propose a minimum of 1.5% DBE utilization or demonstrate "Good Faith Effort" toward achieving that goal.

Use Consultant DBE Commitment form (ITD-2398) to show all DBE firms, their specific work task or services, and their utilization percentage. The ITD-2398 is located on the Consultant Services web page at <http://itd.idaho.gov/business/?target=consultant-agreements>.

If the proposal does not show a minimum DBE utilization of 1.5% or it is determined that it does not show a good faith effort toward achieving that goal, the proposal will be considered non-responsive and will not be accepted.

If the proposal is ranked number one, and the firm is awarded the project, the DBE commitment for the contract will be the total DBE percentage identified by the Consultant on the ITD-2398.

For more information regarding ITD's DBE Program, please contact ITD Office of Civil Rights at civilrights@itd.idaho.gov or call the ITD DBE Coordinator at (208) 334-8567.

FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS

Prior to negotiating an agreement, the selected consultant and their subconsultants will be required to submit certified hourly rates and their last years' financial information and overhead schedule in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FARs) and the ITD Overhead Rate Policy. The Overhead Rate Policy can be viewed at the following web site: <http://itd.idaho.gov/business/?target=consultant-agreements>.

PROPRIETARY MATERIAL

ITD assumes no liability for disclosure of proprietary material submitted by respondents. Proposal submittals shall be considered public documents under applicable state law except to the extent portions of the submittals are otherwise protected under applicable law.

CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT

All proposals must contain a signed Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and other Responsibility Matters form for the prime and each subconsultant.

The certification form is located on the Consultant Services web page at <http://itd.idaho.gov/business/?target=consultant-agreements>.

PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION

An Evaluation Committee will evaluate and determine the individual and comparative merits of each of the proposals received. It is the responsibility of the Consultant to ensure that it complies with this RFP and provides the information requested. If the Consultant fails to provide any information requested in this RFP, such failure may result in either a lowered evaluation score of the proposal or disqualification of the proposal.

It is the intent of the department to take approximately fifteen days, commencing on the submission date shown below, to evaluate the submitted proposals. The department intends to notify the successful and unsuccessful Consultants as soon as practicable after the evaluations have been completed, and after approval has been given on the Evaluation Committee's recommendations.

As part of the selection process, the top-ranked firms may be required, at their expense, to give a presentation and/or answer interview questions.

If your firm is selected and approved, negotiations will begin. If negotiations break down with a selected Consultant, they will be formally ended and negotiations will begin with the next ranked Consultant.

CONTRACT TYPE AND METHOD OF PAYMENT

The contract for this work will be a professional services agreement. It is anticipated that the method of payment will be Specific Rates of Compensation.

CONTACT INFORMATION

All questions concerning the procedures of this request for proposal shall be directed to Andrea Aliev at ITD via email at Andrea.Aliev@itd.idaho.gov.

All project specific questions shall be directed by e-mail to Jole Wells at Jole.Wells@itd.idaho.gov. No questions will be accepted by telephone. All questions will be responded to by e-mail, within two days of receipt of the question(s).

Interested firms are encouraged to submit a contact e-mail address to Mr. Wells with a request to be included on an electronic mailing list. Firms on the mailing list will receive copies of the response to all project questions submitted. No firms will be identified in the responses. No project specific questions will be accepted after June 23, 2023.

PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

Proposals must conform to the following instructions. Any non-conforming proposal will be rejected.

Proposals must be received by ITD by 4:00 p.m. MDT on June 30, 2023. Proposals must be submitted via e-mail with the project name and the consultant's name clearly indicated in the subject line. Late proposals will not be considered.

E-Mail Address for proposal submittal is: consultantadminunit@itd.idaho.gov

FORMAT:

- Required File Format: pdf
- Maximum length of the submittal shall be five (5) pages.
- Cover pages are discouraged. If they are included, they will be counted in the proposal page total.
- The introductory letter is limited to one (1) page.
- Except as otherwise noted, pages shall be portrait oriented, 8 ½ x 11 inches, with minimum of ½” margins and a minimum font size of 11.

- The following items do not count in the proposal page total.
 - Introductory letter
 - Critical Path Diagram
 - Certification Regarding Debarment

INTRODUCTORY LETTER

The introductory letter should be addressed to:

Andrea Aliev
Contracts Officer
Consultant Services
Idaho Transportation Department
P.O. Box 7129
Boise, Idaho 83707-1129

The introductory letter should introduce the Consultant, identify the Project Manager, and list a contact telephone number, and contain a statement confirming the commitment of the key personnel identified in the submittal to meet ITD's quality and schedule expectations. The Consultant shall include his/her acceptance of the terms and provisions of the Sample Agreement located at <http://itd.idaho.gov/business/?target=consultant-agreements>, and indicate willingness to execute said agreement.

PROPOSAL

It is essential that the consultant provide an adequate staff of experienced personnel or subconsultants capable of and devoted to the successful accomplishment of work to be performed under this contract. The specific individuals or subconsultants listed in the proposal, including Project Manager, shall be assigned to the key positions and shall not be removed or replaced without the prior written approval of ITD. Replacement personnel submitted for approval must have at least equal qualifications, experience and expertise as those listed in the proposal.

The following criteria will be considered in the evaluation and selection, and apply only to the Consultant, except where specifically requested for the Consultant and each Subconsultant. The weights listed will be applied to the scoring for each criteria.

CRITERIA 1: COMPANY EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS **Weight - 2** *(Complete for Consultant and each Subconsultant)*

- Describe how the company is organized and uniquely qualified to develop this type of project.
- Provide descriptions of no more than three (3) similar projects successfully developed by the Consultant identifying the similarities to this project. Federal-aid projects successfully developed by the consultant should be included if available.
- List three (3) verifiable professional services references with a contact person and phone number.

CRITERIA 2: PROJECT MANAGER **Weight - 2**

- Identify the Project Manager who will be responsible for the quality and timeliness of the consultant's and ITD's work, and for ensuring that adequate consultant personnel and other resources are available for this project.
- Provide a brief summary of education, experience, and qualifications pertaining to the management of this project. The Project Manager needs to have experience coordinating projects with complex geotechnical, environmental, and logistical challenges and demonstrate the ability to communicate effectively with teams with diverse subject matter expertise.
- Provide descriptions of no more than three (3) similar projects successfully developed by the Project Manager, identifying the similarities to this project. Federal-aid projects successfully developed by the project manager should be included if available. Include dates and specific services provided.
- Provide Idaho professional registration (registration is required at the time of submittal).

- List three (3) verifiable professional services references with a contact person and phone number.
- List all projects that the Project Manager is currently managing and the percent of time spent by him/her on each of those projects. Include estimated completion date for each of those projects. Identify availability.

CRITERIA 3: KEY PERSONNEL & RESOURCES AVAILABLE
Weight - 3 *(Complete for Consultant and each Subconsultant)*

- Identify the key personnel and describe each person's role and duties on this project.
- Provide a brief summary of related experience and qualifications and the experience that the team of personnel have working together. Include experience and qualifications working on Federal-aid projects.
- Provide Idaho professional registration (if applicable) for each person identified.
- List all projects that the Key Personnel are currently assigned to and the percent of time spent by him/her on each of those projects. Include estimated completion date for each of those projects. Identify availability.
- Include an organization chart of the key personnel with their roles and office locations.

CRITERIA 4: PROJECT UNDERSTANDING
Weight - 4

- Provide a written narrative demonstrating knowledge, methodology, policies and procedures to accomplish this federally funded highway project as outlined in the General Scope of Work.
- Identify the significant features and potential issues that may be encountered and possible steps to eliminate or minimize those issues.
- Include a Critical Path Diagram based on major tasks and project milestones. The sheet size for the Critical Path Diagram shall be 11" x 17".

CRITERIA 5: QUALITY CONTROL
Weight - 1 *(Complete for Consultant and each Subconsultant)*

Describe the Consultant's procedures for scope change control, schedule and cost control and quality control. This should also include a description of the Consultant's control measures regarding their Subconsultants' project related work.

GENERAL SCOPE OF WORK

DESCRIPTION

A 0.5 mile section of US-95 is at risk by a constriction between the slide at mile point 210.4 and the Salmon River. From 1997 to 2005, several mass movements have closed the highway requiring mitigation efforts. The latest being an alignment shifted as far as allowable toward the river. Since the last mass movement, the slide movement has been a slow creep that has gradually consumed a 30 ft catchment leaving little room for continued movement.

SCOPE OF WORK

ITD is seeking proposals for alternatives analysis and conceptual designs for slide stabilization through the constriction.

The scope of work is as follows:

- Conduct Geotechnical investigation, analysis, and design to ITD Materials Manual guidelines and parameters. Investigation is to be limited to the active slide. For adjacent slopes and back slopes that are determined to be a hazard to the roadway, conduct a geologic reconnaissance sufficient to estimate their stability and hazard. The conceptual designs are for permanent stabilization of the slide with factors of safety specified in ITD Materials Manual. Provide all feasible alternative designs with costs for slope stabilization including US-95 realignment options meeting a 75mph design speed.
- Provide ITD with technical reports, analyses, and documentation needed to complete the 23 CFR Part 667 analysis on US-95, MP 210 Slide.

HISTORY

No geotechnical investigation of the slide has been conducted. Investigations have been limited to those needed for a barrier fence and MSE wall. In 1997, Terracon drafted a geologic reconnaissance report recommending the excavation of unstable material and installation of a barrier fence which was completed in 1999. In 2005 after the latest mass movement, scaling was performed and Parsons Brinckerhoff proposed several permanent remedial measures with cost estimates. In 2006, personnel from four consulting firms were teamed up for a two-day concept workshop. At this time, ITD obtained drilling estimates for an in-house subsurface investigation and for a consultant subsurface investigation. Because of the cost of an investigation, it was decided to follow the workshop's recommendation for an avoidance realignment, which was completed in 2008. In 2007, several lidar surveys for deformation monitoring were conducted and three slope inclinometers were installed between the roadway and the slide. Since that time, the slide has slowly consumed the 30 ft catchment created in 2008.

PROPOSED WORK TASK SCOPE

- Subsurface investigation, alternative analysis, materials report, conceptual designs, and estimates.
- Environmental alternative analysis
- Survey
- Traffic Control
- Final report

WORK BY OTHERS

The following major work activities will be completed by ITD.

- Provide historical reports, memos, data, photos, and historical surveys.
- ITD District 2 currently has a draft evaluation for the MP 210 Slide *Periodic Evaluation of NHS Facilities Repeatedly Requiring Repairs and Reconstruction Due to Emergency Events (23 CRF Part 667)*, referred to as “Twice Damaged Assets”. ITD has determined that this slide study is required to complete the Twice Damaged Asset Evaluation for the MP 210 Slide. ITD will complete this evaluation using technical reports, analyses, and documentation provided by the consultant.

REFERENCE FILE

See attached Reference File.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

July 1, 2023 to July 1, 2026 – This project is assumed to last 2-3 years to allow for long range monitoring of the slide movements.