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1. EXECUTIVE SESSION
PERSONNEL ISSUES [SECTION 67-2345(a),(b)]
A 2. BOARD MINUTES - August 18-19, 2010. ..... 6 ..... 0:30
A 3. BOARD MEETING DATES ..... 25October 20-21, 2010 - BoiseNovember 17-18, 2010 - BoiseDecember 8-9-2010 - Boise
2. CONSENT CALENDAR ..... 26
PTA A Public Transportation Advisory Council appointment, District 5. ..... 27
HWY I Contract award information. ..... 30
HWY I Professional services agreements and term agreement work task report ..... 35
HWY I Adequate truck parking ..... 40
3. BOARD ITEMS ..... 10:40

- Chairman's Report

6. DIRECTOR'S REPORT ..... 10:45

- Progress on the Governor's Executive Order .....

7. AGENDA ITEMS
LHTAC AHolmstrom
$\qquad$Consultant services4111:00
TPA A Transfer of the Buhl-Wendell Highway to the State Highway System ..... 43 ..... 11:0510:359:0010.35
Young
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8. AGENDA ITEMS, continuedGAM ADraft legislation for 201148
$\qquad$Overlegal permits administrative fees79
Frew
9. EXECUTIVE SESSION ..... 11:50
PERSONNEL ISSUES [SECTION 67-2345(a),(b)] LEGAL ISSUES [SECTION 67-2345(c),(d),(f)]
10. ADOPT-A-HIGHWAY AWARD: Susan \& Ron Green \& Friends ..... 1:00
11. DISTRICT 4 REPORT: District Engineer Devin Rigby ..... 1:05
12. AGENDA ITEMS, continued
ADA I Highway Cost Allocation Study report briefing. ..... 86 ..... 1:25
Benzon
ADA I _ Monthly Financial Statements and Highway Program Obligations ..... 87 ..... 2:10
Tolman/Amick
ADA A Recommended FY11-15 Statewide Transportation Investment Program ..... 109 ..... 2:20
Amick
13. OLD/NEW BUSINESS ..... 2:45

- After action review


## September 16, 2010

14. DISTRICT 4 TOURDepart AmeriTel Inn, 539 Poleline Road, Twin Falls,US-93 north and I-84 east to Burley, view I-84 Business project ..............7:15
Arrive Burley Airport, pick up state and local officials ..... 8:00
Depart Burley Airport, I-84 east, SH-81 south, and SH-77 north ..... 8:15
Arrive City of Rocks visitor center, Almo ..... 9:30
Overview on City of Rocks Backcountry Byway reconstruction Depart City of Rocks visitor center. ..... 11:00
Arrive Rupert Maintenance facility; lunch with maintenance crew ..... 12:00
Discussion with local officials ..... 1:00
Depart Rupert Maintenance facility ..... 2:00
Arrive Burley Airport; meet with City of Burley and airport officials ..... 2:20
Depart Burley Airport, I-84 west, US-93 south ..... 3:00
Arrive Twin Falls (AmeriTel Inn); tour ends ..... 3:45


# SEPTEMBER 15-16, 2010 BOARD MEETING AND TOUR OF DISTRICT 4 

Travel and Lodging Accommodations

Tuesday - September 14, 2010
Twin Falls Arrive AmeriTel Inn, 539 Poleline Road;
Phone \#208-736-9600; fax \#208-736-6757
Coleman - \#134369 Ness - \#134375
Cole - \#134370 Stokes - \#134376
Gagner - \#134371 Vogt - \#134377
Higgins - \#134372 Vassar - \#134378
Manning - \#134373 Whitehead - \#134379
Miller - \#134374

Wednesday - September 15, 2010
8:00 AM Twin Falls Board Subcommittee on Adjustments to State Highway System meeting: Twin Falls City Hall, 305 Third Avenue East; Phone: 208-735-7287; Fax: 208-736-2296

9:00 AM " Business meeting: Twin Falls City Hall, 305 Third Avenue East

5:15 PM " Depart AmeriTel Inn; tour US-93, Twin Falls Alternate Route
6:00 PM Castleford Dinner at Gary \& Barbara's, 3730 N. 900 E., \#537-6536
Twin Falls Overnight at AmeriTel Inn

Thursday - September 16, 2010
7:15 AM Twin Falls Depart AmeriTel Inn for tour

3:45 PM " Estimated time of adjournment; depart in personal vehicles

# REGULAR MEETING AND DISTRICT ONE TOUR OF THE IDAHO TRANSPORTATION BOARD 

August 18-19, 2010
The Idaho Transportation Board met at 8:00 AM, on Wednesday, August 18, 2010, in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. The following principals were present:

Darrell V Manning, Chairman
Gary Blick, Vice Chairman - District 4
Jim Coleman, Member - District 1
Janice B. Vassar, Member - District 2
Neil Miller, Member - District 5
Lee Gagner, Member - District 6
Brian W. Ness, Director
Scott Stokes, Deputy Director
Karl Vogt, Deputy Attorney General
Sue S. Higgins, Secretary
District 1 Tour. While the Board traveled north on US-95, staff provided an overview on the Garwood to Sagle GARVEE corridor.

The tour continued west on US-2 to Dover. District 3 Member Jerry Whitehead joined the group as it viewed the US-2, Dover Bridge replacement project, under construction. Senator Shawn Keough, Representative George Eskridge, U.S. Senator Mike Crapo's representative Greg Schildwachter, and city officials were also present.

The tour continued east on US-2 to Sandpoint. Sandpoint Mayor Gretchen Hellar welcomed the Board members to Sandpoint and thanked them for visiting the community. She emphasized the importance of the proposed US-2/US-95 connection project and the City's desire to partner with the Department. When the Sandpoint Byway project is completed, she believes the US-2 connection project would eliminate traffic through downtown Sandpoint. Additionally, the City would like to assume jurisdiction of the current state highways upon completion of both the US-95 Byway project under construction and the proposed US-2 improvements.

The Board traveled SH-200 east to Clark Fork where it viewed the Lightning Creek Bridge construction project. During lunch at the maintenance facility, employees provided an overview on various topics such as winter maintenance efforts, equipment, and concerns with limited resources.

The Board traveled SH-200 west to Sandpoint. After touring the US-95, Sandpoint Byway project with local officials, the Board returned to Coeur d'Alene via US-95 south.

WHEREUPON the Board tour recessed at 3:30 PM.

August 19, 2010
The Board reconvened at 8:30 AM on Thursday, August 19, 2010, at the Idaho Transportation Department District 1 Office in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. All members were present except Member Miller. Chairman Manning welcomed Representative Eskridge to the meeting.

Board Minutes. Member Gagner made a motion to approve the minutes of the regular Board meeting held on July 21-22, 2010 as submitted. Vice Chairman Blick seconded the motion and it passed unopposed.

Board Meeting Dates. The following meeting dates and locations were scheduled:
September 15-16, 2010 - District 4
October 20-21, 2010 - Boise
November 17-18, 2010 - Boise
Member Miller joined the meeting at this time.
Consent Calendar. Vice Chairman Blick made a motion, seconded by Member Vassar, and passed unanimously, to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Board ITB10-29 approves the Public Transportation Advisory Council appointment for District 6; revisions to B-19-07, Highway Safety Funds; winter maintenance standards of state highways; the revised Six Year Capital Facilities Program; and the FY10 account write off and has received and reviewed the summary of FY10 actual vs. budgeted out-of-state trips and cost; return check report for FY10; annual report on performance statistics on contracts and procurements; contract award information; professional services agreements and term agreement work task report; and the annual report on Rail Programs.

1) Public Transportation Advisory Council (PTAC) Appointment for District 6. Upon the expiration of Karen Cornwell's term in June, staff sought applications for membership to PTAC as the District 6 representative. In conjunction with the District 6 Mobility Manager, the Local Mobility Management Networks, and the District Coordination Council, staff recommends reappointing Ms. Cornwell to another three-year term on PTAC.
2) Revisions to Board Policy B-19-07, Highway Safety Funds. Board Policy B-19-07, Highway Safety Funds, was updated to include the reference to the Highway Safety Improvement Program and to specify that project selection shall utilize a data-driven approach.
3) Winter Maintenance Standards of State Highways. No changes were proposed for the 2010-2011 Winter Maintenance Standards map, as shown as Exhibit 392, which is made a part hereof with like effect.
4) Revised Six Year Capital Facilities Program. The overall goal of the Building Program is to achieve a sustainable design that maintains and extends the useful life of the Department's buildings. The program allocations for alterations, repairs, and statewide preventive maintenance
allow the Districts to modernize higher maintenance or inefficient facility components or make safety improvements. Sand sheds continue to be a priority along with waste water disposal due to environmental regulations. The FY12-17 Capital Facilities Program is shown as Exhibit 393, which is made a part hereof with like effect.
5) FY10 Account Write Off. ITD policy requires that all uncollectible accounts exceeding $\$ 1,000$ be reviewed and approved for write-off by the Board. The Director or a designee reviews and approves for write-off all accounts less than $\$ 1,000$. For FY10, staff determined 136 accounts totaling $\$ 90,828$ to be uncollectible. It requested Board approval to write off 31 accounts totaling $\$ 69,115.80$, as shown as Exhibit 394, which is made a part hereof with like effect. A total of 105 accounts in amounts less than $\$ 1,000$ have been determined as uncollectible. The total of these accounts is $\$ 21,712.19$. The outstanding receivables are more than three years delinquent. Customers are not allowed to do business with ITD until their deficiencies are paid or the statute of limitations is reached.
6) Summary of FY10 Actual vs. Budgeted Out-of-State Trips and Cost. In FY10, out-ofstate travel expenditures totaled $\$ 147,295$. The budgeted amount was $\$ 249,800$. In comparison, $\$ 201,118$ was spent on out-of-state travel in FY09.
7) Return Check Report for FY10. During FY10, $\$ 39,502,080$ in checks were received, while 111 checks, or $0.4 \%$, totaling $\$ 159,697$ were returned. Collection of returned checks equaled $\$ 157,472$ for an annual collection rate of $98.6 \%$.
8) Annual Report on Contracts and Procurements. In FY10, 23,678 purchase orders were processed in the amount of $\$ 73,439,409$. A total of 30 professional services agreements in the amount of $\$ 888,832$ and 74 contracts totaling $\$ 9,451,627$ were processed. Additionally, 251 informal bids, 51 requests for proposals, and 241 master agreements were issued.
9) Contract Awards. The low bid on key \#10646 - SH-3, FY10 District 1 Sign Upgrades, was more than ten percent over the engineer's estimate, requiring justification. The major difference between the engineer's estimate and the low bid was due to the Breakaway Wood Sign Post Type D and Flagging items. Estimated prices were obtained from the Average Unit Price Report and additional reviews of recent bids received on similar projects. The unit price for the sign post item was further reduced by the District to more closely reflect current economic conditions and recent low bids on other projects. By revising the unit price for the sign post to the value in the Average Unit Price Report and increasing the flagging unit price to more closely reflect typical pricing for rural District 1 work, the resulting low bid would be $105 \%$ of the estimate. The project is exclusively sign upgrade work that needs to be done during a short timeframe during late summer and fall. Staff sees no benefit in readvertising and recommends awarding the project to ensure a safe and continued high level of service on the state's highways. Low bidder: Pavement Markings Northwest, Inc. - \$140,580.

Key \#9783 - Junction SH-41 and Wright Street, Rathdrum, District 1. Low bidder: Knife River Corporation - Northwest dba Knife River - \$291,984.

Key \#10645 - SH-3, FY10 District 1 Durable Pavement Markings. Low bidder: Apply-A-Line, Inc. - \$187,550.

Key \#10483 - Atlas Bike Path Extension, Coeur d'Alene, District 1. Low bidder: Cameron Reilly LLC - $\$ 298,709$.

Key \#9451 - SH-200, Burlington Northern Railroad Bridge, Hope, District 1. Low bidder: Westway Construction, Inc. - $\$ 1,328,810$.

Key \#12014 - US-95, FY10 District 2 Bridge Deck Life Extension. Low bidder: Protech Coatings - \$94,099.

Key \#9798 - US-95, FY10 District 2 Districtwide Bridge Joint Repair. Low bidder: Adams \& Smith, Inc. - $\$ 300,890$.

The low bid on key \#12038-SH-55, FY10 District 3 Bridge Deck Life Extension, was more than ten percent over the engineer's estimate, requiring justification. The higher costs of some of the pay items are likely due to the small quantity, as the Department's estimates are based on larger quantities. It is not likely that a re-bid would result in lower prices and would result in a delay to the repair of these structures. Staff recommends awarding the project. Low bidder: Restruction Corporation - \$89,957.

Key \#11063 - SH-21, Warm Springs Creek Bridge, Boise County, District 3. Low bidder: Marcon, Inc. - \$177,096.

Key \#9483 - SH-16, Intersection Floating Feather Road, Ada County, District 3. Low bidder: Deer Flat Sand \& Gravel, Inc. - \$265,887.

Key \#12039 - SH-21, Bridge Deck Preservation, District 3. Low bidder: Truesdell Corporation - \$700,000.

Key \#12074 - SH-75, Big Wood River Bridge, Blaine County, District 4. Low bidder: Protech Coatings - $\$ 80,659$.

Key \#11595 - SH-25, Junction US-93 to Milepost 9.5, Jerome County, District 4. Low bidder: Kloepfer, Inc. - \$1,643,449.

Keys \#11633 and \#12091 - I-15 and SH-39, Sand Road Underpass to West Blackfoot Interchange and Aberdeen City Limits, District 5. Low bidder: Staker \& Parson Companies dba Jack B. Parson - \$582,261.

Keys \#11642, \#11645, and \#11646 - US-30 and SH-36; Nounan Road to Wright Road, Bear Lake County; Milepost 5 to Mink Creek Road, Franklin County; and Milepost 17.5 to North Canyon Road, District 5. Low bidder: Emery, Inc. - \$753,151.

The low bid on key \#12201 - I-15, Osgood to Roberts, Northbound, District 6, was more than ten percent over the engineer's estimate, requiring justification. The most significant differences between the low bid and the engineer's estimate are in the Superpave HMA Paving Including Asphalt \& Add and the Leveling Course Including Asphalt \& Add items. Staff believes the time of year the project was advertised resulted in higher bids, as most contractors have their work booked or scheduled for the season. This likely reduced the number of bidders, which also tends to increase prices. The District does not believe there would be a substantial savings if the project is re-advertised and recommends awarding the project. Low bidder: H-K Contractors, Inc. - \$1,971,269.

Key \#9616 - US-20, Intersection Old Butte Road and US-20 Improvements, District 6. Low bidder: H-K Contractors, Inc. - \$1,288,769.
10) Professional Services Agreements and Term Agreement Work Task Report. From July 1 through July $30, \$ 1,663,579$ in new professional services agreements and work tasks were processed. Of the 29 agreements issued, 16 were for local agency projects. Eight supplemental agreements to existing agreements were processed in the amount of $\$ 727,375$ during this period.
11) Annual Report on Railroad Grade Crossing Program. During calendar year 2009, there were eight rail-highway collisions that resulted in zero fatalities, four injuries, and eight property damage incidents. The number of vehicle-train incidents occurring at the 1,440 existing public railroad-road crossings in Idaho had been approximately 20 total incidents each year for the past several years.

The Highway Safety - State Rail Program is budgeted at $\$ 250,000$ per year. In FY10, all programmed projects were completed and funds obligated except for a project to replace the existing computerized railroad crossing inventory program. Because that function will be included in the Transportation Asset Management System, this project was no longer necessary. Due to the program having excess funds, FY11 will be over-programmed to reduce this balance. The federal Rail Program is budgeted at approximately $\$ 1.4$ million per year. All programmed projects were completed and funds obligated in FY09. In FY10, four projects are waiting for the railroads to sign agreements so funds can be obligated, one project was delayed, and one project was replaced and the replacement project has been obligated.

Adopt-A-Highway (AAH) and Volunteer Services Presentation. Member Coleman thanked Group \#97 BASIC - In Memory of Rae Adams for its participation in the AAH Program. He commended the group's service to the state since 1992, noting that the second generation is continuing the efforts of the original group that adopted a section of SH-41.

Member Coleman also noted that the Department has a Volunteer Services Program in addition to the AAH Program. He thanked the Pierce Clegg Work Release Center for its efforts to pick up litter along various highways in the area.

Delegation - Bonner County Area Transportation Team (BCTT). Susan Kiebert, BCTT secretary/treasurer, thanked the Board for its time and for touring Bonner County yesterday. She expressed appreciation for the various improvements being made to the transportation system in
the area and noted the economic value of the construction activity. Ms. Kiebert praised the dedicated District 1 staff members and thanked them for their service. She acknowledged the numerous transportation needs throughout the state and the limited funds available to address those needs. She expressed BCTT's support to secure additional revenue for the state's transportation system.

Chairman Manning thanked Ms. Kiebert for her comments and support to address the inadequate revenue for the state's transportation needs. He also welcomed Representative Frank Henderson to the meeting.

Delegation - City of Sandpoint. Sandpoint Mayor Hellar reiterated the City's desire to partner with the Department on the US-2/US-95 connection project. The transportation plan identified the project as its highest priority. It would be beneficial to the region and improve safety, traffic flow, and the quality of life in Sandpoint.

Chairman Manning thanked Mayor Hellar for addressing the Board, both at the meeting and at the site of the proposed connection project during the tour yesterday.

Delegation - City of Ponderay. Carol Kunzeman, Mayor of Ponderay, thanked the Board for the various transportation improvements underway in the Ponderay area, specifically the US2, Dover Bridge and US-95, Sandpoint Byway projects. She praised the District staff for its assistance and support. She added that the City's next priority is the US-95, Sandpoint to Kootenai Cutoff project.

Member Coleman acknowledged the need for the Kootenai Cutoff project. With the Department's limited funding, the priorities are operations, preservation, rehabilitation, and then expansion. If additional funding is secured, he will consider supporting funding the Kootenai Cutoff project.

Delegation - City of Dover. City Councilmember Maggie Becker thanked the Board for its time and for visiting the US-2, Dover Bridge construction site yesterday. She expressed appreciation for the bridge project and for the responsiveness and assistance the District staff provides, particularly the maintenance crews.

Chairman Manning acknowledged the Board's appreciation for the federal stimulus funds which enabled it to fund the US-2, Dover Bridge project.

Delegation - City of Post Falls. Post Falls Mayor Clay Larkin thanked the Board for its time. He summarized efforts to date on the proposed I-90, Greensferry project, noting the commendable partnership that has been established. He believes the Greensferry access will improve transportation in the area. Mayor Larkin expressed concern with traffic congestion and safety issues on SH-41. He believes the Greensferry access project would alleviate some of the concerns on the state highway. He thanked the Department for the Transportation Investments Generating Economic Recovery grant application for the Greensferry access. He also expressed appreciation to District Engineer (DE) Damon Allen and his staff for their assistance.

Mayor Larkin summarized the license plate recognition program. Cameras were erected on I-90 overpasses for law enforcement officers to monitor license plates. The intent was to determine if any stolen vehicles were traveling on the Interstate. Almost 50 stolen vehicles have been recovered since the program was implemented. There have been other notable benefits of the program, too, and Mayor Larkin encouraged expanding this program throughout the state.

Chairman Manning thanked Mayor Larkin for his comments and continued partnership.
Delegation - Post Falls Highway District. Lynn Humphreys, Post Falls Highway District Commissioner, summarized the Bridging the Valley project, which encompasses a 42 mile railroad corridor between Spokane and Athol. The intent is to improve safety at the 72 at-grade crossings. He requested ITD's partnership to complete preliminary engineering on a Pleasantview grade separation. ITD's share would be $\$ 190,000$, or $40 \%$, as the project impacts SH-53. The preliminary engineering would include future expansion of the state highway to four lanes, plus pedestrian and bicycle facilities. Currently, $\$ 5$ million in federal funds are available for the project; however, Commissioner Humphreys reported that there is speculation that the federal funds will be rescinded in the near future if the money is not expended. He added that he understands that no construction funds have been identified.

Member Whitehead noted the importance of SH-53 for truck traffic. Vice Chairman Blick said the Board has discussed Bridging the Valley for a number of years. He would support proceeding with a project.

Delegation - Idaho Association of Highway Districts. Stuart Davis, Executive Director, Idaho Association of Highway Districts, requested increasing the term agreement limit from $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 500,000$. He believes the limit, which has not been raised in many years, is a disservice to local entities, as it prevents some jurisdictions from using term agreements.

In response to Chairman Manning's question on others' support for this proposal, Executive Director Davis replied that he is making the request on behalf of other local public agencies, including the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council (LHTAC). He is not aware of any entities that oppose increasing the term agreement cap, unless ITD staff is opposed.

Chief Engineer Tom Cole reported that staff has been reviewing this issue. Last year, about 10 projects, or $4 \%$ exceeded the $\$ 250,000$ limit.

Member Coleman noted that the term agreement process has been in place for many years. He believes projects are getting more complex and bigger in scope and cost and that the term agreement limit has not kept up with inflation. He expressed support to increase the term agreement limit to $\$ 500,000$, partly because it would be more efficient for staff, as less paperwork would be required. In response to Member Gagner's question, Member Coleman does not foresee a negative impact if the limit is increased. The process would not change. Consultants would still be selected based on qualification and the expertise required for the project.

The consensus of the Board was to have staff review the request to increase the term agreement limit to $\$ 500,000$ and provide a recommendation to the Board.

Board Items. Chairman Manning said he attended the Governor's Task Force on Modernizing Transportation Funding meeting last month, where the Cost Allocation Study was released. The next Task Force meeting will be on August 31. Member Whitehead and Chairman Manning attended the opening of the Reed Ranch airstrip. Chairman Manning acknowledged the Division of Aeronautics' efforts. The opening was well attended and he believes making the airstrip available to the public will be advantageous. He also attended an awards ceremony where public relations efforts on the I-84, Vista Interchange project were recognized.

Director's Report. Director Ness thanked District 1 staff for its hospitality during his recent employee visits and yesterday's Board tour. He also expressed appreciation to the delegations for their attendance and comments.

Director Ness elaborated on the July Governor's Modernizing Transportation Funding Task Force meeting. The Task Force referred the Cost Allocation Study to the Cost Allocation Subcommittee for review and to make recommendations at the August 31 meeting.

Director Ness attended the Boise Chamber of Commerce meeting last month, where Governor Otter was the featured speaker. The Governor highlighted three state departments that contribute to promoting commerce and business in Idaho: the Departments of Labor, Commerce, and Transportation.

Transportation was a main topic at the Capital for a Day in Pierce earlier this month, with the proposal for the oil industry to transport overlegal loads on US-12 generating the most discussion. Idaho State Police (ISP) representatives reported that those loads were the most thoroughly inspected loads and ISP considers the loads among the safest on the highway. The Port of Lewiston manager also highlighted the economic benefit to the Port and the surrounding communities that would result from the shipment of the loads.

Director Ness attended the Association of General Contractors' (AGC) conference that included a joint session with ITD. Many issues were discussed, including the requirement for documenting electrical sub-contractors as part of the bid package. (See later minute entry.)

ITD's public relations effort establishing a kiosk at the Boise Airport to inform motorists of the Single Point Urban Interchange at the I-84, Vista Interchange received a national award. The American Road and Transportation Builders Association award highlights ITD's vision of teamwork, customer service, partnering, and effective delivery of our program.

Director Ness reported that Dr. Tom DeCoster interviewed several members of the leadership team. Dr. DeCoster is well known in the transportation industry for developing and conducting leadership academies for transportation professionals. He will provide recommendations on how ITD can improve and become a more efficient organization.

In response to last month's questions and discussions on performance measures, Director Ness said he intends to work with the leadership team to develop measurable goals for the upcoming year. The goals will be tied to individual employee performance measures. Employees will be held accountable for meeting their performance measures. The individual performance measures will feed into the overall performance measures of their work group and ultimately to the Department's.

Some of Director Ness's upcoming events include a partnering meeting with Ada County Highway District and a meeting with FHWA to discuss several issues.

Deputy Director Stokes distributed the performance measurement report. A new measure on administration and planning expenditures has been added. Compared to surrounding states, ITD's administration and planning expenditures as a percent of total expenditures is the lowest. DDIR Stokes cautioned that GARVEE expenditures are included, which tends to skew the data. He reported that efforts to implement the management systems are proceeding well. Some discussion followed on the performance measure of $82 \%$ of pavement is in good or fair condition. DDIR Stokes acknowledged the Board's concerted effort to focus on pavement condition and the one-time 2009 federal stimulus funds that ITD dedicated to pavement condition. However, based on projections, without additional revenue, the percent of pavement in good or fair condition will decrease.

Chairman Manning welcomed Representative Phil Hart to the meeting.
PTAC District 1 Update and I-Way Leadership Award. Jim Diffenbaugh, PTAC Member, District 1, complimented the Division of Public Transportation for the positive changes it has implemented the past several years, particularly shifting the focus from providers to users and establishing local mobility management networks. He commended CityLink's bus system. In 2005, the Coeur d'Alene Tribe initiated free transportation to its casino, but realized the need for public transit was more extensive. In partnership with Kootenai County, the service has been expanded and the ridership has continuously increased. PTAC Member Diffenbaugh added that the mobility manager is undertaking similar efforts in Boundary and Bonner Counties: creating connectivity for public transportation services.

The Board commended CityLink for its successful efforts.
Heather Wheeler, Executive Director, Community Transportation Association of Idaho, presented the I-Way Leadership Award to CityLink. She also acknowledged Craig Wilcox's efforts in cooperation with the Kootenai Metropolitan Planning Organization (KMPO) to install benches and improve the accessibility to public transit in Coeur d'Alene.

End of FY10 Adjustments to the Federal-Aid Formula Highway Program. Manager, Transportation Investments (MTI) Dave Amick requested approval to modify the FY10 FederalAid Formula Highway Program based upon project readiness and available funds. As of July 21, there are $\$ 281.1$ million of commitments against the $\$ 326.4$ million of obligation authority plus matching funds available for obligation of direct costs. Based on project readiness, $\$ 15.6$ million of projects are expected to delay. Staff is requesting $\$ 8$ million for cost increases for FY10
projects; $\$ 34.5$ million for additional preservation, restoration, safety, and bridge commitments; and $\$ 2.7$ million for expansion. ITD requested $\$ 48.3$ million in redistribution of obligation authority. MTI Amick summarized the program adjustments, anticipated project removals and delays, and list of additional project requests.

Vice Chairman Blick made a motion, seconded by Member Miller, and passed unopposed, to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. WHEREAS, it is in the public interest for the Idaho Transportation Department ITB10-30 to accomplish a current, realistic, and fiscally constrained Highway Capital Investment Program; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Idaho Transportation Board to effectively utilize all available federal-aid highway funding; and

WHEREAS, the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) requires that a priority list of projects covering a four-year period be provided in a Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP); and

WHEREAS, as of July 21, 2010, the estimated balance of available funds is $\$ 45.3$ million; and

WHEREAS, projects have been identified that will delay or be removed from FY10 totaling $\$ 15.6$ million; and

WHEREAS, projects have been identified requiring cost increases of $\$ 8$ million; and

WHEREAS, a prioritized list of projects has been identified that are ready should additional funding become available; and

WHEREAS, the result of these proposed delays, cost increases, and advances will fully utilize estimated FY10 federal-aid formula highway obligation authority and equity bonus funds of $\$ 283.2$ million; and

WHEREAS, the Department requested $\$ 48.3$ million in redistributed FY10 formula obligation authority from other states.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board authorizes staff to delay or remove projects in the list entitled "Anticipated Removals and Delays" and advance projects in the list entitled "Additional Requests", as shown as Exhibit 395, which is made a part hereof with like effect, in priority order as projects are delivered and as funding becomes available through Redistribution of Federal Formula Obligation Authority; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that staff is authorized to make the appropriate changes to the FY10-14 STIP in accordance with the provisions of SAFETEALU.

Monthly Financial Statements and Highway Program Obligations. MTI Amick reported that $\$ 322.8$ million had been obligated for projects in the STIP by the end of July. This is $75 \%$ of the project costs in the current STIP. At the same time last year, $\$ 201.6$ million had been obligated, or about $60 \%$.

Controller Gordon Wilmoth said FHWA Indirect Cost Allocation revenue was $\$ 34,410,923$ through June, which exceeded the projected amount of $\$ 25,000,000$. Miscellaneous state funded revenue of $\$ 28,058,988$ was $\$ 1,886,166$ below the forecast. Highway Distribution Account (HDA) revenue, excluding ethanol exemption elimination, was $\$ 175,133,700$. The projected revenue was $\$ 175,628,100$. Revenue from the ethanol exemption elimination was $\$ 14,777,958$, which was a $\$ 332,042$ negative variance from the projected amount.

Total expenditures in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) Title XII Fund were $\$ 70,953,700$, while $\$ 182$ million had been appropriated. Of the $\$ 17.4$ million LHTAC-administered ARRA Title XIV funds, $\$ 991,800$ had been expended.

Controller Wilmoth noted the Equipment Buy Back Program year-to-date proceeds are $\$ 11,080,104$. He also reported that revenue to the State Aeronautics Fund from aviation fuels was $\$ 1,454,441$, or $\$ 345,559$ below projections.

FY12 Proposed Budget Request. Economics and Research Manager (E\&RM) Doug Benzon presented the revised revenue forecast. The projected revenue to ITD from all sources of funding in FY12 is $\$ 567,650,000$. He noted the slight increase in revenue is based on legislation to remove ISP and the Department of Parks and Recreation from the distribution formula. In summarizing the Department's revenue history, he noted that the HDA revenue in 2009 was approximately the same amount of revenue received in 2001. Revenue to the Aeronautics fund is expected to increase slightly in FY12. The consumer price index inflation rate is just under $5 \%$.

Senior Budget Analyst (SBA) Joel Drake summarized the proposed FY12 budget request. Some highlights include $1,827.5$ full-time positions; a $\$ 1$ million, or $1 \%$, increase for personnel; $\$ 26$ million for replacement equipment; and $\$ 293.8$ million for construction. The request includes six line items totaling almost $\$ 40$ million; however, $\$ 38$ million is being requested for contract construction. SBA Drake said the list of GARVEE projects proposed for bonding authority in FY12 is still under development and will be added to the budget request later.

Member Gagner made a motion, seconded by Vice Chairman Blick, and passed unanimously, to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. WHEREAS, the FY12 Idaho Transportation Department budget request will be ITB10-31 prepared in accordance with instructions in the Division of Financial Management's Budget Development Manual; and

WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Board has reviewed the FY12 budget request summary.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board agrees with the budget request estimates and guidance provided as presented in the Department Summary and Certification, submitted for approval August 19, 2010, as shown in Exhibit 396, which is made a part hereof with like effect, and authorizes the estimates and guidance provided to serve as the basis for the budget request to be submitted to the Division of Financial Management and Legislative Services Office on or before September 1, 2010.

GARVEE Financing Authorization. Administrator, Division of Administration (ADA), Dave Tolman said earlier the Board approved authorizing the financing of $\$ 250$ million in bonds approved by the 2007 Idaho Legislature, of which $\$ 213.5$ million in bonds were issued. The 2010 Legislature passed Senate Bill 1427, which authorizes the Board to finance up to $\$ 12$ million in project costs. ADA Tolman requested approval to combine the balance of authorization from the 2007 legislation, in the amount of $\$ 36.5$ million, and the new $\$ 12$ million authorization provided by Senate Bill 1427 and to approve the issuance of bonds in a principal amount not to exceed $\$ 48.5$ million. He added that this approval is necessary due to legal requirements to keep the expenditures on current projects tax exempt. Additionally, the Idaho Housing and Finance Association must pass a resolution to issue bonds. The timing of the sale of these bonds will be determined at a later date to meet contracting requirements. He added that all other Board resolutions authorizing bonding provided by the Legislature have been fully utilized.

Member Vassar made a motion, seconded by Member Miller, and passed unopposed, to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) strives to make available ITB10-32 to the citizens of Idaho the finest transportation system via new construction projects and management of statewide assets and has authorized the use of a Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) bonded program; and

WHEREAS, the use of future federal funds today will save the state money in the future; and

WHEREAS, Title 40, Chapter 3 and Title 67, Chapter 62, of the Idaho Code, as amended (the "Act"), authorizes the Idaho Housing and Finance Association (IHFA) to issue bonds for the purpose of funding a highway capital improvement program (the "GARVEE Program") for financing all aspects of the construction of highway projects eligible for federal reimbursement as recommended and approved by the Idaho Transportation Board (ITB); and

WHEREAS, the ITD, the ITB, and the IHFA have entered into a Master Financing Agreement with respect to the bonds; and

WHEREAS, issuing such bonds promotes the purposes of the GARVEE Program; is in the public interest; serves a public purpose; increases commerce; promotes
the health, welfare, and safety of the people of the State of Idaho; and constitutes a proper exercise of the authority particularly set forth in the Act; and

WHEREAS, the 2007 Idaho Legislature has passed and the Governor has signed House Bill 336 authorizing ITD's 2007 request for bonding authority under Idaho Code Section 40-315(4) up to $\$ 250,000,000$ of bonding authority of which $\$ 213,535,000$ has been issued by IHFA leaving $\$ 36,465,000$ not issued to date, and the 2010 Idaho Legislature has passed and the Govemor signed Senate Bill 1427 GARVEE Bonding Authorization authorizing ITB's request for bonding authority under Idaho Code Section 40-315(4) up to $\$ 12,000,000$, and IHFA intends to issue certain tax exempt bonds, Federal Highway Trust Fund Series, during state fiscal year 2011 in an amount not to exceed $\$ 48,465,000$, comprising the $\$ 36,465,000$ remaining from the authorization under House Bill 336 and $\$ 12,000,000$ from the authorization under Senate Bill 1427 (the "Bonds"), in order to provide funds to finance the GARVEE Program for those projects (the "Projects") referenced in House Bill 336 and Senate Bill 1427 and other related legislation and this resolution shall serve as evidence of the Board's intention to proceed with issue of the Bonds up to the amount stated and to reimburse its expenditures for the Projects from the proceeds of the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, there has been prepared a Preliminary Official Statement relating to each series of the bonds and the distribution thereof to potential bond purchasers and the distribution to all actual purchasers of the bonds of a final Official Statement will thereafter be carried out; and

WHEREAS, other bonding documents will also be needed to be executed setting forth the applicable series of bonds.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board as follows:

1. Pursuant to the Act, the Board approves the Projects and recommends to IHFA the financing thereof through the issuance of the Bonds in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed $\$ 48,465,000, \$ 36,465,000$ from the bonding authority approved by House Bill 336 and $\$ 12,000,000$ from the bonding authority approved by Senate Bill 1427; provided that: (a) the ITD and the ITB have entered into a supplement to the Master Financial Agreement relating to the Bonds and previously executed; and (b) the ITD has provided to the IHFA all of the certificates, documents and information required under Idaho Code Section 67-6210(k).
2. The Chairman and/or Director is hereby authorized and directed, for and in the name and on behalf of the ITB, to execute the following bonding documents and any other documents required for the closing of the bonds setting forth the terms of the applicable series of bonds together with such additions or changes in the form thereof as may deem necessary or advisable, such approval to be conclusively evidenced by the execution of said bonding documents as so added to or changed.

Final Official Statement
Supplemental to Master Financial Agreement
Master Trust Indenture
Series Trust Indenture
3. Further, the Board and ITD will keep books and records of all expenditures and will make a reimbursement allocation, which is a written allocation that evidences the use of proceeds of the Bonds for Project expenditures no later than 18 months after the later of the date on which the expenditure is paid or the related Project is placed in service or abandoned, but in no event more than three years after the date on which the expenditure is paid. Finally, that this resolution evidences the Board's intent and reasonable expectation under Treas. Reg. Section 1.150-2(d) (1) to use the proceeds of the Bonds to pay certain costs of the Projects and to reimburse the Board and ITD for expenditures for the costs of the Projects paid prior to the issuance of the Bonds to the extent permitted by federal tax regulations.

Executive Session on Personnel and Legal Issues. Member Coleman made a motion to meet in executive session at 12:00 noon to discuss personnel and legal issues as authorized in Idaho Code Section 67-2345(a), (d), (f). Member Whitehead seconded the motion and it passed 6-0 by individual roll call vote.

A discussion was held on legal matters related to records exempt from public disclosure, Division of Motor Vehicles permit issues, and the Environmental Protection Agency's consent decree on the US-95, Mica Bay project.

A discussion was held on personnel matters related to the hiring of public officers.
The Board came out of executive session at 1:00 PM. No final actions or decisions were made.

Overlegal Permits Administrative Fee Costs. Reymundo Rodriguez, Commercial Vehicle Services Manager (CVSM), reported on a review of the FY09 revenue and costs generated by the issuance of overlegal permits. In summary, the revenue ITD receives from these fees is insufficient to recover the costs of issuing overlegal permits. He recommends modifying IDAPA 39.03.21, Rules Governing Overlegal Permit Fees, to ensure that appropriate administrative fees are collected for the issuance of these permits. All annual and single trip overlegal permit fees would increase by an average of $\$ 17.00$ per permit. The fee increases are distributed among the various types of permits based on the complexity of the permit issuance, staff involvement, and required updates to the maps and attachments needed for annual overlegal permits. A primary goal is that the Division of Motor Vehicles' programs be self supporting. CVSM Rodriguez added that the rule revision is being proposed now to coincide with the time frame as outlined in the IDAPA procedures.

Currently, a portion of the overlegal permit fees are placed in the HDA. Member Coleman questioned the equity to truckers. He believes the current fee structure captures the Department's costs. The problem is that ITD does not receive all of that revenue. Member Whitehead asked if the distribution formula could be changed. CVSM Rodriguez believes legislation would be required to change the distribution formula.

Member Vassar made a motion directing staff to propose draft legislation to eliminate revenue from overlegal permits being deposited into the Highway Distribution Account and to have that revenue deposited into the State Highway Account. Member Coleman seconded the motion.

Some members expressed support to pursue the proposed rule revision. If both the legislation and rule revision are successful, it was noted that the fees could be reduced to ensure equity.

The motion passed unanimously.
Member Coleman made a motion, seconded by Member Vassar, and passed unopposed, to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Board has the authority to approve ITB10-33 requested changes to Administrative Rules; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds the requested changes to the administrative rule will allow the Idaho Transportation Department to collect the appropriate permit fees to cover the cost of administering the permit program.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board approves the recommended changes to administrative rule:
IDAPA 39.03.21 - Permit Fees
Increase all overlegal permit fees by an average of $\$ 17$ per permit; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board directs staff to promulgate regular rule making for the changes as listed above for the Administrative Rule.

Performance Measurement Report due to Division of Financial Management (DFM). Matt Moore, Transportation Planning Administrator, presented the proposed Performance Measurement report, which is due to DFM by September 1. In addition to reporting on the Department's performance, the document also provides an overview on ITD, including its revenue and expenditures, cases managed, and performance highlights.

Member Vassar made a motion, seconded by Member Gagner, and passed unopposed, to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. WHEREAS, Idaho Code 67-1901-67-1904 requires that all state agencies must ITB10-34 submit an annual Performance Measurement Report on or before September 1; and

WHEREAS, the Performance Measurement Report provides an agency overview; identifies core functions of the Department; outlines state fiscal year revenues and expenditures; supplies the number of cases managed and key services provided by the Department; highlights the Department's efficiencies and awards; and defines the Department's strategic plan and performance measures; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Idaho Code 67-1904, the Director certifies that the data provided in the Performance Measurement Report has been internally assessed for accuracy.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Board has reviewed and found that the information in the report is acceptable and should be submitted in accordance with Idaho Code.

Idaho Traffic Crashes 2009 and Highway Safety Performance Plan FY11. Mary Hunter, Highway Safety Manager (HSM), said the goal of the Highway Safety Grant Program is to reduce deaths, serious injuries, and economic losses resulting from traffic crashes by implementing programs to address driver behaviors. The traffic crashes report provides data and analysis of the state's traffic crash problem areas and illustrates progress made. It is a reference document, along with other tools, to support the selection of project types and locations to address highway safety on a statewide and local basis. The crash data is also used to provide information to other users and to assess effectiveness of traffic safety issues as traffic crash reduction and injury prevention programs are developed.

HSM Hunter said the Highway Safety Performance Plan is prepared annually in accordance with federal requirements to identify and address Idaho's most critical behaviorrelated highway safety problems. The Plan was approved by the Idaho Traffic Safety Commission at its May meeting. Total funding for FY11 is $\$ 5.7$ million. Some of the focus areas are safety restraints, impaired drivers, aggressive driving, and youthful drivers.

In 2009, there were 226 fatalities and 11,393 injuries as a result of traffic crashes in Idaho. The state's fatality rate was 1.46 . Following are some notable statistics from the 2009 Idaho Traffic Crashes report: fatalities due to impaired driving were reduced from 96 to $65 ; 79 \%$ of occupants used safety restraints; $18 \%$ of all fatalities occurred at or near intersections; and 105 of the fatalities were related to aggressive driving behaviors.

Member Gagner made a motion, seconded by Member Whitehead, and passed unanimously, to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. WHEREAS, Idaho experienced 22,992 reportable traffic crashes, 226 traffic ITB10-35 deaths, and 11,393 people injured in 2009; and

WHEREAS, the economic cost of traffic crashes in Idaho for 2009 was over $\$ 2.5$ billion; and

WHEREAS, Idaho's fatality rate for 2009 was 1.46 fatalities per 100 million annual vehicle miles traveled, which is higher than the estimated national rate of 1.16 fatalities per 100 million annual vehicle miles traveled; and

WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Department's goal is to have zero traffic deaths; and

WHEREAS, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) may allocate about $\$ 5.7$ million in funding for Idaho to reduce traffic deaths and serious injuries; and

WHEREAS, the Idaho Traffic Safety Commission and the Office of Highway Operations and Safety have developed the Highway Safety Performance Plan for federal fiscal year 2011 to reduce Idaho traffic deaths, serious injuries, and economic losses; and

WHEREAS, the Highway Safety Performance Plan is required by NHTSA in order to receive funding.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Board adopts the Highway Safety Performance Plan for federal fiscal year 2011, which is on file in the Office of Highway Safety.

Highway Safety Improvement Program Funding. Highway Operations and Safety Engineer (HOSE) Brent Jennings reported that SAFETEA-LU created a Highway Safety Improvement Program. The intent is to significantly reduce fatalities and serious injuries resulting from crashes on public roads. The annual funding level in Idaho is about $\$ 11.4$ million.

Discussions have been held with LHTAC regarding utilizing these funds on local roads, according to HOSE Jennings. There is concern with the insufficient data available from local entities, as prioritizing the safety projects is to be based on data. As an alternative, it was agreed that crash corridors could be established based on frequency, and severity could be used as the identification criteria until the appropriate data can be established on the local system.

LHTAC Administrator Lance Holmstrom expressed support to expand the Highway Safety Improvement Program to the local system. He expressed concern with the number of crashes on local roads.

Vice Chairman Blick made a motion and seconded by Member Vassar to approve the following resolution:
RES. NO. WHEREAS, on August 10, 2005 the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient ITB10-36 Transportation Equity Act - a Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) created the core Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) for utilization by the states; and

WHEREAS, Idaho shall develop, implement, and evaluate on an annual basis a HSIP that has the overall objective of significantly reducing the occurrence of and
the potential for fatalities and serious injuries resulting from crashes on all public roads; and

WHEREAS, discussions have been held with the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council (LHTAC) regarding the application of the HSIP to the local roads level in order to meet the intent of SAFETEA-LU; and

WHEREAS, it is recognized that the majority of the local highway system does not have the exposure (volumetric) data in order to perform an equitable analysis to determine appropriate safety project selection on a statewide basis.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Department supports the allocation of a portion of HSIP funding to LHTAC in order to fulfill the intent of SAFETEA-LU; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Department shall accomplish the expansion of the HSIP to the local level by:

1) Working with LHTAC to analyze existing crash data to determine the top crash locations based on frequency and severity recognizing this methodology is acceptable to the Federal Highway Administration.
2) Not limiting HSIP funding only to the state highway system.
3) Establishment of the Safe Highway and Facilities Team to evaluate and balance the HSIP. LHTAC would be granted a seat on this team and the Districts and LHTAC would be responsible for individual project selection and management of their projects and associated funds within the HSIP.
4) Requiring LHTAC to follow all the HSIP criteria as established by FHWA. This would include the instruction given in the Capital Investment Program update for the latest Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.
5) Supporting and assisting where possible LHTAC in the establishment of a program for the collection of exposure (volumetric) data to support this program and to further meet the requirements of SAFETEA-LU. This includes a local road base map. Once local exposure data can be determined and collected and the local road base map is complete, proportional distribution of funding can be better refined and incorporated into the HSIP.

The motion passed 5-1 with Member Miller dissenting.
Electrical Requirements in the Bidding Process. Assistant Chief Engineer - Operations (ACE-O) Greg Laragan reported on staff's efforts to explore remedies to concerns with the electrical requirements in the bidding process. Recently, the low bid on a highway project was rejected because an electrical subcontractor was not listed. The project required minimal electrical work. Because this issue impacts all contracts, not just highway contracts, the Division of Public Works (DPW) was contacted. DPW does not believe there is a problem with the current requirements and expressed no desire to change Idaho Code. The AGC also believes the current language is sufficient and does not support revisions to the code. It did, however, suggest
that the language in the contracts could be improved. ACE-O Laragan proposed revisions, which have been reviewed by the AGC.

The Board concurred with this modification and thanked staff for its efforts.

District 1 Report. DE Allen reported that the District exceeded its project delivery goal. Improvements are being made to prepare engineers' estimates closer to the actual bid amounts. He summarized maintenance efforts, noting that due to a less-harsh winter, more resources were available for summer maintenance projects.

Some of the District's partnerships include working on the I-90/Greensferry access with the City of Post Falls, the US-2/US-95 connection project with the City of Sandpoint, access management studies with local communities, and the Bridging the Valley project with various entities. DE Allen said he will work with KMPO to determine the status of the $\$ 5$ million funds available for the Bridging the Valley project, and then work with the Kootenai County Area Transportation Team and KMPO on the region's priorities.

DE Allen provided an update on the US-95, Garwood to Sagle GARVEE corridor. The environmental decision was signed by FHWA in July. Final design, right-of-way acquisition, and utility coordination are underway. Coordination is also continuing with the local highway districts. Construction funds need to be secured. Next month, staff intends to propose seeking additional GARVEE bonding authorization on two projects: Garwood to Silverwood and Silverwood to Granite.

Planner Don Davis thanked DDIR Stokes for his leadership while in District 1. He acknowledged various accomplishments, including extensive improvements made to US-95, during DDIR Stokes' tenure as District Engineer.

WHEREUPON, the Idaho Transportation Board's regular monthly meeting officially adjourned at 3:05 PM.

DARRELL V MANNING, Chairman Idaho Transportation Board

Read and Approved
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ , Idaho

# 2010 BOARD MEETING DATES 

October 20－21， 2010 －Boise
November 17－18， 2010 －Boise
December 8－9， 2010 －Boise

2010

| S M T W T F S | SMTWTFS | S MTW T F S | S MTWT FS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | February | March | April |
| $31 \times 2$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$ | 123 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llllll}7 & 8 & 9 & 10111213\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10\end{array}$ |
| 10111213141516 | 14 椇16（17181920 | 141516 （1718）1920 | 11121314151617 |
| 17 M $19 \bigcirc 2021) 2223$ | 21222324252627 | 21222324252627 | $1 8 1 9 2 0 \longdiv { 2 1 2 2 ) 2 3 2 4 }$ |
| 24252627282930 | 28 | 28293031 | 252627282930 |
| May | June | July | August |
| 30 猃 1 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 123 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 101112\end{array}$ | 4 次 $6.7 \begin{array}{llllll}7 & 8 & 9 & 10\end{array}$ | $8 \quad 91011121314$ |
| 9101112131415 | 13141516171819 | ＋1－12－18－14－15 1617 | 15161718192021 |
| $1 6 1 7 1 8 \longdiv { 1 9 2 0 } 2 1 2 2$ | $2 0 2 1 \longdiv { 2 2 2 3 2 4 2 5 2 6 }$ | $1819202122) 2324$ | 22232425262728 |
| 23242526272829 | 27282930 | 25262728293031 | 293031 |
| September | October | November | December |
| 1234 | 31.12 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}-1-2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$ | 234 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & \text { M1 } & 1213\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 1011\end{array}$ |
| $121314(1516) 1718$ | 10 ） 41213141516 | $141516(1718) 1920$ | 12131415161718 |
| 19202122232425 | 171819 （2021）2223 | 21222324 奀 2627 | 19202122233425 |
| 2627282930 | 24252627 28－29－39 | 282930 | 262728293031 |

＂ X ＂＝holiday
＂－－－－－－＂＝conflicts such as AASHTO／WASHTO conferences
Other dates of interest：
September 19－24，2010：Idaho Association of Counties＇annual conference－Boise October 28 －November 2，2010：AASHTO annual meeting－Biloxi，Mississippi
November 9－12，2010：Idaho Assoc．of Highway Districts＇annual conference－Coeur d＇Alene Legislative Outreach Meetings：

December 7，2010， 9 to 11 AM：District 1
December 7，2010， 2 to 4 PM：District 2
December 8，2010， 9 to 11 AM：District 3
December 14，2010， 2 to 4 PM：District 4
December 15，2010， 9 to 11 AM：District 6
December 15，2010， 2 to 4 PM：District 5
December 16， 2 to 4 PM：Caldwell
Action：Approve the Board meeting schedule．

RES. NO. NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Board ITB10-37 approves the Public Transportation Advisory Council appointment to District 5 and has received and reviewed contract award information; professional services agreements and term agreement work task report; and the adequate truck parking report.

| Presenter's Name | Presenter's Title | PTA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Randy Kyrias | Preparer's Title | PTA |

## Subject

| PTAC Appointment for District \#5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route Number | Project Number | Key Number |
| District | Location |  |

## Background Information

The Public Transportation Advisory Council member representing District \#5, Ken Bullock, accepted an offer to become the District \#5 Mobility Manager. Because this appointment does not expire until May 2011, staff pursued a full application process to identify a replacement candidate. The following process was conducted to secure a recommendation:

- Staff released a notice of the PTAC opening and application packet to 277 individuals within District \#5.
- Upon the close of the application period the District \#5 Mobility Manager conducted a series of meetings with each of the Local Mobility Management Networks and also the District Coordination Council to review applications and submit a recommendation.
- Kathy Ray was the recommendation to serve the remainder of Ken Bullock's term. Kathy Ray's application is attached.


## Current PTAC Members:

- District 1: Jim Deffenbaugh
- District 2: Carl Root
- District 3: Kathleen Simko
- District 4: Joe Herring
- District 5: Ken Bullock
- District 6: Karen Cornwell

Term Expiration Date
June 2012
June 2013
June 2011
June 2012
June 2011 (Vacated)
June 2013

## Recommendations

Staff recommends the appointment of Kathy Ray to represent District \#5 on the Public Transportation Advisory Council for the remainder of the term expiring May 31, 2011.

## Board Action

$\square$ Other

# Application Information \& Questions 

Full Name: Kathy Ray
Organizational affiliation (if any): Four County Alliance of Southeast (daho
Street Address: PO Box 32
City, State, Zip: Malad, ID 83252
Phone numbers: 208-317-1827
E-mail address: fourcasi@hotmail.com
District for which I am applying: $1 \square \quad 2 \square 3 \square \quad 4 \square$

## Please attach letters of recommendation to your application submittal.

Phease respond to the following questions:

1. Describe why you are an appropriate representative for the perspective you offer to bring to the PTAC.
I work with Oneida County, Bear Lake County, Franklin County and Caribou County as an economic development specialist. I also serve on the Pioneer Country Travel Council board. Both tourism and commercial/industrial businesses need strong transportation access for their workforce and residents.
2. Explain how you feel you can represent and advocate for mobility.

I meet often with local officials and business people to access and help with their needs.
3. Describe the extent you are able to work collaboratively with others especially with those who you may have competing needs or interests.

Involving ond working with key members of the community is vital to any project. Also it is important to ask and recelve pubiic imput from the business community, schools and residents. Local buy in is critical in creating, completing ond sustaining any public project.
4. Describe your willingness and ability to support participation in the mobility efforts in your District. What would that look like?
Mobiity would look like connecting transportation for tourists flying into SLC and heading to Jackson Hole and Yellowstone. Mability would also look like access to 15 U and other universities/vocational services in the region. Also mobillty should look like pedestrian/bicycle pothways connect the community to its schools, medical services, business district and downtown core, as well as eventually connecting communities and towns to each other as well, The need for transportation for seniors and those preferring to travel with others is critical, especiolly when traveling for meals, shopping and medical services. Commuter buses heip reduce the amount of troffic and pollution in both Franklin County and Bear Lake County.
5. Describe your ability to meet and participate with the PTAC and the District Coordination Council (DCC) and the extent to which you could support the mobility initiative between meetings.

I have been invalved in the project in southeastern fiaho since outreach began.
6. Describe your experience, if any, in working with mobility issues.

Again I am used ot meeting with public official and private citizens on discussing issues and searching for answers while developing consensus. The
7. Are you a member, or a Board Member, of any organization or agency that receives assistance of any kind that requires a recommendation from the Public Transportation Advisory Council and/or a District Coordination Council?
No
8. Are you a member, or Board Member, of any organization or agency that provides support to any organization or agency that receives assistance of any kind that requires a recommendation from the Public Transportation Advisory Council and/or a Distritt Coordination Council?

No
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| Meeting Date September 15-16, 2010 |
| :--- |
| Presenter's Name |
| Tom Cole, LS/PE |
| Preparer's Name |
| Nestor Fernandez, P.E. |

## Subject

| Contract Award | Project Number | Key Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route Number | Location |  |
| District |  |  |

## Background Information

In accordance with board policy B-14-06, Staff has initiated or completed action to award or reject the contracts listed on the attached report.

## Recommendations

For Information Only.

## Board Action

Approved
DeferredOther

CONTRACT ACTIONS BY STAFF SINCE LAST BOARD MEETING


## MONTHLY STATUS REPORT TO THE BOARD

 CONTRACTSCONTRACT ACTIONS BY STAFF SINCE LAST BOARD MEETING


## Department Memorandum

Idaho Transportation Department

Program Number(s) A012(217)
DATE: July 22, 2010

TO: Nestor Fernandez, P.E.
Roadway Design Engineer
FROM: Monica Crider, P.E. MC
Assistant Roadway Design Engineer

Key Number(s) 12217<br>Program ID, County, Etc. STATE, D5 ADA IMPROVEMENTS

## RE: BID JUSTIFICATION

Headquarters has received and reviewed the bids for the referenced project. Four bids were received, ranging from $143.30 \%$ to 312.70 \% of the Engineer's Estimate (EE). The source of the Engineer's Estimate was the Average Unit Price Reports and the bids for the five prior bid ADA projects (KN 11979-KN 11983) for all contract items.

The main difference between engineers estimate and the lowest qualified bid (143.30\% of $E E$ ) are encompassed within the following items:

Bid Item Description Eng Estimate Low Bid Difference \% Eng Est

| S901-05B | CURB RAMP GROUP B | $\$ 270,970$ | $\$ 455,830$ | $\$ 184,860$ | 168.22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S901-05C | CURB RAMP GROUP C | $\$ 171,000$ | $\$ 219,260$ | $\$ 48,260$ | 128.22 |

S901-05C CURB RAMP GROUP C $\quad \$ 171,000 \quad \$ 219,260 \quad \$ 48,260 \quad 128.22$

The unit cost bids for S901-05B ranged from \$5770/ramp to $\$ 13000 / \mathrm{ramp}$ between the four bidders with $\$ 5770 / \mathrm{ramp}$ being the low bid. The unit cost bids for $\$ 901-05 \mathrm{C}$ ranged from $\$ 5770 / \mathrm{ramp}$ to $\$ 13000 / \mathrm{ramp}$ with $\$ 5770 / \mathrm{ramp}$ being the low bid. Potential reason for the overage on this item was the inclusion of numerous incidental items in the SP that were generally estimated in the EE where the bidders were more specific to each locations' requirements. Another reason for the overage is that the low bid Contractor was awarded the prior bid ADA projects and they have experienced more work necessary to meet ADA requirements at each location thus reflecting a higher cost per ramp.

As a result of the review, if S901-05B of the EE was adjusted up to $\$ 7885 / \mathrm{ramp}$ which is the average of the bids on this item and if S901-05C of the EE was adjusted up to $\$ 8021 / \mathrm{ramp}$, the average of the bids on this item, the low bid would be $80.30 \%$ of the $E E$. The offset to cover the necessary budget will come from formula funding. No other obvious errors were noted in the EE and it is recommend that the project be awarded to the lowest qualified bidder.

CC: DE5
ADE5
ARDE

DATE: July 22, 2010

TO: Nestor Fernandez, P.E. Roadway Design Engineer
FROM: Monica Crider, P.E. MC Assistant Roadway Design Engineer

## RE: BID JUSTIFICATION

Headquarters has received and reviewed the bids for the referenced project. Three bids were received, ranging from $137.34 \%$ to 198.12 \% of the Engineer's Estimate (EE). The source of the Engineer's Estimate was the Average Unit Price Reports and the bids for the five prior bid ADA projects (KN 11979-KN 11983) for all contract items.

The main difference between engineers estimate and the lowest qualified bid (137.34\% of EE ) are encompassed within the following items:

| Bid Item | Description | Eng Estimate | Low Bid | Difference | \% Eng Est |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S901-05B | CURB RAMP GROUP B | $\$ 71,300$ | $\$ 135,700$ | $\$ 64,440$ | 190.32 |
| S901-05C | CURB RAMP GROUP C | $\$ 136,000$ | $\$ 190,080$ | $\$ 54,080$ | 139.76 |

The unit cost bids for S901-05B ranged from $\$ 5900 /$ ramp to $\$ 9640 / r a m p$ between the three bidders with $\$ 5900 /$ ramp being the low bid. The unit cost bids for S901-05C ranged from $\$ 5940 / \mathrm{ramp}$ to $\$ 9346 / \mathrm{ramp}$ with $\$ 5940 / \mathrm{ramp}$ being the low bid. Potential reason for the overage on this item was the inclusion of numerous incidental items in the SP that were generally estimated in the EE where the bidders were more specific to each locations' requirements. Another reason for the overage is that the low bid Contractor was awarded the prior bid ADA projects and they have experienced more work necessary to meet ADA requirements at each location thus reflecting a higher cost per ramp.

As a result of the review, if S901-05B of the EE was adjusted up to $\$ 7386 / \mathrm{ramp}$ which is the average of the bids on this item and if S901-05C of the EE was adjusted up to $\$ 7362 / \mathrm{ramp}$, the average of the bids on this item, the low bid would be $92.27 \%$ of the EE. The offset to cover the overage will come from stimulus or formula funds. No other obvious errors were noted in the EE and it is recommend that the project be awarded to the lowest qualified bidder.

CC: DE6
ADE6
ARDE


## Background Information

The Consultant Administration Unit processed $\$ \mathbf{2 , 3 4 2 , 4 8 5 . 0 0}$ in new professional services agreements and work tasks (WT) issued from August 2, 2010 through August 27, 2010.

The table below shows the new agreements and work tasks for each District. Seventeen new agreements and work tasks were issued:

| Reason Consultant Needed | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 9 | Total No. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Resources not Available: Environmental | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Resources not Available: Utilities | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Resources not Available: Construction |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Resources not Available: Design |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Resources not Available: Materials |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Special Expertise: Design | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Special Expertise: Architectural |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Special Expertise: Hydraulics |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Special Expertise: Transportation Study |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Local Agency Projects | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Total | 4 |  | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 |

## District 1

| Project | Consultant | Amount | Description | Reason Consultant Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OffSys, S. Fork CDA River Road Bridge, East of Mullan | David Evans \& Associates, Inc. | \$94,500.00 | Design through PS\&E | Local Public Agency |
| US 95, Garwood to Sagle, Bonner Co. Env. Study (GARVEE) | Golder Associates, Inc. | \$14,200.00 | Asbestos and Lead Paint Surveys | Resources Not <br> Available: <br> Environmental |
| US 95, Garwood to Sagle Corridor (GARVEE) | ES Engineering | \$97,910.00 | Prepare Utility Agreements | Resources Not Available: Utilities |
| US 95, Garwood to Sagle, Silverwood Stg. (GARVEE) | J-U-B Engineers, Inc. | \$22,700.00 | Prepare Feasibility Study | Special <br> Expertise: <br> Design |

## District 3

| Project | Consultant | Amount | Description | Reason Consultant Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I 84, Franklin <br> Blvd to $11^{\text {th }}$ Ave, <br> Nampa <br> (GARVEE) | HDR <br> Engineering, Inc. | \$1,444,000.00 | Construction Engineering and Inspection Services | Resources Not Available: Construction |
| I 84, Robinson Road Bridge Railing (GARVEE) | J-U-B Engineers, Inc. | \$27,500.00 | Inspection <br> Sampling and Testing Services | Resources Not Available: Construction |
| US 20, Jct I 84 to Eagle Rd, SH 55, Ovrhd Message Bd to Horseshoe Bend SCL | Materials Testing \& Inspection | \$15,000.00 | Sampling and Testing Services | Resources Not Available: Construction |
| 184, $11^{\text {th }}$ Ave <br> Underpass, Nampa (GARVEE) | Materials Testing \& Inspection | \$44,000.00 | Sampling and Testing Services | Resources Not Available: Construction |
| US 95, Oregon <br> Ln to New <br> Meadows, Corridor Plan | HDR <br> Engineering, Inc. | \$15,000.00 | Prepare Final <br> Access <br> Management <br> Plan | Special <br> Expertise: <br> Design |

## District 4

| Project | Consultant | Amount | Description | Reason <br> Consultant Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMA-7072, <br> Washington St, Twin Falls | Civil Science, Inc. | \$249,100.00 | Construction <br> Engineering and Inspection Services | Local Public Agency |
| US 30, Hagerman Rest Area Reconstruction | David R. Brown | \$7,000.00 | Building Inspection | Special Expertise: Architectural |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH } 77 \text {, Source } \\ & \text { Site CS } 193 \text { East } \\ & \text { Hills, Declo } \end{aligned}$ | J-U-B Engineers, Inc. | \$19,500.00 | Field Survey and Haul Road Design | Resources Not Available: Design |
| SH 75, Boulder <br> Flats Wetland <br> Mitigation, <br> Blaine County | TEC, Inc. | \$12,000.00 | Cultural Resource Survey | Resources Not Available: Environmental |
| US 30, Hagerman Rest Area Reconstruction | Riedesel Engineering, Inc. | \$3,575.00 | Septic System Design | Special <br> Expertise: <br> Design |

District 5

| Project | Consultant | Amount | Description | Reason Consultant Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I 86, Chubbuck IC \#61 Bridge, Bannock County | American Geotechnics, Inc. | \$116,000.00 | Foundation <br> Investigation and <br> Phase 4 <br> Materials Report | Resources Not Available: Materials |

## District 6

| Project | Consultant | Amount | Description | Reason <br> Consultant <br> Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 93, Salmon River Bridge, Carmen | Ayres Associates, Inc. | \$87,500.00 | Scour Mitigation | Special <br> Expertise: <br> Hydraulics |

## District 9

| Project | Consultant | Amount | Description | Reason <br> Consultant <br> Needed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Statewide <br> Transportation <br> Systems Plan | David Evans \& | $\$ 73,000.00$ |  | Transportation |

Five Supplemental Agreements to existing agreements, one for District 1, one for District 2, two for District 3, and one in District 6, were processed during this period and are summarized below. Total dollar amount is $\$ 104,000.00$

| District | Project | Consultant | Amount | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | US 2, Dover Bridge, Bonner County (ARRA) | HNTB Corporation | \$50,000.00 | Additional Construction Support Services |
| 2 | SMA-7014, Int. Snake River Ave/Southway Ave, Drainage Field (LOCAL) | Horrocks Engineers, Inc. | \$11,000.00 | Amend Biological Eval to Include Bulltrout Habitat Revision |
| 3 | State, Chinden Blvd to Jct SH 44, Ada County | Parametrix, Inc. | \$14,000.00 | Groundwater Monitoring |
| 3 | FY09 ACHD <br> Thin Lift <br> Overlays <br> (LOCAL) <br> (ARRA) | HDR <br> Engineering, Inc. | \$14,500.00 | Additional Inspection and Administration Services |
| 6 | Lemhi County Transportation Plan (LOCAL) | Holladay Engineering Company | \$14,500.00 | Collection of <br> Pavement <br> Management <br> Information |

## Board Agenda Item

Recommendations
For Information Only

## Board Action

$\square$ Approved $\square$ Deferred
$\square$ Other

Meeting Date 9/15-16/10
Amount of Time Needed for Presentation Consent

| Presenter's Name | Presenter's Title | Initials |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tom Cole | Preparer's Title |  |
| Preparer's Name | DE-2 | Initials |
| Jim Carpenter | JFC |  |

## Subject

| Adequate truck parking |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route Number | Project Number Number <br> US-95 | Winchester Rest Area Partnership | | N/A |
| :--- |
| District |
| 2 |

## Background Information

The Nez Perce Tribe (NPT) has proposed a joint partnership for a new Rest Area/Convenience Store at the site of their current store near the junction of US-95 and US-95B Loop (Winchester Business Loop). This proposal was approved by the Board during the April 2010 Board meeting in District 2. The Board met with the NPT on site during the Board Tour for a presentation by the NPT. During this meeting there were questions raised regarding the number of truck parking stalls and the length of the stalls that would be provided in the partnership.

On August 27, District Two met with the Nez Perce Tribe and their architect. At this meeting ITD verified that the final plans will include a minimum of nine 89 foot long truck stalls. This meets the requirements that the D2 office had established for this route and meets or exceeds the capacity at similar existing Rest Areas in D2.

## Recommendations

Information Only

## Board Action



Other Information Only

Meeting Date September 15-16, $2010 \quad$ Amount of Time Needed for Presentation 5 Minutes

| Presenter's Name |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lance Holmstrom | Presenter's Title <br> LHTAC Administrator | Preparer's Title <br> Preparer's Name <br> Michael Moffett |

## Subject

## CONSULTANT SERVICES

| Route Number | Project Number | Key Number <br> SMA-7155 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A011(526) |  |  |
| District | Location |  |
| 1 | Government Way; Dalton to Hanley Ave. |  |

## Background Information

Welch, Comer and Associates, Inc. was selected from the Term Agreement List to perform design services for the Government Way; Dalton to Hanley Ave. project located in Coeur d'Alene. This project will increase the roadway from a two-lane arterial to an urban four-lane with a center turn lane roadway. The original agreement was $\$ 240,000$. A $\$ 9,400$ supplemental agreement was later added for a total agreement amount of $\$ 249,400$.

A request was submitted to ITD for a second supplemental agreement for additional design services required to relocate an existing waterline, running parallel to Government Way. Additional work includes production of Record Drawings a Public Hearing. The second supplemental request increases the agreement amount by $\$ 32,830$ for a total agreement to $\$ 282,230$. No additional funding is needed.

LHTAC and the city of Coeur d'Alene are requesting authorization from the Transportation Board for an additional supplemental agreement with Welch, Comer and Associates, Inc., which will exceed the $\$ 250,000$ limit for a consultant selection from the Term Agreement List.

## Recommendations

Approve supplemental agreement with Welch, Comer and Associates, Inc. Resolution on page 42.

## Board Action

# Board Agenda Item RESOLUTION 

WHEREAS, Welch Comer and Associates, Inc. was selected, by the City of Coeur d'Alene, from the ITD Term Agreement List to design the Government Way, Dalton to Hanley Ave. project in District One.

WHEREAS, additional professional services are required to relocate an existing water line in the project limits.

WHEREAS, the total agreement amount to date is $\$ 249,400$.
WHEREAS, the cost of the additional services is estimated at $\$ 32,830$ for a total agreement amount of $\$ 282,230$ which will exceed the $\$ 250,000$ limit for a consultant selection from the Term Agreement List set by Board Policy B-06-08.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Board approves the request to exceed the Term Agreement Limit by $\$ 32,230$ to allow a supplemental agreement with Welch Comer and Associates, Inc. for additional services for the Government Way, Dalton to Hanley Ave. project...

Amount of Time Needed for Presentation 10 minutes

| Presenter's Title | ${ }^{\text {Initials }}$ | Reviewed By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senior Transportation Planner | g 4 | UNW |
| Preparer's Title | Intia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mendiv |
| Senior Transportation Planner | 9 | 153 |

## Subject

Transfer of the Buhl-Wendell Highway to the State Highway System

| Route Number | Project Number | Key Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SH-46 | N/A | N/A |
| District | Location |  |
| 4 | Gooding and Twin Falls counties |  |

## Background Information

Transferring the Buhl-Wendell Highway to the State highway system was discussed by the Board at the January 2010 Board meeting. A cooperative agreement has been signed by the local highway jurisdictions, but ITD approval is pending, awaiting the outcome of the Board Subcommittee on System Adjustments meeting scheduled for September $15^{\text {th }}$. Assuming Board Subcommittee approval of accepting the Buhl-Wendell Highway onto the State highway system, the Official Minute approving this system action is attached.

## Recommendations

Approve Official Minute.

## Board Action

Approved
$\square$ Other

## OFFICIAL MINUTE

## Transfer of Real Property to the State of Idaho

WHEREAS, a route from Buhl (Milepost 85.252 on Clear Lakes Road) to the 1-84 Eastbound ramps (Milepost 100.00 on South 1950 East) in Wendell, as shown on the attached Exhibit " $A$ ", known as the State Highway 46 Extension, has been found to have the characteristics of a State highway; and

WHEREAS, by terms of a Cooperative Agreement dated $\qquad$ September 2010, with the city of Buhl, Buhl Highway District, West Point Highway District and the Wendell Highway District, the State agreed to accept onto the State highway system, the section of road from Buhl to Wendell cited above; and

WHEREAS, the Board Subcommittee on State Highway System Adjustments has reviewed the Cooperative Agreement and recommends Board approval of the system action.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the route from Buhl (Milepost 85.252 on Clear Lakes Road) to Wendell (Milepost 100.00 on South 1950 East) be accepted as a part of the State highway system as State Highway 46, including all jurisdiction, control and interest of the local jurisdictions of said section including the right-of-way appurtenant thereto and are hereby vacated and conveyed to the State as its interest may appear.

IDAHO TRANSPORTATION BOARD

## RECOMMEND:

$\frac{M E \text { Mrose wn }}{\text { TPA }}$

APPROVED:
CE
APPROVED AS TO FORM:
$\frac{1 / 204 /, 1}{\text { DAG }} \%$
$\frac{5 / 25 / 2610}{\text { Date }}$

| Chairman |
| :--- |
| Vice-chairman |
| Member |
| Member |
| Member |
| Member |
| Member |

BUHL MP 85.252 TO WENDELL MP 100.00


Meeting Date Sept. 15-16, 2010
Amount of Time Needed for Presentation 20

| Presenter's Name | Presenter's Title | Initials | Reviewed By |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Mollie McCarty | GAPM | Preparer's Title | Initials |
| Preparer's Name | tlg |  |  |
| Tim Greeley | TLPS |  |  |

## Subject

| Draft Legislation for 2011 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route Number |  |  |
| n/a | Project Number <br> $n / a$ | Key Number <br> $n / a$ |
| District | Location |  |
| $n / a$ | $n / a$ |  |

## Background Information

At the July, 2010 Board meeting the Board approved two (2) Legislative Idea forms (CDL Medical Card Requirements and Continuous Appropriations for Dedicated Funds) for 2011 and authorized staff to develop corresponding draft legislation for review and approval. These two Idea forms were submitted to the Division of Financial Management for approval to be developed into draft legislation. In August an additional legislative Idea (Authorize Use of Funding for Aeronautics) was developed by staff in response to a legislative audit finding. This Idea form will be submitted to the Division of Financial Management for approval by DFM to be developed into draft legislation.

Of the three (3) Ideas submitted to DFM for approval as draft legislation the Commercial Driver's License Medical Card Requirements (290-01)Idea has been cleared for development of draft legislation ; and the other two Ideas, Continuous Appropriations for Dedicated Funds (290-02); and Authorize Use of Funding for Aeronautics (290-03) are or will be under review.

All draft legislation approved by the Board must be submitted to DFM and to the Governor's office for their review and approval on September 17, 2010. (See attached "Exhibit A" for a summary description of the draft legislation submitted for Board approval, and "Exhibits \#1 through \#3" for the draft legislation.)

## Recommendations

Approve the attached Board Resolution; p. 76.

## Board Action

$\square$ Other

EXHIBIT "A"<br>ITD 2010 DRAFT LEGISLATION SUMMARY

## 1) CDL Medical Card Requirements

On January 30, 2009, a Federal motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) regulation established new requirements for commercial drivers who are subject to CDL and medical certificate requirements and also new requirements for States that issue CDLs to commercial drivers. These regulations require the States to maintain accurate and up-to-date information about the CDL holders Medical Examiner's Certificate in the electronic Commercial Driver's License Information System (CDLIS) driver record maintained by the State. The rule also requires the State to take action against CDL holders if they do not provide the required medical certification status information in a timely manner. States must implement these requirements by January 30, 2012. All CDL holders must comply with the requirement to submit information on their status by January 30, 2014. If the State is not in compliance with these requirements, then following the first full federal fiscal year of noncompliance, Idaho would be subject to a sanction equal to five percent (5\%) of apportioned IM, NHS and STP highway funds and ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of those funds in each following year of noncompliance. The amount of highway funding withheld the first fiscal year beginning October 1, 2013, would be approximately $\$ 9.0$ million and then $\$ 18.0$ million each fiscal year thereafter.

## 2) Continuous Appropriation of Dedicated Funds

This legislation would codify continuous appropriation of funds in the Local Bridge Inspection Account and the Railroad Grade Crossing Protection Fund. Currently, annual authorization to expend these funds must be secured from the Legislature via a special section in the Department's appropriation bill each year. This process has in the past created confusion among members of the JFAC and transportation committees. There is also the risk that this special section could be inadvertently left out of the appropriation bill, resulting in a failure to authorize expenditure of these funds. Each year $\$ 250,000$ is distributed from the HDA to the Rail Grade Crossing Protection Fund and \$100,000 to the Local Bridge Inspection Fund. These funds are dedicated and are limited by Code to specific uses. The annual appropriation bill language for these funds reads ... "It is legislative intent that all moneys ... are hereby continuously appropriated..." This legislation would codify this annual legislative action.

## 3) Authorize Use of Unrestricted Funding for Aeronautics

This legislation would establish authority in Code to allow the appropriation of State funds for operational and capital replacement costs in the Aeronautics air flight program. Both programs currently receive spending authority for unrestricted State funds through the Department's annual legislative appropriations bill. This legislation would clarify this process by authorizing the use of these funds as a necessary expense of the Department in Idaho Code. It also revises the term used to identify the Idaho Transportation Board in Section 40-707, Idaho Code and makes the legislation effective upon passage.

D-11-016 Commercial Drivers License Medical Card Requirements

## STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

On January 30, 2009, a Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration regulation became effective which establishes new requirements for drivers subject to CDL and medical certificate requirements. The rule also establishes requirements to be implemented by States that issue CDLs to such drivers. These requirements will ensure that accurate and up-to-date information about the CDL holder's medical examiner's certificate will be contained in the electronic CDLIS (Commercial Driver's License Information System) driver record that is maintained by States in compliance with the CDL regulations. Finally, the rule requires States to take certain actions against CDL holders if they do not provide the required and up-to-date medical certification status information in a timely manner. States must implement the requirements by January 30, 2012. By January 30, 2014, all CDL holders must comply with the requirement to submit to the state driver licensing agency their self-certification on whether they are subject to the physical qualification rules by January 30,2014.

## FISCAL NOTE

## FISCAL IMPACT IF BILL PASSES:

DMV systems programming is required to implement the changes. Cost to program the requirements into both the old DMV system and the new DMV system will be approximately $\$ 150,000$ for which federal grants (awarded on a competitive basis) could become available. Postage will be approximately $\$ 15,000$ the first year and approximately $\$ 3,000$ for postage each year thereafter.

## FISCAL IMPACT IF BILL FAILS:

If this legislation is not implemented, based on § 384.401, noncompliance will result in the withholding of funds from both Federal-aid highway funds and the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP).

Following the first full federal fiscal year of noncompliance, Idaho would be subject to a sanction equal to five percent of the Federal-aid highway funds required to be apportioned to any State under sections 104(b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(4) of title 23, U.S.C., (Interstate Maintenance, National Highway System and Surface Transportation Program). Following the second full fiscal year of noncompliance and every subsequent year of noncompliance, Idaho would be subject to a sanction of ten percent of Federal-aid highway funds.

Federal Highway funds withholding would begin October 1, 2013, at a rate of $\$ 750,000$ per month; increasing to a rate of $\$ 1.5$ million dollars per month beginning October 1,2014 . This is based on a federal-aid highway funding estimate of $\$ 180$ million in FY2014 ( $\$ 53.4$ million IM; $\$ 71.2$ million NHS and $\$ 55.8$ million STP). The amount highway funding withheld the first federal fiscal year beginning October 1, 2013 year would be $\$ 9$ million and beginning October 1,2014, the amount withheld per federal fiscal year would be $\$ 18$ million.

The Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) grant funds authorized under section 103 (b)(1) of the Motor Carrier Safety Improvement Act of 1999 (Public Law 106-159, 113 Stat. 1754), will be withheld from a state on the first day of the fiscal year following the first year of substantial noncompliance as determined by FMCSA. Per Public Law 106-159, 113 Stat. 1753, if the state has not returned to substantial compliance, additional funding will be withheld. The MCSAP grant fund withholding will mainly impact the Idaho State Police (ISP) MCSAP program.
[67 FR 49763, July 31, 2002]

CONTACT
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Name: } & \text { Ed Pemble } \\ \text { Agency: } & \text { Idaho Transportation Department } \\ \text { Phone: } & 332-7830\end{array}$

## STATEMENT OF PURPOSE/FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF IDAHO
Sixty-First Legislature First Regular Session - 2011

IN THE
BILL NO.
BY

## AN ACT

RELATING TO FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMERCIAL DRIVERS; AMENDING SECTION 49-105, IDAHO CODE, TO ADD A DEFINITION FOR A COMMERCIAL DRIVER'S LICENSE DOWNGRADE AS IT PERTAINS TO A DRIVER'S MEDICAL STATUS; AMENDING SECTION 49-301, IDAHO CODE, TO REQUIRE COMMERCIAL DRIVERS TO HAVE A CURRENT AND VALID MEDICAL EXAMINER'S CERTIFICATE ON FILE WITH THE DEPARTMENT WHILE OPERATING IN A "NON-EXCEPTED" STATUS AND TO HAVE, WHEN REQUIRED, A CURRENT VALID MEDICAL EXPEMPTION LETTER OR SKILLS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CERTIFICATE IN THE COMMERCIAL DRIVERS PHYSICAL POSSESSION, AMENDING SECTION 49-306, IDAHO CODE, TO REQUIRE COMMERCIAL DRIVERS TO CERTIFY THE CATEGORY OF COMMERCE IN WHICH THEY WILL BE OPERATING; AMENDING SECTION 49-317, IDAHO CODE, TO ALLOW DRIVER LICENSES TO BE RESTRICTED BASED ON NONAUTHORIZED SPECIAL MECHANICAL CONTROL DEVICES OR FOR MEDICAL VARIANCES; AMENDING SECTION 49-319, IDAHO CODE, TO REQUIRE COMMERCIAL DRIVERS TO PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN A CURRENT AND VALID MEDICAL EXAMINER'S CERTIFICATE AND/OR A MEDICAL EXEMPTION LETTER OR SKILL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CERTIFICATE AND PROVIDING AN ACTION FOR NONCOMPLIANCE; AMENDING SECTION 49-321, IDAHO CODE, TO REQUIRE THE DEPARTMENT TO MAINTAIN ON FILE FOR THREE YEARS AFTER ISSUANCE ALL MEDICAL EXAMINERS' CERTIFICATES, MEDICAL EXEMPTION LETTERS, AND SKILL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CERTIFICATES PROVIDED BY COMMERCIAL DRIVERS OR APPLICANTS FOR DRIVER INSTRUCTION PERMITS, AMENDING SECTION 49-322, IDAHO CODE, TO REQUIRE THE DEPARTMENT TO DOWNGRADE THE COMMERCIAL DRIVING PRIVILEGES OF DRIVERS WHO FAIL TO MAINTAIN THE REQUIRED MEDICAL EXAMINERS' CERTIFICATES, MEDICAL EXEMPTION LETTERS, OR SKILL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CERTIFICATES, AND TO PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Idaho:
Section 1. That Section 49-105, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

## DEFINITIONS

49-105.Definitions -- D. (1) "Dealer" means every person in the business of buying, selling or exchanging five (5) or more new or used vehicles, new or used neighborhood electric vehicles, new or used motorcycles, motor-driven cycles, snow machines or motorbikes, travel trailers, truck campers, all-terrain vehicles, utility type vehicles or motor homes in any calendar year, either outright or on conditional
sale, bailment, lease, chattel mortgage, or otherwise, or who has an established place of business for the sale, lease, trade, or display of these vehicles. No insurance company, bank, finance company, public utilities company, or other person coming into possession of any vehicle, as an incident to its regular business, who shall sell that vehicle under any contractual rights it may have, shall be considered a dealer. See also "salvage pool," section 49-120, Idaho Code.
(2) "Dealer's selling agreement." (See "Franchise," section 49-107, Idaho Code)
(3) "Department" means the Idaho transportation department acting directly or through its duly authorized officers and agents, except in chapters 6 and 9, title 49, Idaho Code, where the term means the Idaho state police, except as otherwise specifically provided.
(4) "Designated family member" means the spouse, child, grandchild, parent, brother or sister of the owner of a vehicle dealership who, in the event of the owner's death, is entitled to inherit the ownership interest in the dealership under the same terms of the owner's will, or who has been nominated in any other written instrument, or who, in the case of an incapacitated owner of a dealership, has been appointed by a court as the legal representative of the dealer's propexty.
(5) "Director" means the director of the Idaho transportation department, except in chapters 6,9 and 22 , title 49 , Idaho Code, where the term means the director of the Idaho state police.
(6) "Disclose" means to engage in any practice or conduct to make available and make known personal information contained in records of the department about a person to any other person, organization or entity, by any means of communication.
(7) "Disqualification" as defined in 49 CFR part 383, means withdrawal by the department of commercial vehicle driving privileges.
(8) "Distributor" means any person, firm, association, corporation or trust, resident or nonresident, who has a franchise from a manufacturer of vehicles to distribute vehicles in this state, and who in whole or in part sells or distributes new vehicles to dealers or who maintains distributor representatives.
(9) "Distributor branch" means a branch office similarly maintained by a distributor for the same purposes a factory branch is maintained.
(10) "Distributor representative" means any person, firm, association, corporation or trust, and each officer and employee thereof engaged as a representative of a distributor or distributor branch of vehicles for the purpose of making or promoting the sale of vehicles, or for supervising or contacting dealers or prospective dealers.
(11) "District" means:
(a) Business district. The territory contiguous to and including a highway when within any six hundred (600) feet along the highway there are buildings in use for business or industrial purposes, including hotels, banks or office buildings, railroad stations and public buildings which occupy at least three hundred (300) feet of frontage on one side or three hundred (300) feet collectively on both sides of the highway.
(b) Residential district. The territory contiguous to and including a highway not comprising a business district when the property on the highway for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is in the main improved with residences, or residences and buildings in use for business.
(c) Urban district. The territory contiguous to and including any highway which is built up with structures devoted to business, industry
or dwelling houses. For purposes of establishing speed limits in accordance with the provisions of section 49-654, Idaho Code, no state highway or any portion thereof lying within the boundaries of an urban district is subject to the limitations which otherwise apply to nonstate highways within an urban district. Provided, this subsection shall not limit the authority of the duly elected officials of an incorporated city acting as a local authority to decrease speed limits on state highways passing through any district within the incorporated city.
(12) "Documented vessel" means a vessel having a valid marine document as a vessel of the United States.
(13) "Downgrade" as it pertains to commercial drivers licensing shall mean eithex:
(a) The driver has changed his or her medical requirement selfcertification to interstate, but operates exclusively in transportation or operation excepted from Part 391 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations;
(b) The driver has changed his or her medical requirement selfcertification to intrastate only, if the driver qualifies under that State's physical qualification requirements for intrastate only;
(c) The driver has changed his or her medical requirement selfcertification to intrastate, but operates exclusively in transportation or operations excepted from all or part of the State driver qualification requirements, or
(d) The driver no longer has commercial motor vehicle driving privileges, but has retained privileges to drive non-commercial motor vehicles.
(143) "Drag race" means the operation of two (2) or more vehicles from a point side by side at accelerating speeds in a competitive attempt to outdistance each other, or the operation of one (1) or more vehicles over a common selected course, from the same point to the same point, for the purpose of comparing the relative speeds or power of acceleration of the vehicles within a cextain distance or time limit.
(154) "Driver" means every person who drives or is in actual physical control of a vehicle.
(165) "Driver's license" means a license or permit issued by the department or by any other jurisdiction to an individual which authorizes the individual to operate a motor vehicle or commercial motor vehicle on the highways in accordance with the requirements of title 49, Idaho Code.
(176) "Driver's license -- Classes of" are issued for the operation of a vehicle based on the size of the vehicle or the type of load and mean:
(a) Class A. This license shall be issued and valid for the operation of any combination of motor vehicles with a manufacturer's gross combination weight rating (GCWR) in excess of twenty-six thousand $(26,000)$ pounds, provided the manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of the vehicle(s) being towed is in excess of ten thousand (10,000) pounds. Persons holding a valid class A license may also operate vehicles requiring a class $B, C$ or $D$ license.
(b) Class B. This license shall be issued and valid for the operation of any single vehicle with a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) in excess of twenty-six thousand $(26,000)$ pounds, or any such
vehicle towing a vehicle not in excess of ten thousand (10,000) pounds manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR). Persons holding a valid class $B$ license may also operate vehicles requiring a class $C$ license or a class D license.
(c) Class C. This license shall be issued and valid for the operation of any single vehicle or combination of vehicles that does not meet the definition of class $A$ or class $B$, as defined in this section, but that either is designed to transport sixteen (16) or more people including the driver, or is of any size which does not meet the definition of class A or class B and is used in the transportation of materials found to be hazardous according to the hazardous material transportation act and which requires the motor vehicle to be placarded under the federal hazardous materials regulations 49 CFR part 172, subpart F. Persons holding a valid class $C$ license may also operate vehicles requiring a class D license.
(d) Class D. This license shall be issued and valid for the operation of a motor vehicle that is not a commercial vehicle as defined in section 49-123, Idaho Code.
(e) "Seasonal driver's license" means a special restricted class B or C driver's license to operate certain commercial vehicles in farmrelated industries under restrictions imposed by the department. As used in this definition, "farm-related industry" shall mean custom harvesters, farm retail outlets and suppliers, agri-chemical businesses and livestock feeders. Seasonal driver's licenses are not valid for driving vehicles carrying any quantities of hazardous material requiring placarding, except for diesel fuel in quantities of one thousand ( 1,000 ) gallons or less, liquid fertilizers, i.e., plant nutrients, in vehicles or implements of husbandry with total capacities of three thousand $(3,000)$ gallons or less, and solid fertilizers, i.e., solid plant nutrients, that are not mixed with any organic substance.
(187) "Driver record" means any record that pertains to an individual's driver's license, driving permit, driving privileges, driving history, identification documents or other similar credentials issued by the department.
(198) "Driver's license endorsements" means special authorizations that are required to be displayed on a driver's license which permit the driver to operate certain types of commercial vehicles ox commercial vehicles hauling certain types of cargo, or to operate a motorcycle or a school bus.
(a) "Endorsement T -- Double/Triple trailer" means this endorsement is required on a class $A, B$ or $C$ license to permit the licensee to operate a vehicle authorized to tow more than one (1) trailer.
(b) "Endorsement H -- Hazardous material" means this endorsement is required on a class $A, B$ or $C$ license if the driver is operating $a$ vehicle used in the transportation of materials found to be hazardous according to the hazardous material transportation act and which requires the motor vehicle to be placarded under the federal hazardous materials regulations 49 CFR part 172 , subpart $F$.
(c) "Endorsement P -- Passenger" means this endorsement is required on a class A, B or C license to permit the licensee to operate a vehicle designed to transport sixteen (16) or more people including the driver. (d) "Endorsement N -- Tank vehicle" means this endorsement is required on a class $A, B$ or $C$ license to permit the licensee to operate $a$ vehicle which is designed to transport any liquid or gaseous matexials within a tank that is either permanently or temporarily attached to the vehicle. Such vehicles include, but are not limited to, cargo tanks and
portable tanks, as defined in federal regulations 49 CFR part 171. This definition does not include portable tanks having a rated capacity under one thousand ( 1,000 ) gallons.
(e) "Endorsement M -- Motorcycle" means this endorsement is required on a driver's license to permit the driver to operate a motorcycle or motor-driven cycle.
(f) "Endorsement $S$-- School bus" means this endorsement is required on a class $A, B$ or $C$ license to permit the licensee to operate a school bus in accordance with 49 CF'R part 383 , to transport preprimary, primary or secondary school students from home to school, from school to home, or to and from school-sponsored events. School bus does not include a bus used as a common carrier.
(2019) "Driveway" means a private road giving access from a public way to a building on abutting grounds.
(201) "Dromedary tractor" means every motor vehicle designed and used primarily for drawing a semitrailer and so constructed as to carry manifested cargo in addition to a part of the weight of the semitrailer.

Section 2. That Section 49-301, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

49-301.Drivers to be licensed. (1) No person, except those expressly exempted by the provisions of this chapter, shall drive any motor vehicle upon a highway unless the person has a current and valid Idaho driver's license. Provided however, that those persons holding a restricted school attendance driving permit may drive upon a highway pursuant to the restrictions set forth in section 49-307A, Idaho Code.
(2) No person shall operate a motorcycle upon a highway unless he has a motorcycle endorsement on his valid driver's license.
(3) No person shall operate a motor vehicle in violation of any valid restriction identified on or attached to, his valid driver's license.
(4) No person shall receive a class $D$ driver's license unless and until he surrenders to the department all driver's licenses in his possession issued to him by Idaho or any other jurisdiction for use within the United States, or any identification cards issued by any other jurisdiction within the United States, or until he executes an affidavit that he does not possess a driver's license or any identification cards.
(5) No person shall be permitted to have more than one (1) driver's license issued for use within the United States at any time.
(6) No person shall operate a commercial motor vehicle as defined in section 49-123, Idaho Code, upon a highway:
(a) Without obtaining a commercial driver's license.
(b) Without having the appropriate class A, B or C commercial driver's license in the operator's possession.
(c) Without the proper license class of commercial driver's license or endorsements for the specific vehicle group being operated or for the passengers or type of cargo being transported.
(d) Unless the operator has a seasonal or class A, B or C driver's license with required endorsements in his possession.
(e) Without having a current and valid medical examiner's certification file with the department while operating in a "nonexcepted" status as required by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. If the federal motor carries administration has issued a medical exemption letter of skill performance evaluation certicicate,
the driver must have the current and valid documentation in physical possession and available upon request to a duly authorized federal, state or local enforcement official.
(7) Any holder of a class $A, B$ or $C$ commercial driver's license issued by a jurisdiction other than Idaho shall apply for an Idahoissued commercial driver's license within thirty (30) days of establishing a domicile in Idaho. In accordance with the federal motor carrier safety regulations, no person shall receive a class A, B or C driver's license unless and until he surrenders to the department all driver's licenses in his possession issued to him by Idaho or any other jurisdiction.
(8) Except as provided in section 49-304, Idaho Code, a violation of this section is a misdemeanor.

Section 3. That Section 49-306, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

49-306.APPLICATION FOR DRIVER'S LICENSE, INSTRUCTION PERMIT, OR RESTRICTED SCHOOL ATTENDANCE DRIVING PERMIT. (1) Every application for any instruction permit, restricted school attendance driving permit, or for a driver's license shall be made upon a form furnished by the department and shall be verified by the applicant before a person authorized to administer oaths. Officers and employees of the department and sheriffs and their deputies are authorized to administer the oaths without charge. Every application for a permit, extension or driver's license shall be accompanied by the following fee, none of which is refundable:
(a) Class A, B, C (4-year) license with endorsements --
age 21 years and older $\$ 40.00$
(b) Class A, B, C (3-year) license with endorsements --
age 18 to 21 years $\$ 30.00$
(c) Class A, B, C (1-year) license with endorsements --
age 20 years $\$ 15.00$
(d) Class D (3-year) license -- under age 18 years $\$ 25.00$
(e) Class D (3-year) license -- age 18 to 21 years $\$ 25.00$
(f) Class D (1-year) license -- age 17 years or age 20 years \$15.00
(g) Four-year Class D license -- age 21 years and older $\$ 30.00$
(h) Eight-year Class D license -- age 21 to 63 years $\$ 55.00$
(i) Class A, B, C instruction permit $\$ 29.00$
(j) Class $D$ instruction permit or supervised instruction permit
\$15.00
(k) Duplicate driver's license or permit issued under
section 49-318, Idaho Code \$15.00
(1) Driver's license extension issued under section

49-319, Idaho Code $\$ 10.00$
(m) License classification change (upgrade) \$25.00
(n) Endorsement addition $\$ 15.00$
(o) Class A, B, C skills tests not more than $\$ 70.00$
(p) Class D skills test $\$ 24.00$
(q) Motorcycle endorsement skills test $\$ 10.00$
(r) Knowledge test $\$ 3.00$
(s) Seasonal driver's license \$39.00
(t) One time motorcycle "M" endorsement $\$ 15.00$
(u) Motorcycle endorsement instruction permit \$15.00
(v) Restricted driving permit or restricted school attendance
driving permit $\$ 60.00$
(2) Every application shall state the true and full name, date of birth, sex, declaration of Idaho residency, Idaho residence address and mailing address, if different, of the applicant, height, weight, hair color, and eye color, and the applicant's social security number as verified by the social security administration. If an applicant has submitted an application pursuant to the provisions of chapter 58, title 19, Idaho Code, then the applicant may state, in his or her application pursuant to this section, the applicant's alternative Idaho mailing address in place of his or her Idaho residence address and mailing address.
(a) The requirement that an applicant provide a social security number as verified by the social security administration shall apply only to applicants who have been assigned a social security number.
(b) An applicant who has not been assigned a social security number shall:
(i) Present written verification from the social security administration that the applicant has not been assigned a social security number; and
(ii) Submit a birth certificate, passport or other documentary evidence issued by an entity other than a state or the United states; and
(iii) Submit such proof as the department may require that the applicant is lawfully present in the United States.
A driver's license or any instruction permit issued on and after January 1, 1993, shall not contain an applicant's social security number. Applications on file shall be exempt from disclosure except as provided in sections 49-202, 49-203, 49-203A and 49-204, Idaho Code.
(c) Every application for a class A, B or C license shall state where the applicant has been licensed for the preceding ten (10) years and under which of the following driving categories the applicant will operate:
(i) Non-Excepted Interstate. The Applicant operates or expects to operate in interstate commerce, and is required to provide a Medical Examiner's Certificate; or
(ii) Excepted Interstate. The Applicant operates or expects to operate in interstate commerce, but engages exclusively in transportation or operations excepted by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration from all or parts of the qualification requirements of Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulation 49, Part 391, and is therefore not required to provide a Medical Examiner's Certificate; or
(iii) Non-Excepted Intrastate. The Applicant operates only in intrastate commerce and is subject to and meets all Idaho driver qualification requirements and the applicable parts of Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulation 49, Part 391, and is required to provide a Medical Examiner's Certificate; or
(iv) Excepted Intrastate. The Applicant operates in intrastate commerce, but engages exclusively in transportation or operations excepted from all or parts of Idaho's driver qualification requixements listed in Section 67-2901B(2), Idaho Code, and the applicable parts of Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulation 49, Part 391, and is therefore not required to provide a Medical Examiner's Certificate.

Aall applications shall also state whether the applicant has previously been licensed as a driver, and if so, when and by what state or country, and whether a driver's license or privileges have ever been suspended, revoked, denied, disqualified, canceled or whether an application has ever been refused, and if so, the date of and reason for the suspension, revocation, denial, disqualification, cancellation or refusal and the applicant's oath that all information is correct as signified by the applicant's signature.

The applicant must submit proof of identity acceptable to the examiner or the department and date of birth as set forth in a certified copy of his birth certificate. When a certified copy of his birth certificate or a delayed birth certificate is impossible to obtain from a vital statistics agency, another government issued document may be submitted that provides satisfactory evidence of a person's full legal name and date of birth acceptable to the examiner or the department.
(c) Individuals required to register in compliance with section 3 of the federal military selective service act, 50 U.S.C. App. 451 et seq., as amended, shall be provided an opportunity to fulfill such registration requirements in conjunction with an application for a driver's license or instruction permit. Any registration information so supplied shall be transmitted by the department to the selective service system.
(3) Whenever an application is received from a person previously licensed in another juxisdiction, the department shall request a copy of the driver's record from the other jurisdiction and shall contact the national driver register. When received, the driver's record from the previous jurisdiction shall become a part of the driver's record in this state with the same force and effect as though entered on the driver's record in this state in the original instance.
(4) Whenever the department receives a request for a driver's record from another licensing jurisdiction, the record shall be forwarded without charge.
(5) The department shall contact and notify the commercial driver license information system of the proposed application for a class A, B or $C$ driver's license to ensure identification of the person and to obtain clearance to issue the license.
(6) When the fees required under this section are collected by a county officer, they shall be paid over to the county treasurer not less often than monthly, who shall immediately:
(a) Deposit an amount equal to five dollars (\$5.00) from each driver's license except an eight-year class $D$ license, or any class $D$ instruction permit application fees, application for a duplicate driver's license or permit, classification change, seasonal driver's license and additional endorsement, and ten dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) from each eight-year class $D$ driver's license, in the current expense fund; and
(b) Deposit two dollars and fifty cents (\$2.50) from each motorcycle endorsement and motorcycle endorsement instruction permit fee in the current expense fund; and
(c) Deposit an amount equal to three dollars ( $\$ 3.00$ ) from each fee for a knowledge test in the current expense fund; and
(d) Deposit an amount equal to ten dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) from each fee for a motorcycle endorsement skills test in the current expense fund; provided however, if a contractor administers the skills
test he shall be entitled to the ten dollar (\$10.00) fee; and
(e) Remit the remainder to the state treasurer; and
(f) Deposit seventeen dollars and fifty cents (\$17.50) from each fee for a class $D$ skills test into the county current expense fund, unless the test is administered by a department-approved contractor, in which case the contractor shall be entitled to seventeen dollars and fifty cents (\$17.50) of each fee.
(7) When the fees required under this section are collected by a state officer or agency, they shall be paid over to the state treasurer.
(8) The state treasurer shall distribute the moneys received from fees imposed by the provisions of this section, whether collected by a county officer or by a state officer or agency as follows:
(a) Two dollars (\$2.00) of each fee for a four-year driver's license or seasonal driver's license, and four dollars (\$4.00) of each fee for an eight-year class $D$ driver's license, and one dollar and fifty cents (\$1.50) of each fee charged for driver's licenses pursuant to subsections (1)(b), (d) and (e) of this section, and fifty cents (50\%) of each fee charged for driver's licenses pursuant to subsections (1)(c) and (f) of this section, shall be deposited in the emergency medical services fund II created in section 56-1018A, Idaho Code, and four dollars (\$4.00) of each fee charged pursuant to subsections (1) (a), (g) and (s) of this section and eight dollars (\$8.00) of each fee charged pursuant to subsection (1) (h) of this section and three dollars ( $\$ 3.00$ ) of each fee for driver's licenses pursuant to subsections (1) (b), (d) and (e) of this section, and one dollar (\$1.00) of each fee charged for driver's licenses pursuant to subsections (1) (c) and (f) of this section shall be deposited in the emergency medical services fund III created in section 56-1018B, Idaho Code; and
(b) Twenty-eight dollars (\$28.00) of each fee for a seasonal or class $A, B$ or $C$ driver's license, and nineteen dollars and fifty cents (\$19.50) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsection (1) (b) of this section, and eight dollaxs and sixteen cents (\$8.16) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsection (l) (c) of this section shall be deposited in the state highway account; and
(c) Twenty dollars (\$20.00) of each fee for a class $A$, $B$ or $C$ instruction permit or driver's license classification change shall be deposited in the state highway account; and
(d) Four dollars ( $\$ 4.00$ ) of each fee for a class $A, B$ or $C$ instruction permit shall be deposited in the emergency medical services fund III created in section 56-1018B, Idaho Code; and
(e) Ten dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) of each fee for a duplicate seasonal or class A, B or C driver's license, class A, B or C driver's license extension, or additional endorsement shall be deposited in the state highway account; and
(f) Seven dollars and fifty cents (\$7.50) of each fee for a motorcycle endorsement and motorcycle endorsement instruction permit shall be deposited in the state highway account; and
(g) Five dollars and thirty cents (\$5.30) of each fee for a fouryear class $D$ driver's license, and ten dollars and sixty cents (\$10.60) of each fee for an eight-year class D driver's license, and four dollars (\$4.00) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsections (1)(d) and (e) of this section, and one
dollar and thirty-three cents (\$1.33) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsection (1)(f) of this section shall be deposited in the driver training fund; and
(h) Twelve dollars and seventy cents ( $\$ 12.70$ ) of each fee for a four-year class D driver's license, and twenty dollars and forty cents ( $\$ 20.40$ ) of each fee for an eight-year class $D$ driver's license, and ten dollars and fifty cents ( $\$ 10.50$ ) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsections (1)(d) and (e) of this section, and six dollars and eighty-three cents (\$6.83) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsection (1)(f) of this section shall be deposited in the highway distribution fund; and
(i) Two dollars and sixty cents (\$2.60) of each fee for a class D instruction permit, duplicate class D license or permit, and class D license extension shall be deposited in the driver training fund; and
(j) Seven dollars and forty cents (\$7.40) of each fee for a class D instruction permit, duplicate class $D$ license or permit, and class D license extension shall be deposited in the highway distribution fund; and
(k) Ten dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) of each fee for a class A, B or C skills test shall be deposited in the state highway account; and
(1) One dollar ( $\$ 1.00$ ) of each fee for a class A, B, C or fouryear D driver's license, and two dollars (\$2.00) of each fee for an eight-year class D driver's license, and one dollar ( $\$ 1.00$ ) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsections (1) (b), (d) and (e) of this section, and thirty-four cents (34\%) of each fee charged for a license pursuant to subsections (1)(c) and ( f ) of this section shall be deposited in the motorcycle safety program fund established in section 33-4904, Idaho Code; and
(m) Six dollars and fifty cents ( $\$ 6.50$ ) of each fee for a class D skills test shall be deposited into the state highway account.
(9) The contractor administering a class A, B or C skills test shall be entitled to not more than sixty dollars ( $\$ 60.00$ ) of the skills test fee. A contractor administering a class A, B or C skills test may collect an additional fee for the use of the contractor's vehicle for the skills test.
(10) Sixty dollars ( $\$ 60.00$ ) of each restricted driving permit and each restricted school attendance driving permit shall be deposited in the state highway account.
(11) The department may issue seasonal class $B$ or $C$ driver's licenses to drivers who are employees of agri-chemical businesses, custom harvesters, farm retail outlets and suppliers, and livestock feeders that:
(a) Will only be valid for driving commercial vehicles that normally require class $B$ or $C$ commercial driver's licenses;
(b) Will be valid for seasonal periods that begin on the date of issuance and that are not to exceed one hundred eighty (180) days in a twelve (12) month period;
(c) May only be obtained twice in a driver's lifetime;
(d) Are valid only within a one hundred fifty (150) mile radius of the place of business or farm being serviced; and
(e) Will be valid only in conjunction with valid Idaho class $D$ driver's licenses.
(12) The department may issue seasonal class $B$ or $C$ driver's licenses to drivers who:
(a) Have not violated the single license provisions of applicable federal regulations;
(b) Have not had any license suspensions, revocations or cancellations;
(c) Have not had any convictions in any vehicle for any offense listed in section 49-335(1) or (2), Idaho Code, or any one (1) serious traffic offense;
(d) Have at least one (1) year of driving experience with a class

D or equivalent license in any type motor vehicle; and
(e) Are at least sixteen (16) years old.

Section 4. That Section 49-317, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

49-317.RESTRICTED DRIVER'S LICENSES. (1) The department, upon issuing a driver's license, shall have authority whenever good cause appears to impose restrictions suitable to the licensee's driving ability with respect to:
(a) Tthe type of or special mechanical control devices required or not permitted on a motor vehicle which the licensee may operateti
(b) Medical variances as determined by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration; or
(c) Oether restrictions applicable to the licensee as the department may determine to be appropriate to assure the safe operation of a motor vehicle by the licensee.
(2) The department may either issue a special restricted driver's license or may set forth restrictions upon the usual driver's license form.
(3) The department shall, upon receiving satisfactory evidence of any violation of the restrictions of a driver's license, suspend the driver's license or privileges for a period of thirty (30) days but the licensee shall be entitled to a hearing as provided in section 49-326, Idaho Code.

Section 5. That Section 49-319, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

49-319.EXPIRATION AND RENEWAL OF DRIVER'S LICENSE. (1) Every noncommercial Idaho driver's license issued to a driver shall expire and be renewable as follows:
(a) Twenty-one (21) years of age or older shall expire on the licensee's birthday in the fourth year following the issuance of the driver's license.
(b) At the option of the applicant, for drivers twenty-one (21) years of age through sixty-two (62) years of age, the driver's license shall expire either on the licensee's birthday in the fourth year or the eighth year following the issuance of the driver's license.
(c) Every driver's license issued to a drivex under eighteen (18) years of age shall expire five (5) days after the licensee's eighteenth birthday.
(d) Every driver's license issued to a driver eighteen (18) years of age but under twenty-one (21) years of age shall expire five
(5) days after the licensee's twenty-first birthday.
(e) Except licenses issued to drivers under twenty-one (21) years
of age, every driver's license that is not, as provided by law, suspended, revoked or disqualified in this state or any other jurisdiction shall be renewable on or before its expiration, but not more than twelve (12) months before, upon application, payment of the required fee, and satisfactory completion of the required eyesight examination.
(2) Every commercial driver's license issued to a person twentyone (21) years of age or older shall expire on the licensee's birthday in the fourth year following issuance of the license, and any class $A$, $B$ or $C$ license issued to a person eighteen (18), nineteen (19) or twenty (20) years of age shall expire five (5) days after the licensee's twenty-first birthday. There shall be no option for an eight-year class A, B or C license.
(3) Every driver's license issued to a person who is not a citizen or permanent legal resident of the United States shall have an expiration date that is the same date as the end of lawful stay in the United States as indicated on documents issued and verified by the department of homeland security, provided however, that the expiration date shall not extend beyond the expiration date for the same category of license issued to citizens. Persons whose department of homeland security documents do not state an expiration date shall be issued a driver's license with an expiration date of one (1) year from the date of issuance. Fees shall be in accordance with the expiration periods and classes listed in section 49-306(1), Idaho Code.
(4) An applicant who is issued a driver's license in another jurisdiction after an Idaho driver's license has been issued is not eligible for renewal or a duplicate of the Idaho driver's license. The applicant may apply for a new Idaho driver's license as provided in section 49-306, Idaho Code.
(5) No knowledge test shall be required for renewal of a driver's license, except for renewal of a hazardous material endorsement. Appropriate knowledge and skill tests shall be required for an upgrade in a driver's license class or an endorsement addition. In the case of a name change, the applicant shall provide legal documentation to verify the change in accordance with department rules.
(6) Applicants for a hazardous material endorsement shall provide either proof of United States citizenship or proof of lawful, permanent United States residence and a valid federal bureau of citizenship and immigration services alien registration number. A security background records check and federal transportation security administration clearance shall be required for issuance, renewal or transfer of a hazardous material endorsement in accordance with 49 CFR part 383, subject to procedures established by the federal transportation security administration.
(7) Except for drivers under twenty-one (21) years of age, when a driver's license has been expired for fewer than twelve (12) months, the renewal of the driver's license shall start from the original date of expiration regardless of the year in which the application for renewal is made. If the driver's license is expired for twelve (12) months or more, the applicant shall be required to take the knowledge, skills for the class of license or endorsement being applied for, and vision tests and the application shall expire on the licensee's birthday in the fourth year following issuance of the driver's license for drivers twenty-one (21) years of age or older, except as otherwise provided in subsection (3) of this section. At the option of the
applicant, for drivers twenty-one (21) years of age through sixty-two (62) years of age, the renewed license shall expire either on the licensee's birthday in the fourth year or the eighth year following issuance, except as otherwise provided in subsection (3) of this section.
(8) (a) If a driver's license has expired or will expire and the licensee is temporarily out-of-state except on active military duty, and the driver's license has not, as provided by law, been suspended, revoked, canceled, denied, refused or disqualified, the licensee may request in writing on a form prescribed by the department an extension of the driver's license. The request shall be accompanied by the fee fixed in section 49-306, Idaho Code, and the extension shall be less than a twelve (12) month period. If the department determines that an extension of the driver's license is necessary, it may issue a certificate of extension showing the date to which the expired driver's license is extended, and this certificate shall be attached to the expired driver's license. Certificates of extension are limited to two (2) per licensee.
(b) Upon returning to the state of Idaho, the licensee shall within ten (10) days, apply for a renewal of the expired driver's license and surrender the certificate of extension and the expired driver's license.
(c) A hazardous material endorsement cannot be extended.
(9) An Idaho driver's license issued to any person prior to serving on active duty in the armed forces of the United States, or a membex of the immediate family accompanying such a person, if valid and in full force and effect upon entering active duty, shall remain in full force and effect and shall, upon application, be extended for a period of four (4) years so long as active duty continues, or shall be renewed upon application in person without the requirement to take a knowledge or skills test if their Idaho driver's license expired while on active duty, if the driver's license is not suspended, denied, disqualified, canceled or revoked, as provided by law, during the active duty, and the driver's license shall remain in full force and effect sixty (60) days following the date the holder is released from active duty.
(10) The department may use a mail renewal process for four-year class D licenses based on criteria established by rule.
(11) A seasonal driver's license is only valid for a one hundred eighty (180) day period from the date of issuance. Only one (1) seasonal driver's license may be obtained in any twelve (12) month period, and may only be obtained twice in a driver's lifetime.
(12) A person who applies for renewal of a license may request that the notation "permanently disabled" be imprinted on the license and the department shall imprint "permanently disabled" on the license if:
(a) The person has a permanent disability; and
(b) The person presents written certification from a licensed physician, licensed physician assistant, or licensed advanced practice professional nurse verifying that the person's stated impairment qualifies as a permanent disability as provided in section 49-117, Idaho Code; and
(c) The department determines that the person meets the requirements for issuance of a license as specified in section 49313, Idaho Code.

Section 6. That Section 49-321, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

49-321.RECORDS TO BE KEPT BY THE DEPARTMENT. (1) The department shall file every application for a driver's license received by it and shall maintain suitable indices containing:
(a) All applications denied and on each note the reason for denial;
(b) All applications granted;
(c) The name of every licensee whose driver's license has been suspended, revoked, canceled, denied or disqualified by the department and after each name note the reasons for the action;
(d) The driver's license number for the applicant; and
(e) The social security number of the applicant.
(2) The department shall file the original or copy of the Medical Examiner's Certificates, Medical Exemption Letters, and Skill Performance Evaluation Certificates of all commercial driver's license or instruction permit holders required to provide documentation of their physical qualification. The department shall maintain the document(s) for a period of three (3) years beyond the date the certificate was issued.
(3z) The department shall also file all accident reports and abstracts of court records of convictions received by it under the law from any jurisdiction, and is authorized to forward records of convictions, suspensions or disqualifications to any jurisdiction. Records may be in either paper or electronic form. The department shall maintain convenient records or make suitable notations in order that an individual record of each licensee showing the convictions and the traffic accidents in which the licensee has been involved shall be readily ascertainable and available for consideration of the department upon any application for renewal of a driver's license and at other suitable times.
(43) The department of health and welfare, on or about the 25 th day of each month shall, upon the request of the department, furnish the department a listing showing the name, age, county of residence, and residence address of each Idaho resident who has died during the preceding month. The listing shall be used only for purposes of updating the driver's license files of the department and shall be subject to disclosure according to chapter 3, title 9, Idaho Code.

Section 7. That Section 49-322, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

49-322. AUTHORITY OF DEPARTMENT TO CANCEL DRIVER'S LICENSE OR INSTRUCTION PERMIT. (I) The department shall cancel any driver's license, restricted school attendance driving permit, or instruction permit upon determining that the licensee or permittee was not entitled to the issuance of the driver's license or instruction permit, or that the licensee or permittee failed to give the required or correct information in his application, or committed fraud in making the application.
(2) Upon a cancellation, the licensee or permittee shall surrender the canceled driver's license or canceled instruction permit
to the department.
(3) The department shall cancel a person's commercial driver's license upon determining that the class $A, B$, or $C$ licensee has falsified information. Upon cancellation of a class A, B, or C driver's license, the licensee shall be disqualified from operating a commercial motor vehicle for a period of sixty (60) days.

The department shall decertify the medical status and initiate a downgrade of any driver who is required by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration to maintain a Medical Examiner's Certificate and/or Medical Exemption Letter or Skill Performance Evaluation Certificate upon determining the person's medical certification has expired or has been revoked or cancelled. The department shall change the person's driving status in the driver record to "not-certified," within ten (10) days and shall mail a notification letter regarding the pending decertification and downgrade action to the driver's last known address. The downgrade action shall occur no more than 60 days from the date the "not-certified" status is posted to the record. Drivers can remove the "not-certified" medical status from their driving record by presenting a current and valid Medical Examiner's Certificate and/or Medical Exemption Letter or Skill Performance Evaluation Certificate to the department or by submitting an application to the department requesting their medical status be changed to "Excepted."
(4) When a driver's license has been canceled for reasons of impairment, incompetence or inability of the licensed driver to operate a motor vehicle safely as provided in section 49-303 or 49-326, Idaho Code, and the licensee has voluntarily surrendered his driver's license, or when a licensed driver requests cancellation of his license for any of the same reasons stated in this subsection and he voluntarily surrenders his license, the licensee may be eligible for a no-fee identification card as provided in section 49-2444, Idaho Code.

Section 8. This act shall be in full force and effect on and after January 30, 2012.

D-11-019 Continuous Appropriation: Local Bridge Inspection Account \& Railroad Grade Crossing Protection Fund

## STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The purpose of this legislation is to codify continuous appropriation of moneys in the Local Bridge Inspection Account (I.C. 40-703) and the Railroad Grade Crossing Protection Fund (I.C. 62-304A). This legislation would eliminate a point of confusion in the annual Idaho Transportation Department's appropriation process and codify ongoing legislative intent.

Currently, these funds do not enjoy continuous appropriation by code. Authorization to expend moneys from the funds must be secured from the legislature by way of a special section in the department's appropriation bill each year. This process has created confusion among JFAC and transportation committee members. It also carries risk of failure to authorize expenditures of these funds should the special authorization section required in the department's annual appropriation bill be accidentally omitted.

Programmatic use of moneys in these funds is specifically limited by existing Idaho Code. Each year, $\$ 250,000$ in gasoline tax revenues is distributed to the Railroad Grade Crossing Protection Fund (I.C. 63-2412(c)) and $\$ 100,000$ is distributed to the Local Bridge Inspection Account (I.C. 632412(d)). JFAC's annual authorization wording for these funds reads "It is legislative intent that all moneys ... are hereby continuously appropriated...". This legislation would directly codify this annual legislative action by adding the following language to the existing code sections authorizing each fund: "All moneys in this [ account / fund ] are hereby continuously appropriated to the department".

## FISCAL NOTE

FISCAL IMPACT IF BILL PASSES:
There will be no fiscal impact to the General Fund or the department if this legislation becomes law.
FISCAL IMPACT IF BILL FAILS:
There will be no fiscal impact to the General Fund or the department if this legislation fails to become law.

## CONTACT

| Name: | Joel Drake |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency: | Idaho Transportation Department |
| Phone: | $334-8734$ |
| STATEMENT | OF PURPOSE/FISCAL NOTE |

IN THE $\qquad$
BY
BILL NO.
AN ACT
RELATING TO APPROPRIATION OF MONEYS IN THE LOCAL BRIDGE INSPECTION ACCOUNT AND THE RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING PROTECTION FUND; AMENDING SECTION 40-703, IDAHO CODE TO ADD A PROVISION FOR CONTINUOUS APPROPRIATION OF MONEYS IN THE LOCAL BRIDGE INSPECTION ACCOUNT; AMENDING SECTION 62-304A, IDAHO CODE TO ADD A PROVISION FOR CONTINUOUS APPROPRIATION OF MONEYS IN THE RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING PROTECTION FUND.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Idaho:
SECTION 1. That Section 40-703, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

40-703.ESTABLISHMENT OF LOCAL BRIDGE INSPECTION ACCOUNT - ADMINISTRATION. In oxder to promote public safety at bridges on local public highways and to provide for the payment of the local matching share of federal funds available for periodic inspection of these bridges to comply with federal laws, there is established in the dedicated fund of the state treasury an account known as the "local bridge inspection account." The department is charged with the sole and exclusive administration of this account, and shall follow federal guidelines in making bridge inspections which are to be funded in part with federal funds. Interest earned on the investment of idle moneys in the local bridge inspection account shall be paid to the local bridge inspection account. All moneys in this account are hereby continuously appropriated to the department.

SECTION 2. That Section 62-304A, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

62-304A. CREATION OF RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING PROTECTION FUND. In order to promote the public safety at railroad grade crossings and public streets, roads or highways and to provide for the payment of all or part of the costs of installing, reconstructing, maintaining or improving automatic or other safety appliances, signals or devices at railroad grade crossings of public streets, roads or highways over the tracks of any railroad company or companies, or to support public education and
safety programs which promote awareness of public safety at railroad grade crossings of public streets, roads or highways, there is hereby created in the dedicated fund in the state treasury a fund to be known as the railroad grade crossing protection fund. All moneys in this fund are hereby continuously appropriated to the department.

## STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This legislation amends Idaho Code to establish statutory authority for the appropriation of state funds for operational and capital replacement costs in the Aeronautics air flight program.

Aeronautics currently receives spending authority of unrestricted state funds via the department's annual legislative appropriations.

This legislation clarifies this practice by authorizing it in statute. This legislation also revises the term used to identify the Idaho Transportation Board in Idaho Code.

## FISCAL NOTE

FISCAL IMPACT IF BILL PASSES:
Since this is current practice, there is no fiscal impact if this proposal should pass.

## FISCAL IMPACT IF BILL FAILS:

If this bill should fail, long-standing practice would be denied statutory authority making this appropriation convention clear, leaving it subject to question.

CONTACT

| Name: | Dave Tolman |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency: | Idaho Transportation Department |
| Phone: | $334-8525$ |
| STATEMENT OF PURPOSE/FISCAL NOTE |  |

IN THE
BILI NO.
BY

## AN ACT

RELATING TO APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS TO THE IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT'S AERONAUTICS PROGRAM; AMENDING SECTION 21-211, IDAHO CODE, TO AUTHORIZE APPROPRIATION OF MONIES IN THE STATE AERONAUTICS FUND (0221-00) FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEFRAYING STATE AIR FLIGHT PROGRAM COSTS; AMENDING SECTION 40-707, IDAHO CODE, TO REVISE THE TERM USED TO IDENTIFY THE IDAHO TRANSPORTATION BOARD AND AUTHORIZE APPROPRIATION OF MONIES IN THE STATE HIGHWAY FUND (0260-00) FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEFRAYING STATE AIR FLIGHT PROGRAM costs.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the state of Idaho:
Section 1. That Section 21-211, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

21-211. AERONAUTICS - STATE LAW FOR AERONAUTICS - PROCEEDS OF LICENSES AND FINES -- STATE AERONAUTICS FUND. All moneys collected for the licensing of aircraft and airmen, all fines and penalties paid under the provisions of laws relating to or regulating the operation, registration or licensing of aircraft or pilots, air safety or air flight not otherwise appropriated and such other funds as may be paid into the state aeronautics fund shall be paid to the state treasurer, and shall be placed by him in the state aeronautics fund, which is hereby created, and all of said state aeronautics fund is hereby appropriated for the purpose of furthering the administration, development and enforcement of laws relating to aviation, for defraying state air flight program costs, and for defraying administrative expenses of the Idaho transportation department, including per diem compensation of the Idaho transportation board, and the salary of the director of the department. Interest earned on the investment of idle moneys in the state aeronautics fund shall be paid to the state aeronautics fund.

Section 2. That Section 40-707, Idaho Code, be, and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

40-707. HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES - APPROPRIATIONS - APPROPRIATION OF MONEYS IN STATE HIGHWAY ACCOUNT. (1) From federal funds within the state highway account, there are hereby continuously appropriated first such amounts as, from time to time, shall be certified by the Idaho housing and finance association to the state controller, state treasurer and the board as necessary for payment of principal, interest and other amounts required for transportation bonds or notes of the

Idaho housing and finance association in accordance with chapter 62, title 67, Idaho Code, which amounts shall be transferred to the GARVEE debt sexvice fund established in section 40-718, Idaho Code.
(2) The board may, but is not obligated to, use any nonfederal funds in the state highway account to pay match as required for receipt of federal funds used to pay the bonds or notes as described in subsection (1) of this section. Such match may be transferred to the GARVEE debt service fund established in section 40-718, Idaho Code.
(3) One-half of one percent (.5\%) of the moneys in the state highway account may be utilized to encourage the use of recycled materials including, but not limited to, recycled glass, reclaimed asphalt, asphalt containing recycled plastic, recycled rubber tires and paper in highway construction and maintenance projects. All other moneys at any time in the state highway account, except those as are otherwise required by law to be placed in the state highway redemption account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of defraying the expenses, debts and costs incurred in carrying out the powers and duties of the Idaho Transportation Board highway board as provided by law, and for defraying administrative expenses of the department, including salaries of the board, the salary of the director, and salaries and wages of employees of the department and board and expenses for traveling. Communication supplies, equipment, fixed charges and all other necessary expenses of the department including the aeronautics air flight program and the board, not otherwise provided for and all claims against the state highway account shall be examined by the department and certified to the state controller, who shall, upon approval of the board of examiners, draw his warrant against the state highway account for all bills and claims allowed by the board.

SECTION 3. An emergency existing therefore, which emergency is hereby declaxed to exist, this act shall be in full force and effect on and after its passage and approval.

## Page 1 of 1

RES. NO. WHEREAS, the Idaho Governor's Office has requested that State agencies submit proposed 2011 legislation to the Division of Financial Management for review and approval; and

WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Board at the July and September, 2010 meetings reviewed and approved legislative ideas for submission to the Division of Financial Management; and

WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Board at the July and September, 2010 meetings authorized ITD staff to develop draft legislation for review and approval, prior to submission to the Division of Financial Management;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Idaho Transportation Board approves submittal of the attached proposed draft legislation (attached as exhibits \#1 through \#3 to the Division of Financial Management.

| Meeting Date September 16, 2010 | Amount of Time Needed for Presentation 15 min |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Presenter's Name | Presenter's Title | Initials | Reviewed By |
| Alan Frew | DMV Administrator |  |  |
| Preparer's Name | Preparer's Title | Initial |  |
| Reymundo Rodriguez | CVS Manager | dk |  |

## Subject

| Overlegal Permits Administrative Fees |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route Number | Project Number | Key Number |
| All | Location |  |
| District |  |  |
| All |  |  |

## Background Information

At the August 2010 Board meeting, a presentation on the results of a Program Cost Analysis performed by the Division of Motor Vehicles and Financial Services on the current overlegal permit administrative fee schedule informed the Board of an approximate $\$ 1,000,000$ shortage in that program area. Staff recommended a modification to IDAPA 39.03.21 Rules Governing Overlegal Permit Fees to increase all annual and single trip overlegal permit administrative fees by an average of $\$ 17.00$ per permit as derived by the completed analysis, all of which would be deposited in the State Highway Account (SHA).

The administrative fee increases are distributed among the various types of permits based on the complexity of the permit issuance, staff involvement, and required updates to the maps and attachments required for annual overlegal permits.

Board member Coleman questioned the equity to truckers. He believes the current administrative fee structure captures the Department's costs. The problem is that ITD does not receive all of that revenue. Board member Whitehead asked if the distribution formula could be changed. Commercial Vehicles Service Manager Reymundo Rodriguez believed that legislation would be required to change the distribution formula.

Upon further research, it does not take legislation to ensure that all annual and single trip overlegal permit administrative fees be deposited in the SHA. A Memorandum issued by our Legal Section in January of 2007 analyzes current Idaho Code and Administrative Rules and determines that administrative fees are intended to be deposited in the SHA instead of the Highway Distribution Account (HDA). (see attached memorandum)

A recent legislative concern by the Legislative Services Office (LSO) also concurs that all administrative fees collected by the Department under Idaho Code 49-1004 "shall be retained" by the Department.

In order to conform to this LSO concern, the Department will ensure that effective July $1^{\text {st }}, 2010$ all annual and single trip overlegal permit administrative fees are submitted to the SHA as required by Idaho Code and Administrative Rules.

## Recommendations

Information for the Board

## Board Action

$\square$ Approved $\square$ Deferred
$\square$ Other

## Revenue for Over Legal Permits

## OWLP Program Revenue Comparison Between FY09 \& FY10

Prepared 9/2/2010

| GL Revenue Name |  |  | FYO9 |  |  |  | Current <br> Process <br> Total ITD |  | FY10 |  |  |  | Current <br> Process <br> Total ITD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | H.D.A |  |  | S.H.A. |  |  |  | H.D.A. |  | S.H.A. |  |
| 103501 | Overlegal permit |  | \$ | 2,166,854 |  |  | \$ | 1,235,107 | \$ | 2,063,904 |  |  | \$ 1,176,425 |
| 103501 | Overlegal permit | \$15 fee |  |  | \$ | 1,008,681 | \$ | 1,008,681 |  |  | \$ | 1,012,365 | \$ 1,012,365 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 2,166,854 | \$ | 1,008,681 | \$ | 2,243,788 | \$ | 2,063,904 | \$ | 1,012,365 | \$2,188,791 |
|  | ISP Impact | 0.05 | \$ | 108,343 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 103,195 |  |  |  |
|  | Local Impact | 0.38 | \$ | 823,405 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 784,284 |  |  |  |
|  | Proposed S.H.A. Increase |  | \$ | 931,747 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 887,479 |  |  |  |

* ISP will not be participating in H.D.A. funds come July 1, 2011 due to HB 457
** Revenue totals from DAFR 115 EOM June 2010

Meeting Date September 15-16 Amount of Time Needed for Presentation 45 Min

| Presenter's Name | Presenter's Title | Initials |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Doug Benzon | Economist | Reviewed By |
| Preparer's Name | Preparer's Title |  |
| David Tolman | Division Administrator | Initials |

## Subject

| Highway Cost Allocation Study Report Briefing |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route Number | Project Number | Key Number |
| District | Location |  |

## Background Information

The Highway Cost Allocation Study (HCAS), developed under Board approved funding and in conjunction with the Governor's Task Force on Transportation Funding, has been completed. The presentation will provide a summary of the results of the HCAS and also cover some of the questions and answers submitted by the Cost Allocation Sub-Committee of the Governor's Task Force. Copies of the HCAS and other documentation provided to the Governor's Task Force have been previously sent to the Board Members.

Recommendations
Information Only

## Board Action

$\square$ Approved $\square$ Deferred $\qquad$
$\square$ Other

Meeting Date September 15-16; 2010
Amount of Time Needed for Presentation 15 minutes

| Presenter's Name | Presenter's Title | Initials |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gordon Wilmoth/Dave Amick | Controller/Transp. Invstmts. Mgr. | GW/DA |
| Preparer's Name | Reviewed By |  |
| Gordon Wilmoth/Dave Amick | Preparer's Title |  |

## Subject

## Monthly Financial Statements and Highway Program Obligations

| Route Number | Project Number | Key Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District | Location |  |

## Background Information

## Program and District Obligation

Attached is the FY2010 Actual Cumulative Obligations graph as of August 29, 2010.
By the end of August ( $91 \%$ of the way through FY2010) we obligated $\$ 376.7$ million, or about $87 \%$ of the FY2010 project costs in the current STIP.

At the same time last year we had obligated $\$ 300.2$ million, or $89 \%$ of the FY2009 project costs in the FY2009 STIP.

The blue line shows expected obligations for the year as estimated by the project managers.

## July 2010 Year to Date Financial Statements

## Fund 0260 - State Highway Fund

## Revenues

4,064,980 FHWA Indirect Cost Allocation YTD actual
2,825,000 FHWA Indirect Cost Allocation YTD projected
$1,239,98044 \%$ positive YTD variance
-0- Equipment Buy Back program YTD actual
2,794,151 Miscellaneous State Funded Revenue YTD actual
2,889,761 Miscellaneous State Funded Revenue YTD projected
$(95,610) 3.0 \%$ negative YTD variance
15, 173,020 HDA Revenue (excluding ethanol exemption elimination) YTD actual
13,961,900 HDA Revenue (excluding ethanol exemption elimination) YTD projected
$1,211,1208.7 \%$ positive YTD variance
$1,390,734 \mathrm{HDA}$ ethanol exemption elimination YTD actual
$1,289,800 \mathrm{HDA}$ ethanol exemption elimination YTD projected
100,934 $7.8 \%$ positive YTD variance

## Fund 0221 - State Aeronautics Fund

Revenues
113,986 Aviation Fuels revenue YTD actual
120,174 Aviation Fuels revenue YTD projected
$(6,188) 5.1 \%$ negative YTD variance

## Fund 026046 - ARRA Title XII

Expenditures
$86,479,700$ ARRA Title XII Appropriation to Date expenditures - $\$ 182 \mathrm{M}$ appropriated FY 2009

Fund 0346 - ARRA Title XIV
Expenditures
1,130,600 ARRA Title XIV Appropriation to Date expenditures - LHTAC administered $\$ 17.4 \mathrm{M}$ FY2009

Recommendations
For Information.

## Board Action

Approved $\square$ DeferredOtherFY2010 Actual Cu rative Obligations

## In Dollars and (Percent of Program)



## State Highway Fund 0260

Fiscal Year 2011
State Revenue Sources Forecast vs Actual
July - For Period Ending 07/31/2011



Includes Revenue and Transfers - In

State Highway Fund 0260
Fiscal Year 2011
Expenditures (Excludes ARRA Title XII)
July - For Period Ending 07/31/2011


[^0]

## GARVEE Capital Project Fund 0374

Fiscal Year 2011
Expenditures
July - For Period Ending 07/31/2010


## Aeronautics Fund 0221

Fiscal Year 2011
State Revenue Sources Forecast vs Actual July- For Period Ending 07/31/2010

includes Misc. Revenue and Transfers - In

## Aeronautics Fund 0221

Fiscal Year 2011
Federal \& State Revenue
July - For Period Ending 07/31/2010


## Aeronautics Fund 0221

Fiscal Year 2011
Expenditures
July - For Period Ending 07/31/2010


Current = Actual Payments and Encumbrances

## IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

## Operating Fund Balance Sheet

## ASSETS

> Cash on Hand ( Change Funds)
> Cash in Bank ( Daily Cash Operations)
> Investments ( Long Term Investments )
> $\quad$ Total Cash \& Investments
> Receivables - Other
> $\quad$ - Due From Locals ( Project Overruns /FAA)
> $\quad$ - Inter Agency

Total Receivables:

## Total Assets:

## LIABILITIES

Vouchers Payable
Sales Tax Payable

| 37,189 | 124,739 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7,851,262 | 8,108,685 | 0 | 0 |
| 837,178 | 557,787 | 0 | 0 |
| 8,725,629 | 8,791,211 | 0 | 0 |
| 37,587,392 | 29,013,286 | 14,220 | 38,763 |
| 55,394,732 | 66,613,286 | 861,078 | 827,540 |
| 92,982,124 | 95,626,572 | 875,298 | 866,303 |
| 101,707,753 | 104,417,783 | 875,298 | 866,303 |

User ID: tmartin
Report ID: F-GL-007L
Run Date: 12 Aug 2010
\% of Time Remaining: 91.7

Idaho Transportation Department

STATE HIGHWAY FUND

CURRENT STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET TO ACTUAL

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR TO DATE - FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 7/31/2010
Fund: $0260 \quad$ State Highway Fund
Fiscal Year: 2011

|  | Year to Date Allotment | Year to Date Actual | Current Month Activity | Year to Date Encumbrance | Variance Favorable / Unfavorable | Percent <br> Variance | Annual Appropriation | Appropriation Balance | Percent Remaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | $(\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B - D})$ | $(F=E / A)$ | (G) | $(\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D})$ | $(I=H / G)$ |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Aid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highway - FHWA | 23,182,700 | 18,638,347 | 18,638,347 | 0 | $(4,544.353)$ | -19.60\% | 381,640,715 | 363,002,368 | 95.12 \% |
| FHWA - Indirect Cost Allocation | 2,825,000 | 4,064,980 | 4,064,980 | 0 | 1,239,980 | 43.89 \% | 25,000,000 | 20,935,020 | 83.74 \% |
| Public Transportation | 464,400 | 517,991 | 517,991 | 0 | 53,591 | 11.54 \% | 8,007,400 | 7,489,409 | $93.53 \%$ |
| Highway Safety | 182,200 | 197,779 | 197,779 | 0 | 15,579 | $8.55 \%$ | 2,760,000 | 2,562,221 | $92.83 \%$ |
| Other Federal Aid | 0 | 295,551 | 295,551 | 0 | 295,551 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | (295,551) | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total Federal Aid: | 26,654,300 | 23,714,648 | 23,714,648 | 0 | $(2,939,652)$ | -11.03\% | 417,408,115 | 393,693,467 | 94.32 \% |
| Match From Local Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For Projects | 114,900 | 495,969 | 495,969 | 0 | 381,069 | $331.65 \%$ | 8,901,266 | 8,405,297 | $94.43 \%$ |
| Other Local Sources | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (93) | $-100.00 \%$ | 100,000 | 100,000 | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total Match From Local Sources: | 114,993 | 495,969 | 495,969 | 0 | 380,976 | 331.30 \% | 9,001,266 | 8,505,297 | 94.49 \% |
| Equipment Buy Back Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 11,233,400 | 11,233,400 | 100.00 \% |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 2,889,761 | 2,794,151 | 2,794,151 | 0 | (95,610) | $-3.31 \%$ | 31,570,001 | 28,775,850 | 91.15\% |
| TOTAL REVENUES: | 29,659,054 | 27,004,768 | 27,004,768 | 0 | (2,654,286) | -8.95\% | 469,212,782 | 442,208,014 | 94.24 \% |
| TRANSFERS-IN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statutory - HDA | 13,961,900 | 15,173,020 | 15,173,020 | 0 | 1,211,120 | 8.67 \% | 176,084,500 | 160,911,480 | 91.38\% |
| Operating | 1,289,800 | 1,390,734 | 1,390,734 | 0 | 100,934 | 7.83 \% | 15,515,500 | 14,124,766 | 91.04 \% |
| ```\[ 9 \] TOTAL TRANSFERS-IN: \[ 6 \]``` | 15,251,700 | 16,563,754 | 16,563,754 | 0 | 1,312,054 | 8.60 \% | 191,600,000 | 175,036,246 | 91.36 \% |

User ID: tmartin
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Idaho Transportation Department
STATE HIGHWAY FUND
CURRENT STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET TO ACTUAL
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR TO DATE - FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 7/31/2010
State Highway Fund
Fund: 0260
Fiscal Year: 2011

| Year to Date Allotment | Year to Date Actual | Current Month Activity | Year to Date Encumbrance | Variance Favorable / Unfavorable | Percent Variance | Annual Appropriation | Appropriation Balance | Percent Remaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | ( $\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{D}$ ) | $(\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A})$ | (G) | ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{D}$ ) | $(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{G})$ |


| TOTAL REV AND TRANSFERS-IN: | 44,910,754 | 43,568,522 | 43,568,522 | 0 | $(1,342,232)$ | -2.99\% | 660,812,782 | 617,244,260 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | expenditures


| Permanent Staff Salaries | 5,542,036 | 5,394,534 | 5,394,534 | 0 | 147,502 | $2.66 \%$ | 74,568,887 | 69,174,353 | 92.77\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board, Hourly, Overtime, Shift Differential | 163,076 | 216,665 | 216,665 | 0 | (53,589) | -32.86\% | 2,089,834 | 1,873,169 | 89.63 \% |
| Fringe Benefits | 2,529,369 | 2,445,966 | 2,445,966 | 0 | 83,403 | 3.30\% | 30,175,467 | 27,729,501 | 91.89\% |
| Internal Holdback-Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| In State Travel Expense | 109,662 | 90,807 | 90,807 | 0 | 18,855 | 17.19\% | 1,353,394 | 1,262,587 | 93.29\% |
| Out of State Travel Expense | 19,467 | 16,196 | 16,196 | 0 | 3,271 | 16.80 \% | 233,500 | 217,304 | 93.06\% |
| Operating Expenditures | 5,718,667 | 3,091,454 | 3,091,454 | 2,836,506 | (209.293) | -3.66\% | 73,728,907 | 67,800,947 | 91.96\% |
| Capital Equipment Expense | 1,149,691 | 136,128 | 136,128 | 9,531,893 | ( $8.518,330$ ) | -740.92\% | 21,866,400 | 12,198,379 | $55.79 \%$ |
| Capital Facilities Expense | 50,000 | 11,018 | 11,018 | 33,282 | 5,700 | 11.40\% | 2,800,000 | 2,755,700 | 98.42\% |
| Trustee \& Benefit Payments | 985,429 | 666,435 | 666,435 | 0 | 318,994 | $32.37 \%$ | 12,158,927 | 11,492,492 | 94.52\% |
| Contract Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenditures | 1,574,200 | 234,124 | 234,124 | 62,541 | 1,277,536 | 81.15\% | 32,373,985 | 32,077,321 | 99.08\% |
| Capital Equipment Expense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,363 | (2,363) | 0.00\% | 0 | (2.363) | 0.00\% |
| Federal Projects \& Match | 17,879,912 | 23,362,542 | 23,362,542 | 9,989 | $(5.492,619)$ | -30.72\% | 319,233,737 | 295,861,206 | 92.68\% |
| State Projects, MTL Source Sites, \& LRSEP | 3,102,600 | 14,103 | 14,103 | 0 | 3,088,497 | 99.55 \% | 98,273,110 | 98,259,007 | 99.99 \% |
| Trustee \& Benefit Payments | 322,700 | 265,119 | 265,119 | 0 | 57,581 | 17.84 \% | 7,238,921 | 6,973,802 | 96.34\% |
| Total Contract - Construction: | 22,879,412 | 23,875,888 | 23,875,888 | 74,893 | (1,071,369) | -4.68\% | 457,119,753 | 433,168,972 | 94.76 \% |
| Potal expenditures: | 39,146,809 | 35,945,090 | 35,945,090 | 12,476,574 | (9,274,854) | $-23.69 \%$ | 676,095,069 | 627,673,406 | 92.84\% |
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# Idaho Transportation Department 

STATE HIGHWAY FUND
CURRENT STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET TO ACTUAL

## FOR THE FISCAL YEAR TO DATE - FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 7/31/2010

| Fund: . | 0260 | State Highway Fund |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sub Fund: | 46 | ARRA Title XII |

Fiscal Year: 2011

|  | Year to Date Allotment | Year to Date Actual | Current Month Activity | Year to Date Encumbrance | Variance Favorable / Unfavorable | Percent <br> Variance | Annual Appropriation | Appropriation Balance | Percent Remaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | $\mathbf{( E}=\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D})$ | $(F=E / A)$ | (G) | $(\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{B}-\mathrm{D})$ | $(I=H / G)$ |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Aid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highway - FHWA | 11,928,210 | 9,911,155 | 9,911,155 | 0 | (2,017,055) | -16.91\% | 113,303,136 | 103,391,981 | 91.25 \% |
| Public Transportation | 358,000 | 127,766 | 127,766 | 0 | $(230,234)$ | -64.31\% | 6,377,751 | 6,249,985 | 98.00\% |
| Total Federal Aid: | 12,286,210 | 10,038,921 | 10,038,921 | 0 | (2,2-47,289) | -18.29\% | 119,680,887 | 109,641,966 | 91.61\% |
| TOTAL REVENUES: | 12,286,210 | 10,038,921 | 10,038,921 | 0 | $(2,247,289)$ | -18.29\% | 119,680,887 | 109,641,966 | 91.61 \% |
| TOTAL REV AND TRANSFERS-IN: | 12,286,210 | 10,038,921 | 10,038,921 | 0 | (2,247,289) | -18.29\% | 119,680,887 | 109,641,966 | 91.61 \% |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent Staff Salaries | 200,842 | 200,842 | 200,842 | 0 | (0) | -0.00\% | 3,405,000 | 3,204,158 | 94.10\% |
| Board, Hourly, Overtime, Shift Differential | 18,486 | 18,486 | 18,486 | 0 | (0) | -0.00\% | 100,000 | 81,514 | 81.51 \% |
| Fringe Benefits | 95,984 | 95,984 | 95,984 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 1,380,815 | 1,284,831 | 93.05\% |
| In State Travel Expense | 0 | 5,040 | 5,040 | 0 | (5,040) | 0.00\% | 0 | (5.040) | $0.00 \%$ |
| Operating Expenditures | 6,301 | 1,783 | 1,783 | 137 | 4,382 | 69.54 \% | 1,100,691 | 1,098,772 | 99.83 \% |
| Trustee \& Benefit Payments | 403,810 | 356,287 | 356,287 | 0 | 47,523 | 11.77\% | 5,495,114 | 5,138,827 | 93.52 \% |
| Contract Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenditures | 0 | 1 2,725 | 2,725 | 227,110 | (229.835) | 0.00\% | 615,473 | 385,638 | 62.66 \% |
| Federal Projects \& Match | 11,296,300 | 14,844,834 | 14,844,834 | 0 | (3,548.534) | -31.41\% | 107,583,794 | 92,738,960 | $86.20 \%$ |
| $\qquad$ Total Contract Construction: | 11,296,300 | 14,847,559 | 14,847,559 | 227,110 | $(3,778,369)$ | -33.45\% | 108,199,267 | 93,124,598 | 86.07 \% |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: N | 12,021,723 | 15,525,980 | 15,525,980 | 227,247 | $(3,731.504)$ | -31.04\% | 119,680,887 | 103,927,660 | 86.84 \% |
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## Idaho Transportation Department

STATE HIGHWAY FUND
CURRENT STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET TO ACTUAL
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR TO DATE - FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 7/31/2010

| Fund: | 0260 | State Highway Fund |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sub Fund: | 46 | ARRA Title XII |
| Fiscal Year: | 2011 |  |


|  | Year to Date Allotment <br> (A) | Year to Date Actual <br> (B) | Current Month Activity <br> (C) | Year to Date Encumbrance <br> (D) | Variance Favorable / Unfavorable $(\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D})$ | Percent Variance $(F=E / \mathbf{A})$ | Annual Appropriation (G) | Appropriation Balance $(\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D})$ | Percent Remaining $(\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{H} / \mathbf{G})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL EXPD AND TRANSFERS OUT: | 12,021,723 | 15,525,980 | 15,525,980 | 227,247 | (3,731,504) | -31.04\% | 119,680,887 | 103,927,660 | 86.84 \% |
| Net for Fiscal Year 2011: | 264,487 | (5,487,060) | (5,487,060) |  | $(5,978,793)$ |  | 0 | 5,714,306 |  |




[^1]
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# Idaho Transportation Department 

STATE AERONAUTICS FUND
CURRENT STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET TO ACTUAL
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR TO DATE - FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 7/31/2010

| Fund: | 0221 | State Aeronautics Fund |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fiscal Year: | 2011 |  |



## Federal Aid

Other Federal Aid

Total Federal Aid:
Miscellaneous Revenues
(A)
(B)
(D)
$(E=A-B-D) \quad(F=E / A)$
(G)
$(H=G-B-D):(I=H / G)$

| TOTAL REVENUES: | 87,541 | 31,943 | 31,943 | 0 | ( 55,598 ) | -63.51\% | 886,100 | 854,157 | 96.40 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TRANSFERS-IN

| Operating | 120,174 | 113,986 | 113,986 | 0 | $(6,188)$ | -5.15\% | 1,450,000 | 1,336,014 | 92.14\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL TRANSFERS-IN: | 120,174 | 113,986 | 113,986 | 0 | $(6,188)$ | -5.15\% | 1,450,000 | 1,336,014 | 92.14 \% |
| TOTAL REV AND TRANSFERS-IN: | 207,715 | 145,929 | 145,929 | 0 | (61,786) | -29.75\% | 2,336,100 | 2,190,171 | 93.75\% |

EXPENDITURES

| Permanent Staff Salaries | 45,397 | 45,279 | 45,279 | 0 | 118 | 0.26\% | 606,634 | 561,355 | 92.54 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board, Hourly, Overtime, Shift Differential | 11,268 | 10,953 | 10,953 | 0 | 315 | 2.79 \% | 80,150 | 69,197 | 86.33 \% |
| Fringe Benefits | 20,085 | 20,848 | 20,848 | 0 | (763) | -3.80\% | 221,316 | 200,468 | 90.58 \% |
| In State Travel Expense | 12,574 | 8,204 | 8,204 | 0 | 4,370 | $34.76 \%$ | 75,420 | 67,216 | 89.12\% |
| $\ldots$ Out of State Travel Expense | 2,750 | 194 | 194 | 0 | 2,556 | 92.95 \% | 16,300 | 16,106 | 98.81 \% |
| $\bigcirc$ Operating Expenditures | 52,823 | 25,460 | 25,460 | 1,454 | 25,909 | 49.05\% | 1,076,080 | 1,049,166 | 97.50\% |
| $\checkmark$ Capital Facilities Expense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100.00\% |
| Trustee \& Benefit Payments | 24,204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,204 | 100.00\% | 1,346,660 | 1,346,660 | 100.00\% |


| User ID: | tmartin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report ID: | F-GL-007L |
| Run Date: | 12 Aug 2010 |
| \% of Time |  |
| Remaining: | 91.7 |

## Idaho Transportation Department

STATE AERONAUTICS FUND
CURRENT STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
BUDGET TO ACTUAL
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR TO DATE - FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 7/31/2010

| Fund: | 0221 | State Aeronautics Fund |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fiscal Year: | 2011 |  |


|  | Year to Date Allotment <br> (A) | Year to Date Actual <br> (B) | Current Month Activity <br> (C) | Year to Date Encumbrance <br> (D) | Variance Favorable / Unfavorable $(\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D})$ | Percent Variance $(\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{E} / \mathbf{A})$ | Annual Appropriation <br> (G) | Appropriation Balance $(\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D})$ | Percent Remaining $(\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{H} / \mathbf{G})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: | 169,101 | 110,937 | 110,937 | 1,454 | 56,710 | 33.54 \% | 3,472,560 | 3,360,169 | 96.76\% |
| TOTAL EXPD AND TRANSFERS OUT: | 169,101 | 110,937 | 110,937 | 1,454 | 56,710 | 33.54 \% | 3,472,560 | 3,360,169 | 96.76 \% |
| Net for Fiscal Year 2011: | 38,614 | 34,992 | 34,992 |  | $(5,076)$ |  | (1,136,460) | (1,169,998) |  |


| Presenter's Name |  | Presenter's Title | Init |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| David R. Amick <br> Sonna Lynn Fernandez |  | Mgr. of Transportation Investments $D \mathrm{Lr} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ |  | Reviewed By |
|  |  | Intermodal Planning Manager Puettorz |  | 人 |
| Preparer's Name |  | Preparer's Title |  | 8 |
| David R. Amick Sonna Lynn Fernandez |  | Mgr. of Transportation Investments Intermodal Planning Manager |  |  |
| Subject |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended FY 2011-2015 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program |  |  |  |  |
| Route Number | Project Number |  | Key Number |  |
| Various | Various |  | Various |  |
| District | Location |  |  |  |
| Various | Various |  |  |  |

## Background Information

## Recommended FY2011-15 Capital Investment Projects

The FY 2011-2015 recommended capital investment projects are provided for your review and approval. The recommended projects support the updated Highways, Public Transportation, and Aeronautics programs as of September $1^{\text {st }}$. The matrix Program Sheets that are included with this item are color coded to illustrate changes between the draft Highway Program as reviewed at the Board's June Workshop and the recommended Highway Program. The formal Program Sheets are available for use in depicting the detailed costs for preliminary engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction by year for each project.

The draft modal programs were presented to the Board at the June 2010 Workshop. Changes made since the June Workshop include:

1. Programming of an additional $\$ 19$ million annually of bridge projects to accommodate a revised estimate of state funds in FY 2012 and later,
2. Advance and delay of projects as a result of delivery during the state FY 2010 end-of-year,
3. Advance and delay of projects as a result of delivery during the federal FY 2010 end-of-year,
4. Reprogramming of unutilized High Priority and Appropriation earmarks from FY 2010,
5. GARVEE legislative authority balancing changes,
6. Incorporation of recently approved Safe Routes to School projects,
7. An August Urban Committee Balancing Meeting,
(continued on the next page)

## Recommendations

Approval of the attached resolution, p. $1 / 1$

## Board Action

Approved Deferred
$\square$ Other
8. Increases to accommodate Local Highway Technical Assistance Council administrative costs, 9. A revised Boise Transportation Management Area Program, and 10. Other minor fiscally constrained changes at constituency request.

Included with this item as information to the Board are:
A. Performance Program Targets report from June (programmatic targets) updated to revised state fund estimates in FY 2012 and later,
B. Available Funding with Match vs. Programmed Projects Chart (programmatic effectiveness),
C. Available Funding with Match vs. Programmed Projects Averages (detail for above),
D. Available Funding with Match vs. Programmed Projects Report (detail for above),
E. Targeted Programs by Region Chart (regional equity),
F. Pavement Resurfacing Lane-Miles Chart (pavement initiative) from June Workshop, and
G. Capital Investment Summary from June (major investments) updated to revised state fund estimates in

FY 2012 and later.

## FY2011-14 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Approval

For purposes of FHWA and FTA approval the STIP will implement the priority list of projects for FY2011-15 reviewed by the Idaho Transportation Board under this Board agenda item. Unlike the five-year highway program, the STIP is a four-year federally approved program with the fifth year included for information purposes only. There is currently discussion between executive management and the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) regarding the subject of grouping projects and selected specific project changes within the Boise Transportation Management Area (TMA) portion of the STIP. If not resolved by the end of October staff is prepared to submit the STIP for federal approval excluding projects in the Boise and Nampa TMA/MPO area (as permitted in CFR 23 Sec .450 .216 (a)) until these negotiations are finalized. This will allow most of the individual projects and those with grouped status under the previously approved FY10-13 STIP to continue development. The remainder of the state to will proceed with unhindered development under the new recommended FY11-15 STIP.

The recommended STIP (with the possible exception of projects within the TMA/MPO areas mentioned above) will be submitted for approval to the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, and the Environmental Protection Agency upon Board approval. It will likely be approved by these agencies in December.

As required by 23 U.S.C.134, 23 CFR, Part 450 and 49, CFR, Part 613, regarding statewide and metropolitan planning requirements, the state (the department acts as designee of the state) shall develop a Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for all areas of the state. Funds made available under 23 United States Code require the department to certify the STIP was developed in accordance with all applicable federal requirements. In addition, we must certify the program was developed with public involvement; and is consistent with the 2004 Idaho Transportation Vision, and the first four years of the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Programs (MTIPs). A statement of compliance along with the recommended STIP will be submitted to Federal Highways Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration) FTA in October.

The FY 2011-2015 STIP is developed in compliance with the above requirements. Idaho's STIP is updated annually and represents a fiscally constrained multi-year, multi-modal program.

## Board Agenda Item

## FY2011-15 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program—Public Involvement

It is the department's operating philosophy to carry out year-round communication with citizens, elected officials, tribes, other state/federal agencies and interested parties on current and future projects.
Moreover, as the federally approved master plan of transportation projects, the STIP is developed by ITD in collaboration with the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council (LHTAC), the five MPOs, and input from the public and other interested agencies.

The DRAFT FY 2011-2015 STIP Comment Period was July 6 - August 4, 2010. Please reference the Board Comment Book for public involvement process and comments. The department received 36 public comments concerning the DRAFT FY2011-2015 STIP. The Board has been presented a copy of the Board Comment Book. The Idaho Transportation Board should consider public input that was gathered during the development of the draft STIP and make any necessary changes to the program before final adoption.

## RESOLUTION

## APPROVAL OF THE FY 2011-2015 <br> STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the department is required by 23 U.S.C. 134, 23 CFR, Part 450 and 49 CFR, Part 613 and SAFETEA-LU, to develop a Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP); and

WHEREAS, the program contains a list of priority transportation projects to be carried out for the first four years of the STIP and is in conformance with the first four years of each Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the STIP is consistent with "Idaho's Transportation Future: getting there together," the department's long-range vision/planning document adopted by the Board in July 2004; and

WHEREAS, the STIP, in nonattainment and maintenance areas, contains only transportation projects and programs found to conform to the requirements contained in 40 CFR Parts 51 and 93, and the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act (IDAPA), specifically the "Rules of the Department of Environmental Quality" found in Idaho Code 39-6701; and

WHEREAS, the STIP is financially constrained by year and includes sufficient financial information to demonstrate which projects are to be implemented using current revenues and which projects are to be implemented using proposed revenue sources while the transportation system as a whole is being adequately operated and maintained; and

WHEREAS, the STIP contains all federally funded projects required by 23 CFR 450.216 ( h ) and locally funded projects that are designated as 'regionally significant' requiring an action by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) or Federal Transit Administration (FTA); and

WHEREAS, the STIP, in the first year, includes only those projects that have been selected in accordance with federal project selection requirements for non-metropolitan areas; and

WHEREAS, the STIP includes all FY2011-15 capital and non-capital surface transportation projects (or phases of projects) within the boundaries of the State recommended to the Idaho Transportation Board for funding under Title 23 U.S.C. and Title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 as described in 23 CFR 450.216 (g) with the exception of the Ada County TMA and Nampa MPO area.

WHEREAS, the STIP was developed in accordance with all applicable federal requirements including adequate and reasonable opportunity for public review and comment; and

WHEREAS, the Idaho Transportation Board has considered public input during the development of the STIP;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Board approves the FY 2011-2015 STIP with any changes approved at the September 15-16, 2010, Idaho Transportation Board meeting to be included in the recommended STIP.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Idaho Transportation Board approves the transmittal of the recommended FY 2011-2015 STIP to the FHWA and FTA for their review and approval.

OPTION A - Minimize Disruption to Existing Projects; Curtail Pavement Preservation Inflation Allowance Estimates of Available Dollars By Fund Source - Revised to FY10 Actuals (\$000) - \$19M ST added in FY12 and Later

| Ref. No. | Funding Source | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY11 to FY15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Interstate Maintenance' | 55,821 | 51.858 | 51,858 | 51.858 | 54.858 | 263,252 |
| 2 | National Highways System ${ }^{1}$ | 74,174 | 68,908 | 68,908 | 68,908 | 68,908 | 349,806 |
| 3 | STP-State/Flex/Equity Bonus ${ }^{1}$ | 73,849 | 59,424 | 59.424 | 59.424 | 59,424 | 311,545 |
| 4 | Border Infrastructure' | 1,436 | 1.433 | 1,433 | 1.433 | 1,433 | 7,166 |
| 5 | Bridge-State ${ }^{1}$ | 17,080 | 15,867 | 15,867 | 15.867 | 15.867 | 80,548 |
| 6 | SHS Federal Total | 222,361 | 197,489 | 197,489 | 197,489 | 197,489 | 1,012,319 |
| 7 | State (ST) ${ }^{2}$ | -3,835 | 32,970 | 35,280 | 28,357 | 20,116 | 112,888 |
| 8 | Federal Indirect Cost Recovery Estimate ${ }^{2}$ | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 125,000 |
| 9 | State Board Unallocated ${ }^{2}$ | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 |
| 10 | State Rail ${ }^{2}$ | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 1,250 |
| 11 | State Forces (STF Personnel at 10\% of ST) ${ }^{2}$ | 2,117 | 3,897 | 4,128 | 3.436 | 2,612 | 16,189 |
| 12 | State Total | 25,532 | 64,117 | 66,658 | 59,043 | 49,978 | 265,327 |
| 13 | Rail Highway Crossing (SAFETEA-LU) | $\uparrow$ ¢,877 | 1.877 | 1,877 | 1.877 | 1.877 | 9,387 |
| 14 | Hwy Safety Improvement Program ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 11,208 | 10.412 | 10.412 | 10.412 | 10.412 | 52,857 |
| 15 | Safe Routes to School' | 1,000 | 1.000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 5,000 |
| 16 | STP-Enhancement' | 7,057 | 6.572 | 6,572 | 6,572 | 6.572 | 33,345 |
| 17 | Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality ${ }^{1}$ | 4,444 | 4,444 | 4,444 | 4.444 | 4.444 | 22,222 |
| 18 | Statewide Federal | 25,587 | 24,306 | 24,306 | 24,306 | 24,306 | 122,811 |
| 19 | Performance Program Total from KFP | 273,480 | 285,912 | 288,453 | 280.838 | 271,773 | 1,400,457 |

FY 2011 Program Update Packet Targets


Other Formula Program Levels
Local Program Levels (\$000)

| Ref. No. | Program Need | Board | FY/1 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY11 to FY15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | STP - Local Urban ${ }^{1}$ |  | 11,071 | 7.953 | 7.953 | 7.953 | 7.953 | 42,883 |
| 46 | STP - Transportation Management Area' |  | 7.649 | 7,121 | 7,121 | 7.121 | 7,121 | 36,134 |
| 47 | STP - Local Rural ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | 14,870 | 11,224 | 11,224 | 11,224 | 11,224 | 59.766 |
| 48 | Bridge - Local ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | 5.849 | 5.447 | 5,447 | 5,447 | 5,447 | 27,637 |
| 49 | Bridge - Off System' |  | 4,387 | 4,085 | 4,085 | 4,085 | 4,085 | 20.728 |
| 50 | Local Programs Total |  | 43,827 | 35,830 | 35,830 | 35,830 | 35,830 | 187,147 |
| Full Use \& Recreation Program Levels (\$000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | Metropolitan Planning ${ }^{2}$ |  | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1.640 | 1,640 | 8.201 |
| 52 | State Planning \& Research ${ }^{2}$ |  | 6,227 | 5.826 | 5,826 | 5.826 | 5,826 | 29,530 |
| 53 | Recreational Trails T\& ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | 1.843 | 1.843 | 1.843 | 1.843 | 1.843 | 9,213 |
| 54 | Full Use \& Recreation Totals |  | 9,710 | 9,309 | 9,309 | 9,309 | 9,309 | 46,944 |
| 55 | Other Program Level Totals |  | 53,537 | 45,139 | 45,139 | 45,139 | 45,139 | 234,092 |
| 56 | Federal Formula \& State Program Totals |  | 327,017 | 331,051 | 333,592 | 325,976 | 316,912 | 1,634,548 |


| Annual Inflationary Increase (5\%) Provided by Board |
| :--- |
| Fixed Board Target |
| Board Targel Calculated from Federal Funding Levels |
| Targot Calculaled from State/Other Requirements |
| No Target (While) |

No Target (While)

| At Board Target Level (White) |
| ---: |
| AdjustmenkUpward fon Color oflMoney |
| Below Board Approved Target Level |
| $\frac{\text { Existing Projecl Levels }}{\text { Aprit } 2008 \text { Board Level }}$ |
| - |

## Notes:

SAFETEA-LU ends in FY09, revenue flat-lined at FYO9 levels
Undiscounted Dollars
FICR taken of top of Federal and placed in ST

1. Assumes 100\% OA

2: Unaffected by $O A$
3: Must be held at $100 \%$ OA

## 4. Programs within District targets

5: OA reduction from 100\% Formula Debt Service absorbed here
6: Buying power preserved for inflation

Available Funding vs. Programmed Projects
FY 2011-2015 Federal Formula \& State Capital Hwy Funds 5-Year Average


| Program Name | s. Progr | med P | jects |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. FY11-15 (\$000 in Future Value) |  |  |  |
|  | Available | Program | Balance | \% of Avail |
| Pavement Preservation | 90,994 | 73,428 | 17,566 | 80.7\% |
| Pavement Restoration | 39,501 | 53,098 | $(13,597)$ | 134.4\% |
| SHS Pavements | 130,495 | 126,526 | 3,969 | 97.0\% |
| Bridge Preservation | 11,178 | 10,532 | 645 | 94.2\% |
| Bridge Restoration | 40,759 | 41,915 | $(1,156)$ | 102.8\% |
|  | 51,936 | 52,447 | (511) | 101.0\% |
|  | 182,431 | 178,974 | 3,458 | 98.1\% |
| Expansion | 6,814 | 11,465 | $(4,652)$ | 168.3\% |
| Feasibility \& Early Environmental | - |  | - | \#DIVIo! |
| Formula Debt Service + Fees \& Interestid | 71,438 | 71,438 |  | 100.0\% |
| SHS Expansion | 78,252 | 82,903 | $(4,652)$ | 105.9\% |
| System Support | 1,500 | 1,863 | (363) | 124.2\% |
| State Board Unallocated SHS Other | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | 100.0\% |
| SHS Other | 3,500 | 3,863 | (363) | 110.4\% |
| Rest Area | 2,209 | 2,155 | 55 | 97.5\% |
| Safety - Statewide | 11,571 | 14,799 | $(3,228)$ | 127.9\% |
| Safety - SAFETEA-LU Rail | 1,877 | 1,831 | 46 | 97.5\% |
| Safety - State Rail | 250 | 252 | (2) | 100.6\% |
| Hwy Safety | 15,908 | 19,036 | $(3,129)$ | 119.7\% |
| Systems Planning |  | 225 | (225) | \#DIV/0! |
| Metropolitan Planning (MPOs) | 1,640 | 1,640 | - | 100.0\% |
| State Planning and Research | 5,912 | 5,912 |  | 100.0\% |
| Hwy Planning | 7,552 | 7,777 | (225) | 103.0\% |
| STP - Enhancement | - |  | - | \#DIV/0! |
| CMAQ | - | - | - | \#DIV/0! |
| Recreational Trails | 1,843 | 1,843 |  | 100.0\% |
| Hwy Special | 1,843 | 1,843 | - | 100.0\% |
| STP - Local Urban | 8,577 | 7,915 | 662 | 92.3\% |
| STP - Transportation Mgt Area | 7,227 | 7,239 | (12) | 100.2\% |
| STP-Rural | 11,953 | 12,328 | (375) | 103.1\% |
| Bridge, Local | 5,527 | 5,463 | 65 | 98.8\% |
| Bridge, Off System | 4,145 | 4,316 | (171) | 104.1\% |
| Hwy Local | 37,429 | 37,261 | 168 | 99.5\% |
| Hwy Federal Formula \& State Funds | 326,915 | 331,657 | $(4,743)$ | 101.5\% |


| Program Name | Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Future |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2011* |  | EY $2012^{*}$ |  | FY $2013^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |  | FY 2014* |  | FY $2015^{*}$ |  | Prel. Dev. \& Set-Asides |  | Sum FY11-15 |  |
|  | Available | Program | Avallable | Program | Available | Program | Avatiable | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program |
| Pavement Preservation ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 84,855 | 76,387 | 103,044 | 89.446 | 88,570 | 72,796 | 89,250 | 52,435 | 89,250 | 76,076 |  |  | 454,969 | 367,140 |
| Pavement Restoration | 45,528 | 60,305 | 44,939 | 33,649 | 32,358 | 52.372 | 42,204 | 68.623 | 32.478 | 50,543 | . |  | 197,507 | 265,492 |
| SHS Pavements | 130,383 | 136,692 | 447,983 | 123,096 | 120,928 | 125,168 | 191,454 | 121,057 | 121,728 | 126,619 | , |  | 652,476 | 632,632 |
| Bridge Preservation ${ }^{5}$ | 11,544 | 5.859 | 8,904 | 14,307 | 10,522 | 8.947 | 12,155 | 12,844 | 12,763 | 13,705 |  |  | 55,888 | 52.662 |
| Bridge Restoration | 27,588 | 24.153 | 37,736 | 42,069 | 46,469 | 45,635 | 46,000 | 45,693 | 46,000 | 52,025 | - |  | 203,793 | 209.574 |
| SHS Bridges SHS Core | 39,132 | 30,072 | 40,640 | 53,377 | 56,991 | 54,581 | 68,755 | 58,536 | 58,763 | 65,730 |  |  | 259,681 | 262,236 |
|  | 169,515 | 166,704 | 194,623 | 176,472 | 177,979 | 179,749 | 189,609 | 179,594 | 180,491 | 192,349 |  |  | 912,157 | 894,869 |
| Expanion | 20,258 | 23.985 |  | 14,893 | 13,811 | 18,449 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34,069 | 57,327 |
| Fomula Debt Service + Fees \& Interest SHS Expansion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60,840 | 60,840 | 73,954 | 73.954 | 73,964 | 73,964 | 74,189 | 74.189 | 74,243 | 74,243 | . |  | 357,190 | 357,190 |
|  | 81,098 | 84,825 | 73,954 | 88,847 | 87,775 | 92,413 | 74,189 | 74,189 | 74,243 | 74,243 |  |  | 391,259 | 414,517 |
| System Support | 1,500 | 2.183 | 1,500 | 1,783 | 1,500 | 1,783 | 1,500 | 17883 | 1,500 | 1.783 |  |  | 7,500 | 9,315 |
| State Board Unallocated SHS Other | 2,000 | 2.000 | 2,000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2,000 | 2.000 | 2,000 | 2.000 |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | 3,500 | 4,183 | 3,500 | 3,783 | 3,500 | 3,783 | 3,500 | 3,783 | 3,500 | 3,783 |  |  | 17,500 | 19,315 |
| Rest Area | 5,032 | 4,781 | 295 | 293 | 5,720 | 5,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,047 | 10,774 |
| Safety - Statewide | 12,208 | 10,047 | 11,412 | 15,914 | 11,412 | 15,624 | 11.412 | 16,005 | 11,412 | 16,407 |  |  | 57,856 | 73,997 |
| Satery - Federal Rail | 1,877 | 1,830 | 1,877 | 1,887 | 1,877 | 1,684 | 1,877 | ¢, 877 | 1,877 | 1,877 |  |  | 9,385 | 9,154 |
| Safety - State Rail $\quad$ Hwy Safety | 250 | 268 | 250 | 245 | 250 | 245 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |  |  | 1,250 | 1,258 |
|  | 19,367 | 16,926 | 13,834 | 18,339 | 19,259 | 23,252 | 13,539 | 18,132 | 13,539 | 18,534 |  |  | 79,538 | 95,182 |
| Systems Planning |  | 322 |  | 804 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,126 |
| Metropolitan Planning (MPOs) | 1,640 | 1.640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1.640 |  |  | 8,200 | 8.200 |
| State Planning and Research | 6,227 | 6.227 | 5,833 | 5.833 | 5,833 | 5,833 | 5,833 | 5.833 | 5,833 | 5.833 |  |  | 29,559 | 29,559 |
| Hwy Planning | 7,867 | 8,189 | 7,473 | 8,277 | 7,473 | 7,473 | 7,473 | 7,473 | 7,473 | 7,473 |  |  | 37,759 | 38,885 |
| CMAQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\bigcirc$ |  | 1,963 | - |  |
| Recreational Trails Hwy Statewide Competitive | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1.843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | - |  | 9,215 | 9,215 |
|  | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 | 1,843 |  | 1,963 | 9,215 | 9,215 |
| STP - Local Urban | 11,071 | 11,963 | 7.953 | 6,908 | 7,953 | 8.139 | 7,953 | 7.795 | 7,953 | 4,770 | 16,701 | 30,416 | 42,883 | 39.575 |
| STP - Transporation Mgt Area | 7,649 | 7.484 | 7,121 | 7.300 | 7,121 | 7.227 | 7,121 | 7.101 | 7.121 | 7.082 | 14,954 | 15.438 | 36,133 | 36,193 |
| STP-Rural | 14,870 | 14.894 | 11,224 | 10,971 | 11,224 | 9.597 | 11,224 | 11,675 | 11,224 | 14.503 | 23,570 | 38,615 | 59,766 | 61.641 |
| Bridge, Local | 5,849 | 5.857 | 5,447 | 4,654 | 5,447 | 5.111 | 5.447 | 6,755 | 5,447 | 4.937 | 11,439 | 11,488 | 27,637 | 27.314 |
| Bridge, Off System | 4,387 | 3.139 | 4,085 | 6,033 | 4.085 | 6,927 | 4,085 | 3.722 | 4,085 | 1,760 | 8,579 | 13,601 | 20,727 | 21,581 |
| Hwy Federal Formula \& State Funds | 43,826 | 43,337 | 35,830 | 35,866 | 35,830 | 37,001 | 35,830 | 37,047 | 35,830 | 33,052 | 75,243 | 109,559 | 187,146 | 186,303 |
| Hwy Federal Formula \& State Funds | 327,016 | 326,007 | 331,057 | 333,427 | 333,599 | 345,515 | 325,983 | 322,061 | 316,919 | 331,277 | 75,243 | 111,522 | 1,634,574 | 1,658,287 |
|  | 3,612 | 3.612 | 9,994 | 9,994 | 11,607 | 11,607 |  |  | - | $\square$ | - |  | 25,213 | 25,213 |
| High Priority (TEA-21) |  |  | 6,008 | 6,008 |  |  |  |  | 18,426 | 18.426 |  |  | 24,434 | 24,434 |
| Discretionary Earmarks (carried over) | 75 | 75 | 2,402 | 2,402 |  |  |  | - | 890 | 890 |  |  | 3,367 | 3,367 |
| Forest Highways | 15,237 | 15,265 | 15,237 | 15,600 | 15,237 | 14,683 | 15,237 |  | 15,237 |  | 13,846 | 13,846 | 76,185 | 45,548 |
| Indian Reservation Roads |  | $\cdot$ |  |  | 15,000 | \$5,000 | - |  | - |  |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Other Federal Non-Formula | 2,261 | 2,261 | 430 | 430 | 370 | 370 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,061 | 3,061 |
| Hwy Other Federal ProgramsFederal Non.Paticipating | 21.185 | 21,213 | 34,071 | 34,434 | 42,214 | 41,660 | 15,237 |  | 34,553 | 19,316 | 13,846 | 13,846 | 147,260 | 116,623 |
|  | 10 | 10 | 1,661 | 1.661 |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 1,671 | 1,671 |
| Hwy Other State Programs | 10 | 10 | 1,661 | 1,661 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | 1,671 | 1,671 |
| GARVEE SFY08 Leg. Aulhorization ${ }^{3}$ | 28,882 | 28,882 | 9,566 | 9,566 | . |  | - | - |  |  | - | - | 38,448 | 38,448 |
| GARVEE SFY09 Leg. Aulhorization ${ }^{3}$ | 1,693 | 1,693 | * | . | . | . |  | - |  |  |  |  | 1,693 | 1,693 |
| GARVEE SFY10 Leg. Aulhorization ${ }^{3}$ | 5.195 | 5.195 | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | 5,195 | 5,195 |
| GARVEE SFY 11 Leg. Aulhorization ${ }^{3}$ | 12,000 | 12,000 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| GARVEE Future Leg. Authorizations ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 185,800 | 185,800 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185,800 | 185,800 |
| GARVEE Total Highways Total | 47,770 | 47,770 | 195,366 | 195,366 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243,136 | 243,136 |
|  | 395,981 | 395,000 | 562,155 | 564,888 | 375,813 | 387,175 | 341,220 | 322,061 | 351,472 | 350,593 | 89,089 | 125,368 | 2,026,641 | 2,019,717 |
| Capital | 11,397 | 11,397 | 2,415 | 2,415 | 7,848 | 1.848 | 1,853 | 1,853 | ¢,054 | T,054 | , |  | 18,567 | 18,567 |
| Mobility Services | 15,546 | 15.546 | 10,793 | 10.793 | 10,796 | 10.796 | 10,486 | 10,486 | 2,975 | 2,975 | - |  | 50.596 | 50,596 |
| Multi-Modal Planning | 748 | 748 | 700 | 700 | 480 | 480 | 475 | 475 |  | $\because$ | - |  | 2.403 | 2,403 |
| Administration | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1.098 | 1.098 | 1,063 | 1.063 | 21 | 21 |  |  | 4,352 | 4,352 |
| Public Transit Total (FA Only) | 272 | 272 | 153 | 153 | 135 | 135 | 126 | 126 |  |  | . |  | 686 | 686 |
|  | 29,048 | 29,048 | 15,146 | 15,146 | 14,357 | 14,357 | 14,003 | 14,003 | 4,050 | 4,050 | - |  | 76,604 | 76,604 |
| New Airport Facilities | 11,854 | 11.854 | 30.250 | 30,250 | 57.388 | 57,388 | 33,852 | 33,852 | 60,526 | 60.526 | - |  | 193.870 | 193,870 |
| Airport Facilily Maintenance | 17.842 | 17.842 | 22,887 | 22,887 | 7,456 | 7,466 | 5,655 | 5,655 | 9,835 | 9,835 | - | - | 63,685 | 63.685 |
| Aisport Planning | 3,397 | 3,397 | 853 | 853 | 518 | 518 | 6.890 | 6.890 | 1,797 | 1.797 | - |  | 13,455 | 13.455 |
| Aviation System Planning <br> Aeronautics Total <br> Grand Total | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |  |  | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | 33,293 | 33,293 | 54,790 | 54,190 | 65,572 | 65,572 | 46,597 | 46,597 | 72,358 | 72,358 | . |  | 272,010 | 272,010 |
|  | 458,322 | 457,341 | 631,491 | 634,224 | 455,742 | 467,104 | 401,820 | 382,661 | 427,880 | 427,001 | 89,089 | 125,368 | 2,375,255 | 2,368,331 |

[^2]Highways Capital Investment Program Targeted Programs by Region Target Share vs. Actuals


FY 2005-2015 Targeted Programs total \$1 8 billon Draft Prograni alone totals $\$ 668$ million Targeted Programs include Pavements. Bridge Preservation. Formula Expansion. and Systems Planing How to Read Sum of Green Bars $=100 \%$. Sum of Blue Bars $=100 \%$ etc

## Pavement Resurfacing Lane-Miles <br> 6/9/2010




# Draft FY 2011-2015 State Highway System Capital Investment Summary 

|  | \$000 | Qty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programmed capital investments | 1,454,569 | 528.0 projects |
| Programmed average annual capital investment | 290,914 | 105.6 projects |
| Pavement Maintenance | 83,886 |  |
| Pavement Preservation (1R) | 283,254 | 1,363.2 lane-miles |
| Pavement Restoration (3R) | 265,492 | 681.2 lane-miles |
| Pavements | 632,632 | 2,044.4 lane-miles |
| Bridge Repairs | 20,649 |  |
| Bridge Rehabilitations* | 61,254 | 50.0 bridges |
| Bridge Replacements* | 161,778 | 43.0 bridges |
| Bridges | 243,680 | 93.0 bridges |
| Rest Area Restoration | 10,774 | 3.0 rest areas |
| Railroad Crossings | 10,412 | 24.0 crossings+ |
| Sign Upgrades | 5,905 |  |
| Intelligent Transportation Systems | 11,031 |  |
| Safe Routes to School | 1,564 | 31.0 projects |
| Intersections | 26,532 | 24.0 intersections |
| Safety | 66,218 |  |
| System Expansion (GARVEE Debt Service) | 357,190 |  |
| System Expansion | 39,322 | 5.0 projects |
| System Expansion (AC conversion) | 18,005 |  |
| Expansion | 414,517 |  |
| Notes: |  |  |
| Preliminary analysis of major categories |  |  |
| Includes federal formula with match + state funds only |  |  |
| Dollars expressed in future value |  |  |
| * \$19M annual ISP \& Parks money will rehabilitate 6 and repl | bridges in FY 1 |  |

# Recommended FY 2011-2015 Highways Capital Investment Program Matrix Program Sheets <br> Table of Contents 

PROGRAM PAGE \#
Pavement Preservation ..... 1
Pavement Restoration ..... 2
Bridge Preservation ..... 3
Bridge Restoration ..... 4
Bridge Restoration (Additional Funds) * ..... 5
Expansion ..... 6
Systems Support ..... 7
Rest Area ..... 8
Safety - Statewide ..... 9
Safety - SAFETEA-LU Rail ..... 10
Safety - State Rail ..... 11
Systems Planning ..... 12
Other Formula ..... 13
STP - Local Urban ..... 14
STP - Transportation Management Area ..... 15
STP - Local Rural ..... 16
Bridge - Local ..... 17
Bridge - Off System ..... 18
High Priority SAFETEA-LU ..... 19
High Priority TEA-21 ..... 20
Discretionary ..... 21
Forest Highway ..... 22
Other Non-Formula ..... 23
GARVEE 08 AUTHORIZATION ..... 24
GARVEE 09 AUTHORIZATION ..... 25
GARVEE 10 AUTHORIZATION ..... 26
GARVEE 11 AUTHORIZATION ..... 27
GARVEE FUTURE AUTHORIZATION ..... 28

[^3]Pavement Preservation



Bridge Preservation





Systems Support

## STATE FY11 NATL FISHER L164 MP $0.000-000$ (ES)

STATE FY11 CORPS OF ENGINEERS EN 155 L165 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) STATE FY11 FISH \& WLDLIFE ENV SVC L166 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) STATE FYY1 BIOLOGICAL \& ENV SVCS L67 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) STATE FY11 ITD MEMBERSH
L172 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) STATE FY11 STRATEGIC HWY RESEARC 90 L175 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES)
STATE FY11 ITD CONTINUING CONST E 293
L177 MP O000-0.000 L177 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) STATE FY11 SHS BRIDGE I
L 179 MP $0.000-0.000$ (BrI) STATE FY11 SHORT SPAN
L181 MP $0.000-0.000$ (Br) STATE FY11 STATE FUEL T
L183 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) L183 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) STATE FY11 TRAC TRAININ
M129 MP 0.000-0.000 (MI) STATE EEO DISPARITY STUDY


Safety - Statewide


| Moved To | Districil | Keylyo | Route |  | Location |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Removed | 6 | 11152 | STATE | FY11 | D6 DURABLE PAVEMENT MARKINGS |  |





Other Formula

| 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { LOCAL FY11 KMPOMETRO PLANNING } 203 \\ \text { LB9 MP } 0.000-0.000 & \\ \text { (ES) }\end{array}$ | LOCAL FY12 KMPD METRO PLANNING 203 L197 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) | LOCAL FY13 KMPXMETRO PLANNING 203 $L_{055}{ }^{203}$ | LOCAL FY M KMPO METRO PLANNING 203 H119 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | LOCAL FYt5 KMPD METRO PAANNING 203 H120 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL FY11LCVMPO METRO PLANNING 84 } \\ & \text { L190 MP O.000-0.000 (ES) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL FY12 LCVMPO METRO PLANNING } 84 \\ & \text { L198 MP } 0.000-0.000 \\ & \text { (ES) } \end{aligned}$ | LOCAL FYT3 LCVMPO METRO PLANNING 84 E63 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY14 LCVMPO METRO PLANNING 84 H223 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY15 LCVMPO METRO PLANNING 84 H224 MP O.OOD-0.000 (ES) |
| LOCAL FY11 COMPASS METRO PLANN 1001 L191 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | LOCAL FY12COMPASS METRO PLANN 1001 L199 MP 0.0000000 (ES) | LOCAL FY13COMPASS METRO PLANN 1001L590 MP $0.000-0.000$ <br> (ES) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL FY14 COMPASS METRO PLANNI } 1001 \\ & \text { H348 MP } 0.000-0.000 \text { (ES) } \end{aligned}$ | STATE FY15 COMPASS METRO PLANNI 1001 H349 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) |
| LOCAL FY11 BTPOMETRO PLANNING 170 L192 MP 0.000-0,000 (ES) | LOCAL FY12 BTPD METRO PLANNING 170 200 MP 0.00000000 (ES) | LOCAL FY43BTPO METRO PIANNING 170 L661 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY14 BTPO METRO PLANNING 170 H523 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY15 BTPO METROPLANNING 170 H524 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL FY11 BMPO MEIRO PLANNING } 182 \\ & \text { L193 MP } 0.000-0.000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | LOCAL FY 12 BMPD METRO PLANNING 182 201 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | LOCAL FY13 BMPOMETRO PILANNING 182 L685 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY14 BMPO METRO PLANNING 182 H635 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) | STATE FY15 8MPO METRO PLANNING 182 H636 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) |
| STATE FYT1 STATEMDE PLANNING 4375 L194 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY'12STATEWDE PLANNING 4375 LO2 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY 13 STATEMDE PLANNING 4375 LT12 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE FY14 STATEMDE PLANNING 4375 H934 MP $0.000-0.000$ (ES) | STATE EY15 STATEMDE PLANNING 4375 H937 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) |
| STATE FY 11 STATEMDE RESEARCH 1458 L195 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) | STATE FY 12 STATEMDE RESEARCH 1458 L203 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) | STATE FY13 STATEMDERESEARCH 1458 L713 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) | STATE FY14 STATEMDE PLANNING 1458 H935 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) | STATE FY15 STATEMDE RESEARCH 1458 H938 MP 0.000-0.000 (ES) |
| STATE FY11 RECREATIONAL TRALLS 1843 L196 MP 0.000-0.000 (MI) | LOCAL FY 12 RECREATIONAL TRAILS 1843 L204 MP 0.000-0.000 (MI) | STATE FY13RECREATIONAL TRAILS 1843 L714 MP 0.000-0.000 (BTr) | STATE FY14 RECREATIONAL TRAILS 1843 H936 MP 0.000-0.000 (BTr) | STATE FY 15 RECREATIONAL TRAILS 1843 H939 MP 0.000-0.000 (BTr) |




| What7 | \% | $1 . .1$ | 7\% | -vat | Tier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced | 3 | 10560 | LOCAL | FY11 COMMMUTERIDE, ADA CO | 2011 |
| Safety | 3 | 11053 | SH 55 | INT IMPROVEMENT, CANYONCO | 2014 |
| Removed | 3 | TMA10 | LOCAL | ACHD OVERLAY SET ASIDE | PREL |

* STP - Local Rural

| 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMA-7218 LANCASTER RD; US 95 TOF 3286 9785 MP $106.880-107.840$ (RRR) | STC.5723 gURMA RD: GOTMAM BAY RD 6344 S462 MP $100.000-102.790$ (RR) | STC. 5700 10TH AVE: JCt SH 54 to VAN B 1429 L25 MP 100.000-101.600 (RIR) |  | STP. 7045 PRAIRIEAVE: MEYER TOHUE A896 L551 MP 106.760-107.780 (MjM) |
| STC-4783 DENT RD. CLEARWATER CO 3660 9804 MP 28.063-35.063 (R/R) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STC. } 8883 \text { IOWA AVE: CENTERAVE TO } 2198 \\ & 9984 \mathrm{MP} \text { 1.201-1.702 (R/R) } \\ & \text { STC-389 SAND HOLOOW. PAYETTE CO } 1564 \\ & 1237 \mathrm{MP} \\ & 12.480-18.300 \end{aligned} \text { (RR) }$ | STC-3883 SW 3RD ST: IOWA AVE to JCT 2063 K549 MP $63.550-63.927$ (R/R) |  | STC-3854 EIDAHOST \&HOLLY AVE, N 1992 <br> K555 MP 0.167-0.167 (PRh)  <br> STC-3840 OLA HWY; BRUSHCR TO PO 1921 <br> M051 MP  <br> $7.753-12.753$ (PRh)  |  |
|  |  |  |  | STC-2847 OLD HWY 81 S. RAFT RV HD 3000 <br> L991 MP 1.000-7.000 (PRh) <br> LOCAL <br> MOB BARTO RD: <br> M084 MP $4.490-7.010$ (PRh) |
|  |  | STC-1828 FERRY EUTTEROTO TILDEN 1368 9895 MP $1.610-5.930$ | STC-1701 OLD HWY 37,THE NARROWS, 4880 9894 MP $29.600-32.200^{(R / R)}$ |  |
|  |  |  | STC-6706 HITTRD:US 26 TOUS 20 1292 L680 MP $14.240-16.980 \quad$ (PRh) |  |
| LOCAL AC FOR 9267 LOCALASSET MA 132 A902 MP $0.000-0.000$ (MI) LOCAL FY11CONTRACT INCREASE SET 500 CL11 MP $0.000-0.000$ (MI) | LOCAL FYT2 CONTRACTINCREASE SET 500 CL12 MP $0.000-0.000$ (MI) | LOCAL LOCALASET MANAGEMENT 132 9267 MP $0.000-0.000$ (MI) LOCAL FY13CONTRACTINCREASE SET 500 CL13 MP $0.000-0.000$ | LOCAL FYI3 CONTRACTINCREASE SET-A 0 CL14 MP $0.000-0.000$ (MI) | LOCAL EY 15 COST INCREASE SET-ASIDE 0 CL15 MP O.000-0,000 (MI) |



Bridge - Off System


| Moved To | District | KeyNo | Route | T- Lota | / rear min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Removed | 5 | 12101 | OFFSYS | N 700 E, SAND CR BR, BINGHAM CO | PREL |





Forest Highway

| 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FH50 GOLDCREEK RD, SHOSHONE 7665 9788 MP $128.771-141.245$ (PRh) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { FH } 94 \\ 9789 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | FHE 60 MANNING CREVICE BR . IDAHO 4683 9806 MP 113.467-113.517 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{FH} 24 \\ 9993 \end{array}$ |
|  | FH 26 AC FOR 6656 WARM SPINGS 11000 A404 MP $205.500-208.000$ (R/R) | FH 26 WARM SPRINGS REALIGNMEN 5400 6656 MP $205.500-208.000$ (RR) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCAL YANKEE FORK. CUSTER CO 3000 8865 MP $100.000-103.000$ (PRh) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COCAL } \\ & F H M \end{aligned}$ |

Funding: 15237
Program: 15265 Funding: 15237
Program: 15600 Funding: 15237
Program: 14683 Funding: 15237



GARVEE 09 AUTHORIZATION


Funding: 1693

GARVEE 10 AUTHORIZATION


Funding: 5195
Program: 5195 Funding: 0




[^0]:    Current = Actual Payments and Encumbrances

[^1]:    $-$
    $\pi$

[^2]:    - Available estimates are to be used for planning purposes only. FFY 2009 is the final year of the SAFETEA-LU transportation act
    funds expressed in future value
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes High Priority reserved for debl service on future bonds and for projects without construction year or funding (Horizons).
    Buying power preserved for $5 \%$ aditual ingation

[^3]:    * Program for illustrative purposes only --Projects to be included in Bridge Restoration Program.

