

**129,000 Pound Route  
Public Comments  
Case# 202003184BL**

**E-MAILS**

Name: Jeremy Noyes  
Phone Number: 619-762-9062  
Email Address: [jeremymnoyes@gmail.com](mailto:jeremymnoyes@gmail.com)  
Specific Route: I-84 Business Loop, Nampa  
Date: 10/23/2020

Comments: I live off Yale and Davis and the noise/congestion on the boulevard is already incredibly high. The intersection of Yale and 3rd is a pain point (especially between 2nd and 3rd), without adding extra truck traffic and compression breaks. In fact, I think even the sugar beet trucks need to find an alternate route. They create all kinds of issues in this part of town. Not sure what kind of alternatives there are, but please explore other options, like maybe Cherry Lane?

---

Name: Blank  
Phone Number: Blank  
Email Address: Blank  
Specific Route: Blank  
Date: 10/23/2020

Comments: Keep those trucks north of the freeway where the plant is located.

---

Name: Jesus Ramirez  
Phone Number: 2088633408  
Email Address: [juniormramirez21@gmail.com](mailto:juniormramirez21@gmail.com)  
Specific Route: I84 Business Loop  
Date: 10/23/2020

Comments: I have reviewed the information and would like to submit my comment for approval of the requested use by 129k pound loads.

---

Name: William Henscheid  
Phone Number: 2088706614  
Email Address: [whenscheid@msn.com](mailto:whenscheid@msn.com)  
Specific Route: I-84 Business Loop, Nampa  
Date: 10/23/2020

Comments: How many of these loads will there be and what time of day will these loads be traveling on the BUSIEST streets in Nampa! Secondly, please introduce me to anybody who can read the blurry,

small, congested map you have on your website. This process is NOT informing the public if you can't even make the print legible and the maps readable. I will vehemently oppose this application until I am told WHERE the route actually goes. Shame on you ITD for trying to hide the truth from the public!

---

Name: Bob Faraday  
Phone Number: 2083372673  
Email Address: [bobfaraday@yahoo.com](mailto:bobfaraday@yahoo.com)  
Specific Route: I-84 Business Loop, Nampa  
Date: 10/24/2020

Comments: I see absolutely no problem with this application. I say Approve!!!

---

Name: Bill Blohm  
Phone Number: Blank  
Email Address: [kc7jsd@cableone.net](mailto:kc7jsd@cableone.net)  
Specific Route: I-84 Business Loop, Nampa  
Date: 10/24/2020

Comments: This request is asking to send 129,000 lb vehicles over two routes, Garrity Boulevard and the Caldwell/Nampa Boulevard, that already experience several congested areas where multiple stops are required to get through lights or intersections. While I appreciate that the 129,000 lb vehicles cause less wear and tear on our streets than currently allowed lighter transports, the increase in number of such vehicles will only make these routes more congested and more frustrating for local traffic. Add in the slow start from a standing stop using up most of a green light and the fact that I often see such double and single trailer vehicles go through red lights on turns rather than stop I can only see congestion and frustration of local drivers increasing. As a result, I am very much against allowing these 129,000 lb vehicles to use this route.

---

Name: Ham Hamilton  
Phone Number: 4179883982 TEXT ONLY  
Email Address: [minew74@gmail.com](mailto:minew74@gmail.com)  
Specific Route: Nampa Garrity route  
Date: 10/25/2020

Comments: Your maps are loaded with small print which is illegible. The color coding is therefore of no help. In the illustration, there is a green designation which is unexplained. HAL locations do not distinguish whether the locations are numbers 98-99 of 100 or 3-4 of 100. Reduction of annual trips is based on current use; there is no way to determine what the industry will desire for increased volume. What other industries than milk are affected? I see no recommendation from ITD. It appears this is a cover-yourself advertisement for a foregone conclusion of approval. When do I receive justification directly from the requesting agency? Forget the audible telephone, I am VERY hard of hearing.

---

Name: Sue A. Hoffman  
Phone Number: 253.653.7020  
Email Address: [jshoffman509@reagan.com](mailto:jshoffman509@reagan.com)  
Specific Route: I-84 Business Loop  
Date: 10/24/2020

Comments: My first comment is that the ability to read the maps and charts online is horrific. Trying to see the exact routes needed and the street names connected to them are almost impossible. The card

we received in the mail was just as bad. I have old eyes, but I really care what goes on in downtown Nampa. I spend a lot of time there between the restaurants and shops, especially during warmer weather. I am in a wheelchair which makes me not only over cautious, but more aware of my surroundings. Every time a huge truck barrels down 2nd Street, I shake my head because of the walking traffic that is in that area. Watching these trucks negotiate around corners and attempting to stop, taking up almost a whole block, is maddening. Now you seek to increase the size of these vehicles in a very pedestrian-oriented area, and I am vehemently opposed to that. I don't like the trucks that travel these downtown routes now and have always questioned whether there should be a better way to handle it. If a truck is actually delivering to a business downtown, that would be acceptable. But just to pass through an area of small businesses with old people like me and small children is asking for trouble. Obviously I am opposed to this proposal. I also know some of the owners of the downtown businesses, and I can assure you that this does not make them happy. Thank you for allowing comments.

---

Name: Louella McDowell  
Phone Number: 2084754049  
Email Address: [ella333@att.net](mailto:ella333@att.net)  
Specific Route: I-84 Business Route\  
Date: 10/24/2020

Comments: Based on the answers given to the printed questions, I cannot see where this agency has taken into consideration the great amount of growth planned for this area that directly affects I-84 highway and the increased volume of heavy traffic. Specifically the two new Amazon facilities. One in Nampa and the second planned for Meridian. Both will be located close to the highway affecting both local streets and roads, plus the use of I-84. Comment on the affect of this subject request on that aspect of possible impact re future growth and local street/road use by such heavy vehicles would be appreciated. Thanks. Louella McDowell

---

Name: Eric carpenter  
Phone Number: 208 830 7760  
Email Address: [taziskool@gmail.com](mailto:taziskool@gmail.com)  
Specific Route: 129000  
Date: 10/24/2020

Comments: I think it's the worst idea ever putting more weights on already deteriorating roads the infrastructure of Idaho is so far behind now you're going to put longer and heavier trucks I know it's a rule section of Highway but that's just going to add that much more work involved in keeping it maintained if a highway with concrete it might be okay 15% not really that high plus you're taking away somebody else's job by delivering them out it's good for the dairy and it's good for the cheese factory but it's bad for the driver bus to safety concern the winners are horrible you got a hundred and thirty thousand pounds that's a lot of weight I think with the new growth in the valley it would just be a bad idea

---

Name: David E Long  
Phone Number: 12088630404  
Email Address: [decjlong@msn.com](mailto:decjlong@msn.com)  
Specific Route: I-84 Business Loop

Date: 10/24/2020

Comments: I use this route daily. I am in favor of the proposal to allow 129K lb truck operation through this area. I read the engineering report and the reason for the request.

---

Randolph B. Scott  
2634 S. Benecia Way  
Nampa, ID 83686  
208-465-5171

Comments:

129,000 pounds is 49,000 pounds more than what is legal now on the open highways. This is ridiculous. These trucks will tear up our under-engineered roads faster, who pays for that. In a collision with a 2-4000 pound car guess who wins. It would be the same with a 80K truck but with way more forces involved equals more deadly crashes. Bottom line our roads are falling apart fast enough without allowing another 50k lbs of truck freight. Too dangerous and too much road bed damage, I say no.

---

Dick Mottram  
P O Box 1785  
Nampa, ID 83653  
motts71@gmail.com  
Date: 10/24/2020

Sirs:

With the condition of Idaho's roads already needing repair and/or upgrade, I feel that 80,000# loads are enough. 128,000# loads would deteriorate our roads at a faster rate, not to mention that it takes longer to stop in an emergency situation. If shippers need to ship heavier loads, they should look to the railroads.

Ps. I am a commercial driver

---

Stephanie Warner  
5923 Airport Rd  
Nampa, Id 83687  
602-339-1018  
Date: 10/24/2020

My concern for the passage of 129,000 pound loads on roadways in the Treasure Valley, is the road was not built to sustain this load of weight, in the short term it seems like a good idea but in the long term it will break down the road and will need major repairs which in the long runs cost a lot more. These heavy loads should only be driven on the roads designed for the weight.

---

Name: Krystyna Stish  
Phone Number: 2086310074  
Email Address: [1kn2ys@gmail.com](mailto:1kn2ys@gmail.com)  
Specific Route: US 2026 i-84 to ID 16  
Date: 10/30/2020

Comments: I am not in favor of this change. However, if they want to pay to repave, widen and create turn lanes on the 20/26 then yes. As it is right now with the subdivision growth, it has made the 20/26 very busy and the sugar beet trucks already make the 20/26 very hard to get on and off from the side roads. One of which I live off of.

---

Name: Patricia McDonald  
Phone Number: 208-890-4049  
Email Address: [trish3860@yahoo.com](mailto:trish3860@yahoo.com)  
Specific Route: I84 business route

Comments: I live in downtown Nampa along this route. This area is 25 mph in parts with pedestrian traffic. Allowing this truck traffic will increase vehicles in the area, as well as noise traffic. This large of a truck is dangerous in a business district. We are already dealing with a lot more traffic on these roadways due to the increase of population here. I am absolutely opposed to this type of truck traffic allowed in the business loop.

---

## **Phone**

Name: Joel Willis  
Date: 27 October 2020  
Phone Number: N/A  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: How the companies of these trucks are going to pay for the damages that are done to the roads. The roads are already in poor condition and worries that the extra weight is adding extra wear to the roads that is unnecessary and that these trucks and companies should be the ones ponying up to pay and fix their damage. I understand it will cause less trips and gas consumption, however the companies need to pay for maintenance on the road.

---

Name: Bruce Bownan  
Date: 26 October 2020  
Phone Number: 208-466-7355  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: I am a CDL Class A semi driver and want to know, why and for what purpose do you want these trucks added to this road in Nampa? The turn that is before the underpass will be incredibly tough for the size of trucks. On top of that the traffic is already really bad on this road and these trucks are unnecessary. I do not understand the logic or need.

---

Name: John Fergason  
Date: 26 October 2020  
Phone Number: N/A  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: Having these trucks going down this road is a bad idea because it is already hard to see down the road. With Nampa growing the way that it is, this will slow down and make commerce way to bad.

---

Name: Mike Burns  
Date: 24 October 2020  
Phone Number: N/A  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: Disapproves because there is already many diesel trucks and heavy loads going down this road.

---

Name: Eric Murphy  
Date: 23 October 2020  
Phone Number: N/A  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200148BL

Comment: I don't think that it is possible to drive 129k trucks on this road. It is already too difficult for normal traffic because Nampa hasn't widened the roads enough to handle them. Thinks the roads won't be able to handle them.

---

Name: Shawn Henry  
Date: 23 October 2020  
Phone Number: N/A  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: The road is already weak and we don't want heavy loads on the city streets.

---

Name: Janice Doerr  
Date: 15 November 2020

Phone Number:  
Email Address:  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: I am opposed to having the large trucks come in this way. The boulevard and highway are too congested without them already and I just think they would add to the accidents and confusion.

---

Name: William Doerr  
Date: 15 November 2020  
Phone Number: N/A  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: I am very much opposed to allowing 129,000 loads going to the I84 business loop. Our roads are already in bad shape and we don't need them tearing them up.

---

Name: Karen Greenway  
Date: 10 November 2020  
Phone Number: N/A  
Email Address: N/A  
Specific Route: 20200418BL

Comment: I am very firmly against the 129,000 loads accessing what I refer to as Garity but what is called here business loop. That particular piece of road has schools, obviously businesses, a park, and a lot of other activities that should not have 129,000lb loads going down the road plus the highway itself is not prepared for that. My husband and I were in the trucking business for many years and I feel that 80,000lbs which I believe is the current amount are sufficient and that 129,000 should not be driven down our roadway.